## **Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order**

Date: 194/06/2025 Surveyor: Roland Jones	Date:		Surveyor:	Roland Jones
--	-------	--	-----------	--------------

#### **Tree Details**

TPO Refer	ence:	TPO/NO3/2025	Tree/Group Number:	W1
Owner:	n/a		Location: -	Forest of Pendle Holiday Park Roughlee
Species:	Mixed Deciduous and Conifer woodland. Lime Beech Hawthorn Alder Sycamore Spruce			

#### **Part 1 - Amenity Assessment**

#### a) Condition & suitability for TPO

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
	5 Good	Highly Suitable	Mostly coniferous planting with Lime in front and
	3 Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	mixed native species throughout the area.
	1 Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
	0 Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

<sup>\*</sup> Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

#### b) Retention span & suitability for TPO

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
	5 100+	Highly Suitable	Potential for lengevity if managed correctly
	4 40-100	Very Suitable	
	2 20-40	Suitable	
	1 10-20	Just Suitable	
	0 <10*	Unsuitable	

<sup>\*</sup> Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
	5 Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	- ·	The trees sit above a caravan park and provide high visual amenity.
	4 Large or medium trees clearly visible to the	Suitable	

I	public	
	3 Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable
	2 Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable
	1 Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable

Additional Notes	Add	itio	nall	Note	25
------------------	-----	------	------	------	----

/ data to to to	

### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify

Score	Factor	Notes
	5 Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Visual amenity with Biodiversity and Environmental
	4 Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	value
	${\bf 3}\ \ {\sf Trees}\ {\sf with}\ {\sf identifiable}\ {\sf historic}, commemorative\ {\sf or}\ {\sf habitat}\ {\sf importance}$	
	2 Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
	1 Trees with non of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
	-1 Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

# Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score	Condition	Notes
-------	-----------	-------

5 Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	New Holiday homes being built in close proximity
3 Foreseeable threat to tree	and potential for tree removal to create views
2 Perceived threat to tree	
1 Precautionary only	

# Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO		
1-6	TPO indefensible	4.0	
7-11	Does not merit TPO	18	TPO 1
12-15	TPO defensible	10	'' '
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

Surveyor Signature:	01	Date:
	Kh.	9th July 2025