

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
HELD AT NELSON TOWN HALL
ON 26TH JANUARY, 2023**

PRESENT –

Councillors

*M. Adnan (Substitute)
F. Ahmad
S. Ahmed
D. Albin
D. Cockburn-Price
S. Cockburn-Price
Y. Iqbal
D. Lord
J. Purcell
M. Stone
A. Sutcliffe (Substitute)
D. Whipp*

Officers

<i>Neil Watson</i>	<i>Planning, Economic Development and Regulatory Services Manager</i>
<i>Emma Barker</i>	<i>Solicitor</i>
<i>Joanne Eccles</i>	<i>Committee Administrator</i>



1. APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN

Councillors were advised that in accordance with the Rules of Procedure in the Council's Constitution, the Chairman of the Committee would be appointed at the next Council meeting. Councillors were then invited to appoint a Chairman from those present to preside for this meeting only, or to appoint a facilitator.

RESOLVED

That the Planning, Economic Development and Regulatory Services Manager act as facilitator for this meeting.

2. APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIRMAN

RESOLVED

That this item be deferred until the Chairman of the Committee had been appointed by Council.

3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Members were reminded of the legal requirements concerning the declaration of interests.

4. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The draft Terms of Reference for the Committee were submitted for information.

5. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

The Planning, Economic Development and Regulatory Services Manager submitted a report of the following planning application referred from an area committee -

(a) 22/0698/HHO Full: Erection of a boundary fence at 35 Clegg Street, Brierfield for Mr. Adeel Khalid

(A site visit was carried out prior to the meeting.)

At a meeting of Nelson, Brierfield and Reedley Committee on 2nd January, 2023 the decision to approve this application had been referred as a recommendation to this Committee as the decision would represent a significant departure from policy.

RESOLVED

That planning permission be **refused** for the following reasons -

1. The design and materials of the proposed fencing would be incongruous and out of character with its surrounding and the street scene. It would result in unacceptable harm to the character and visual amenity of the area and would result in poor design. The proposal would be contrary to Policy ENV2 of the adopted Pendle Local Plan – Core Strategy and the Design Principles SPD.
2. The scale of the fencing and the width of the vehicle access would reduce the visibility of a vehicle emerging onto the highway resulting in a highways safety issue.

CHAIRMAN_____