

Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order

Date:	04/09/2024	Surveyor:	Roland Jones
-------	------------	-----------	--------------

Tree Details

TPO Reference:	TPO/NO1/2024	Tree/Group Number:	T1
Owner:		Location:	
Species:	Oak		

Part 1 - Amenity Assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly Suitable	Tree is has a lot of deadwood with torn branches typical of its species. Slightly sparse crown to the south
3	Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span & suitability for TPO

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly Suitable	Quercus is a long lived tree species.
4	40-100	Very Suitable	
2	20-40	Suitable	
1	10-20	Just Suitable	
0	<10*	Unsuitable	

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly Suitable	Tree is situated adjacent to the road and close to the hedgerow boundary
4	Large or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable	

Additional Notes

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Important farm field tree
4	Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1	Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score	Condition	Notes
5	Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Potential threat from proposed new residential development.
3	Foreseeable threat to tree	
2	Perceived threat to tree	
1	Precautionary only	

Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	17	TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

Surveyor Signature:



Date: 14/09/2024

Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order

Date:	04/09/2024	Surveyor:	Roland Jones
-------	------------	-----------	--------------

Tree Details

TPO Reference:	TPO/NO1/2024	Tree/Group Number:	T2
Owner:		Location:	
Species:	Oak		

Part 1 - Amenity Assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly Suitable	Early mature tree with good form. Minor deadwood.
3	Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span & suitability for TPO

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly Suitable	Quercus is a long lived tree species.
4	40-100	Very Suitable	
2	20-40	Suitable	
1	10-20	Just Suitable	
0	<10*	Unsuitable	

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly Suitable	Tree is situated adjacent to the road and close to the hedgerow boundary
4	Large or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable	

Additional Notes

--

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Important farm field tree
4	Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1	Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score	Condition	Notes
5	Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Potential threat from proposed new residential development.
3	Foreseeable threat to tree	
2	Perceived threat to tree	
1	Precautionary only	

Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	19	TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

Surveyor Signature:



Date: 14/09/2024

Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order

Date:	04/09/2024	Surveyor:	Roland Jones
-------	------------	-----------	--------------

Tree Details

TPO Reference:	TPO/NO1/2024	Tree/Group Number:	G1
Owner:		Location:	
Species:	3 x Sycamore		

Part 1 - Amenity Assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly Suitable	3 mature trees central to the site and part of a former hawthorn hedgerow
3	Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span & suitability for TPO

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly Suitable	Acer psuedoplatanus is long lived species
4	40-100	Very Suitable	
2	20-40	Suitable	
1	10-20	Just Suitable	
0	<10*	Unsuitable	

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly Suitable	Trees are situated in the central portion of the site and clearly visible from the road
4	Large or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable	

Additional Notes

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Important farm field trees
4	Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1	Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score	Condition	Notes
5	Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Potential threat from proposed new residential development.
3	Foreseeable threat to tree	
2	Perceived threat to tree	
1	Precautionary only	

Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	16	TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

Surveyor Signature:		Date: 14/09/2024
---------------------	---	------------------

Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order

Date:	04/09/2024	Surveyor:	Roland Jones
-------	------------	-----------	--------------

Tree Details

TPO Reference:	TPO/NO1/2024	Tree/Group Number:	G2
Owner:		Location:	
Species:	1 Ash 2 Sycamore		

Part 1 - Amenity Assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly Suitable	3 mature trees central to the site and part of a former hawthorn hedgerow
3	Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span & suitability for TPO

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly Suitable	Acer psuedoplatanus is long lived species. The Ash is showing minor signs of dieback and should be monitored
4	40-100	Very Suitable	
2	20-40	Suitable	
1	10-20	Just Suitable	
0	<10*	Unsuitable	

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly Suitable	Trees are situated in the central portion of the site and clearly visible from the road
4	Large or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable	

Additional Notes

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Important farm field trees
4	Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1	Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score	Condition	Notes
5	Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Potential threat from proposed new residential development.
3	Foreseeable threat to tree	
2	Perceived threat to tree	
1	Precautionary only	

Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	16	TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

Surveyor Signature:		Date: 14/09/2024
---------------------	---	------------------

Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order

Date:	04/09/2024	Surveyor:	Roland Jones
-------	------------	-----------	--------------

Tree Details

TPO Reference:	TPO/NO1/2024	Tree/Group Number:	G3
Owner:		Location:	
Species:	2 Sycamore		

Part 1 - Amenity Assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly Suitable	2 mature trees central to the site and part of a former hawthorn hedgerow
3	Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span & suitability for TPO

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly Suitable	Acer psuedoplatanus is long lived species
4	40-100	Very Suitable	
2	20-40	Suitable	
1	10-20	Just Suitable	
0	<10*	Unsuitable	

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly Suitable	Trees are situated in the central portion of the site and clearly visible from the road
4	Large or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable	

Additional Notes

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Important farm field trees
4	Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1	Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score	Condition	Notes
5	Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Potential threat from proposed new residential development.
3	Foreseeable threat to tree	
2	Perceived threat to tree	
1	Precautionary only	

Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	16	TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

Surveyor Signature:		Date: 14/09/2024
---------------------	---	------------------

Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order

Date:	04/09/2024	Surveyor:	Roland Jones
-------	------------	-----------	--------------

Tree Details

TPO Reference:	TPO/NO1/2024	Tree/Group Number:	G4
Owner:		Location:	
Species:	2 Sycamore		

Part 1 - Amenity Assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly Suitable	2 mature trees central to the site and part of a former hawthorn hedgerow
3	Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Retention span & suitability for TPO

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly Suitable	Acer psuedoplatanus is long lived species
4	40-100	Very Suitable	
2	20-40	Suitable	
1	10-20	Just Suitable	
0	<10*	Unsuitable	

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly Suitable	Trees are situated in the central portion of the site and clearly visible from the road
4	Large or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable	

Additional Notes

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Important farm field trees
4	Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with non of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1	Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score	Condition	Notes
5	Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Potential threat from proposed new residential development.
3	Foreseeable threat to tree	
2	Perceived threat to tree	
1	Precautionary only	

Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	16	TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

Surveyor Signature:		Date: 14/09/2024
---------------------	---	------------------