

Pendle Borough Council audit plan

Year ending 31 March 2022

Pendle Borough Council
June 2022



Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Georgia Jones

Key Audit Partner

T 0161 214 6383

E Georgia.s.jones@uk.gt.com

Sophia Iqbal

Manager

T 0161 234 6372

E Sophia.s.iqbal@uk.gt.com

Jobelle Bongato

In-charge

E Jobelle.Bongato@uk.gt.com

Section

Key matters	3
Introduction and headlines	4
Significant risks identified	5
Accounting estimates and related disclosures	9
Other matters	12
Materiality	13
IT audit strategy	14
Value for Money Arrangements	15
Audit logistics and team	16
Audit fees	17
Independence and non-audit services	18
Digital Audit	19
Appendix 1: Progress against prior year recommendations	21

Page

3
4
5
9
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
21

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Council or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

Key matters

Factors

Council developments

Local Government funding continues to be stretched with the Council facing increasing cost pressures and demand from residents. The Council recently confirmed the 2022-23 budget alongside indicative budgets for 2023-24. We have continued to hold regular meetings with the senior finance team at the Council. During these meetings we discuss a range of key issues regarding the Council's general developments, current and projected financial performance, governance issues and regulatory oversight.

The Councils Medium Term Forecast shows the Council has a funding gap in 2021/22 of £680,000 increasing to £3,539,000 in 2024/25. At the time of producing the Plan, the Council were able to reduce the 2022/23 shortfall from £1,920,000 to £975,000. We will further review this as part of our VFM work.

Recovery from Covid 19 pandemic

The Covid-19 pandemic has impacted upon all of our lives. While there has been some relaxation of 'business as usual' arrangements, public sector bodies are still required to abide by the stewardship requirements of Managing Public Money and have a statutory duty to carry out their function effectively, efficiently and economically.

The outbreak of the coronavirus pandemic has had a significant impact on the normal operations of all public sector bodies. The Council has faced many front-line challenges including the administration of grants to businesses and ensuring adherence to new government guidelines.

The government has provided a range of financial support packages throughout the COVID-19 pandemic. These include additional funding to support the cost of services, offset the deficit on collection fund or other income losses.

Our assessment is that the Council has developed a good understanding of its financial and wider governance risks during the pandemic.

Our response

- As a firm, we are absolutely committed to audit quality and financial reporting in the local government sector. Our proposed work and fee, as set further in our Audit Plan, has been agreed with the Director of Finance.
- We will consider your arrangements for managing and reporting your financial resources as part of our work in completing our Value for Money work.
- Where any actions have been agreed in respect of matters identified through previous audit work, either on the financial statements or in respect of work on arrangements to secure VFM, the planning report should include reference to consideration of progress against previously agreed recommendations.
- We will continue to provide you with sector updates via our Audit Committee updates.
- We have identified an increased incentive and opportunity for organisations in the public sector to manipulate their financial statements due to increasing financial pressures. We have identified a significant risk in regards to management override of control– refer to page 8

Introduction and headlines

Purpose

This document provides an overview of the planned scope and timing of the statutory audit of Pendle Borough Council ('the Council') for those charged with governance.

Respective responsibilities

The National Audit Office ('the NAO') has issued a document entitled Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). This summarises where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from the audited body. Our respective responsibilities are also set out in the agreed in the Terms of Appointment and Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA), the body responsible for appointing us as auditor of Pendle Borough Council. We draw your attention to both of these documents.

Scope of our audit

The scope of our audit is set in accordance with the Code and International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK). We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the Council's financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance the Audit and Accounts Committee; and we consider whether there are sufficient arrangements in place at the Council for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in your use of resources. Value for money relates to ensuring that resources are used efficiently to maximise the outcomes that can be achieved.

The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or the Audit and Accounts Committee of your responsibilities. It is the responsibility of the Council to ensure that proper arrangements are in place for the conduct of its business, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for. We have considered how the Council is fulfilling these responsibilities.

Our audit approach is based on a thorough understanding of the Council's business and is risk based.

Significant risks

Those risks requiring special audit consideration and procedures to address the likelihood of a material financial statement error have been identified as:

- Management override of controls
- Valuation of property, plant and equipment
- Valuation of pension fund net liability

We will communicate significant findings on these areas as well as any other significant matters arising from the audit to you in our Audit Findings (ISA 260) Report.

Materiality

We have determined planning materiality to be £0.932m (PY £1.008m) for the Council, which equates to 1.85% of your prior year gross expenditure for the year. We are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. Clearly trivial has been set at £0.047m (PY £0.050m).

Value for Money arrangements

Our initial risk assessment regarding your arrangements to secure value for money has not identified any risks of significant weakness. We will continue to assess the Council's arrangements and will provide a commentary against all key lines of enquiry in the Auditor's Annual Report. Should we identify any areas of significant weakness as part of our further work we will bring them to your attention.

Audit logistics

Our interim visit took place in March-April 2022 and our final visit will take place in September - November. Our key deliverables are this Audit Plan, our Audit Findings Report and Auditor's Annual Report.

Our fee for the audit will be £62,635 (PY: £96,185) for the Council, subject to the Council delivering a good set of financial statements and working papers.

We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard (revised 2019) and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements..

Significant risks identified

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
Management over-ride of controls	<p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities. . The Authority faces external scrutiny of its spending and this could potentially place management under undue pressure in terms of how they report performance.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, this was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate the design effectiveness of management controls over journals • analyse the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals • test unusual journals recorded during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration • gain an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness with regard to corroborative evidence • evaluate the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions.

Significant risks identified

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
ISA240 revenue and expenditure recognition risk	<p>Revenue</p> <p>ISA (UK) 240 includes a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue recognition may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue. This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.</p> <p>Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA 240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Council, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted because:</p> <p>There is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition and opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited The culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Pendle, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable</p> <p>Expenditure</p> <p>In the public sector, whilst it is not a presumed significant risk, in line with the requirements of Practice Note (PN) 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom - we also consider the risk of whether expenditure may be misstated due to the improper recognition of expenditure.</p> <p>This risk is rebuttable if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to expenditure recognition.</p> <p>Based on our assessment we consider that we are able to rebut the significant risk in relation to expenditure, but will nevertheless, and in line with PN10, recognise the heightened inherent risk of 'other service expenditure' in our audit scoping and testing assessment.</p>	As we do not consider this to be a significant risk for the Council, we will not be undertaking any specific work in this area other than our normal audit procedures.

Significant risks identified

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
Valuation of land and buildings and surplus assets	<p>The Council revalues its land and buildings on a five-yearly basis, with a desktop valuation in intervening years. This valuation of £43m represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved. In addition, the year-end valuation of land and buildings is sensitive to changes in assumptions and market conditions and so is a key estimate by management.</p> <p>We have identified the valuation of land and buildings and surplus assets as a significant risk.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to the valuation experts and the scope of their work • evaluate the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert • write to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuations were carried out • challenge the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding • engage an independent auditor's expert valuer to provide a further review of the reasonableness of the assumptions and approach taken by the Council's valuer • test a sample of valuations at 31 March 2022 to understand the information and assumptions used in arriving at any revised valuations. • test revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Council's asset register • review whether the expert valuer has reported any material uncertainty in relation to property valuations as at 31 March 2022 and, if so, assess the impact on disclosures in the financial statements and on our audit opinion.

Significant risks identified

Risk	Reason for risk identification	Key aspects of our proposed response to the risk
Valuation of the pension fund net liability	<p>The Council's pension fund net liability, as reflected in its balance sheet as the net defined benefit liability, represents a significant estimate in the financial statements.</p> <p>The pension fund net liability of £45m is considered a significant estimate due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of the estimate to changes in key assumptions.</p> <p>We therefore identified valuation of the Council's pension fund net liability as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update our understanding of the processes and controls put in place by management to ensure that the Authority's pension fund net liability is not materially misstated and evaluate the design of the associated controls • evaluate the instructions issued by management to their management expert (an actuary) for this estimate and the scope of the actuary's work • assess the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the actuary who carried out the Authority's pension fund valuation • assess the accuracy and completeness of the information provided by the Authority to the actuary to estimate the liability • test the consistency of the pension fund asset and liability and disclosures in the notes to the core financial statements with the actuarial report from the actuary • undertake procedures to confirm the reasonableness of the actuarial assumptions made by reviewing the report of the consulting actuary (as auditor's expert) and performing any additional procedures suggested within the report • review whether the pension fund has reported any material uncertainty in relation to investment property valuations as at 31 March 2021 and, if so, assess the impact on disclosures in the financial statements and on our audit opinion • obtain assurances from the auditor of the Lancashire Pension Fund as to the controls surrounding the validity and accuracy of membership data; contributions data and benefits data sent to the actuary by the pension fund and the fund assets valuation in the pension fund financial statements.

Accounting estimates and related disclosures

The Financial Reporting Council issued an updated ISA (UK) 540 (revised): *Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures* which includes significant enhancements in respect of the audit risk assessment process for accounting estimates.

Introduction

Under ISA (UK) 540 (Revised December 2018) auditors are required to understand and assess an entity's internal controls over accounting estimates, including:

- The nature and extent of oversight and governance over management's financial reporting process relevant to accounting estimates;
- How management identifies the need for and applies specialised skills or knowledge related to accounting estimates;
- How the entity's risk management process identifies and addresses risks relating to accounting estimates;
- The entity's information system as it relates to accounting estimates;
- The entity's control activities in relation to accounting estimates; and
- How management reviews the outcomes of previous accounting estimates.

As part of this process auditors also need to obtain an understanding of the role of those charged with governance, which is particularly important where the estimates have high estimation uncertainty, or require significant judgement.

Specifically do Accounts and Audit Committee members:

- Understand the characteristics of the methods and models used to make the accounting estimates and the risks related to them;
- Oversee management's process for making accounting estimates, including the use of models, and the monitoring activities undertaken by management; and
- Evaluate how management made the accounting estimates?



Accounting estimates and related disclosures

Additional information that will be required

To ensure our compliance with this revised auditing standard, we will be requesting further information from management and those charged with governance during our audit for the year ended 31 March 2022.

Based on our knowledge of the Council we have identified the following material accounting estimates for which this is likely to apply:

- Valuations of land and buildings, council dwellings and investment properties
- Depreciation
- Year end provisions and accruals, including the business rates appeals provision
- Credit loss and impairment allowances
- Valuation of defined benefit net pension fund liabilities

The Council's Information systems

In respect of the Council's information systems we are required to consider how management identifies the methods, assumptions and source data used for each material accounting estimate and the need for any changes to these. This includes how management selects, or designs, the methods, assumptions and data to be used and applies the methods used in the valuations.

When the models used include increased complexity or subjectivity, as is the case for many valuation models, auditors need to understand and assess the controls in place over the models and the data included therein. Where adequate controls are not in place we may need to report this as a significant control deficiency and this could affect the amount of detailed substantive testing required during the audit.

If management has changed the method for making an accounting estimate we will need to fully understand management's rationale for this change. Any unexpected changes are likely to raise the audit risk profile of this accounting estimate and may result in the need for additional audit procedures.

We are aware that the Council uses management experts in deriving some of its more complex estimates, e.g. asset valuations and pensions liabilities. However, it is important to note that the use of management experts does not diminish the responsibilities of management and those charged with governance to ensure that:

- All accounting estimates and related disclosures included in the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the financial reporting framework, and are materially accurate;
- There are adequate controls in place at the Council (and where applicable its service provider or management expert) over the models, assumptions and source data used in the preparation of accounting estimates.



Estimation uncertainty

Under ISA (UK) 540 we are required to consider the following:

- How management understands the degree of estimation uncertainty related to each accounting estimate; and
- How management address this estimation uncertainty when selecting their point estimate.

For example, how management identified and considered alternative methods, assumptions or source data that would be equally valid under the financial reporting framework, and why these alternatives were rejected in favour of the point estimate used.

The revised standard includes increased emphasis on the importance of the financial statement disclosures. Under ISA (UK) 540 (Revised December 2018), auditors are required to assess whether both the accounting estimates themselves and the related disclosures are reasonable.

Where there is a material uncertainty, that is where there is a significant risk of a material change to the estimated carrying value of an asset or liability within the next year, there needs to be additional disclosures. Note that not all material estimates will have a material uncertainty and it is also possible that an estimate that is not material could have a risk of material uncertainty.

Where there is material estimation uncertainty, we would expect the financial statement disclosures to detail:

- What the assumptions and uncertainties are;
- How sensitive the assets and liabilities are to those assumptions, and why;
- The expected resolution of the uncertainty and the range of reasonably possible outcomes for the next financial year; and
- An explanation of any changes made to past assumptions if the uncertainty is unresolved.

Planning enquiries

As part of our planning risk assessment procedures we have made enquiries of management requesting written responses from the Council across a range of areas and issues including on the approach taken to derive key accounting estimates. We are yet to receive the Council's responses and will consider them as part of our ongoing planning. The responses will be shared and presented to the Accounts and Audit Committee at the June 2022 meeting. We would appreciate a prompt response to these enquires in due course.

Further information

Further details on the requirements of ISA (UK) 540 (Revised December 2018) can be found in the auditing standard on the Financial Reporting Council's website:

[https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/0fa69c03-49ec-49ae-a8c9-cc7a2b65382a/ISA-\(UK\)-540_Revised-December-2018_final.pdf](https://www.frc.org.uk/getattachment/0fa69c03-49ec-49ae-a8c9-cc7a2b65382a/ISA-(UK)-540_Revised-December-2018_final.pdf)

Other matters

Other work

In addition to our responsibilities under the Code of Practice, we have a number of other audit responsibilities, as follows:

- We read your Narrative Report and Annual Governance Statement and any other information published alongside your financial statements to check that they are consistent with the financial statements on which we give an opinion and our knowledge of the Council.
- We carry out work to satisfy ourselves that disclosures made in your Annual Governance Statement are in line with requirements set by CIPFA.
- We carry out work on your consolidation schedules for the Whole of Government Accounts process in accordance with NAO group audit instructions.
- We consider our other duties under legislation and the Code, as and when required, including:
 - giving electors the opportunity to raise questions about your 2021/22 financial statements, consider and decide upon any objections received in relation to the 2021/22 financial statements;
 - issuing a report in the public interest or written recommendations to the Council under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the Act).
 - application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under section 28 or a judicial review under section 31 of the Act
 - issuing an advisory notice under section 29 of the Act
- We certify completion of our audit.

Other material balances and transactions

Under International Standards on Auditing, "irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, the auditor shall design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance and disclosure". All other material balances and transaction streams will therefore be audited. However, the procedures will not be as extensive as the procedures adopted for the risks identified in this report.

Materiality

The concept of materiality

Materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law. Misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Materiality for planning purposes

We have determined financial statement materiality based on a proportion of the gross expenditure of the Council for the financial year. In the prior year we used the same benchmark. Materiality at the planning stage of our audit is £0.932m (PY £1.008m) for the Council, which equates to 1.85% of your prior year gross expenditure for the year. Because of the material errors identified in the 2020-21 accounts and as a result of finance team staff turnover, we have reduced our materiality level from 2% to 1.85%. We design our procedures to detect errors in specific accounts at a lower level of precision which we have determined to be £20,000 for senior officer remuneration.

We reconsider planning materiality if, during the course of our audit engagement, we become aware of facts and circumstances that would have caused us to make a different determination of planning materiality.

Matters we will report to the Audit Committee

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole, we nevertheless report to the Audit Committee any unadjusted misstatements of lesser amounts to the extent that these are identified by our audit work. Under ISA 260 (UK) 'Communication with those charged with governance', we are obliged to report uncorrected omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA 260 (UK) defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria. In the context of the Council, we propose that an individual difference could normally be considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £0.047m (PY £0.050m).

If management have corrected material misstatements identified during the course of the audit, we will consider whether those corrections should be communicated to the Accounts and Audit Committee to assist it in fulfilling its governance responsibilities.

Prior year gross operating costs

£50.4m Council
(PY: £47.9M)



■ Prior year gross operating costs

Materiality

£0.932m
Council financial statements materiality
(PY: £1.008m)



£0.047m
Misstatements reported to the Accounts and Audit Committee
(PY: £0.050m)

IT audit strategy

In accordance with ISA (UK) 315, we are required to obtain an understanding of the information systems relevant to financial reporting to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement. As part of this we obtain an understanding of the controls operating over relevant Information Technology (IT) systems i.e., IT general controls (ITGCs). Our audit will include completing an assessment of the design of ITGCs related to security management; technology acquisition, development and maintenance; and technology infrastructure. Based on the level of assurance required for each IT system the assessment may focus on evaluating key risk areas ('streamlined assessment') or be more in depth ('detailed assessment').

The following IT systems have been judged to be in scope for our audit and based on the planned financial statement audit approach we will perform the indicated level of assessment:

IT system	Audit area	Planned level IT audit assessment
Civica Financials	Financial Reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Streamlined ITGC design assessment
Northgate	Council Tax, Business Rates, Benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Streamlined ITGC design assessment

Value for Money arrangements

Approach to Value for Money work for 2021/22

The National Audit Office (NAO) issued updated guidance for auditors in April 2020. The Code requires auditors to consider whether the body has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. When reporting on these arrangements, the Code requires auditors to structure their commentary on arrangements under three specified reporting criteria. These are as set out below:



Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Arrangements for improving the way the body delivers its services. This includes arrangements for understanding costs and delivering efficiencies and improving outcomes for service users.



Financial Sustainability

Arrangements for ensuring the body can continue to deliver services. This includes planning resources to ensure adequate finances and maintain sustainable levels of spending over the medium term (3-5 years)



Governance

Arrangements for ensuring that the body makes appropriate decisions in the right way. This includes arrangements for budget setting and management, risk management, and ensuring the body makes decisions based on appropriate information

We have not identified any risks of significant weaknesses from our initial planning work. We will continue our review of your arrangements, including reviewing your Annual Governance Statement, before we issue our auditor's annual report.



Audit logistics and team



Georgia Jones, Key Audit Partner



Key contact for senior management and Accounts and Audit.

Georgia will oversee the implementation and delivery of the audit and will be the audit signatory. She will meet with senior management to help identify risks for the audit and provide advice and assistance as required.

Sophia Iqbal, Audit Manager



Sophia will work with senior members of the finance team ensuring testing is delivered and any accounting issues are addressed on a timely basis. She will also lead completion of the VFM assessment. She will attend Accounts and Audit Committee with Georgia, and will undertake reviews of the team's work and draft clear, concise and understandable reports.

Jobelle Bongato, Audit Incharge



Jobelle will be the day to day contact for finance staff and is responsible for the day to day supervision of the audit team. She will regularly engage with the finance team to ensure there are no surprises arising from our work.

Audited body responsibilities

Where audited bodies do not deliver to the timetable agreed, we need to ensure that this does not impact on audit quality or absorb a disproportionate amount of time, thereby disadvantaging other audits. Where the elapsed time to complete an audit exceeds that agreed due to a client not meeting its obligations we will not be able to maintain a team on site. Similarly, where additional resources are needed to complete the audit due to a client not meeting their obligations we are not able to guarantee the delivery of the audit to the agreed timescales. In addition, delayed audits will incur additional audit fees.

Our requirements

To minimise the risk of a delayed audit, you need to ensure that you:

- produce draft financial statements of good quality by the agreed timetable you have agreed with us, including all notes, the Narrative Report and the Annual Governance Statement
- ensure that good quality working papers are available at the start of the audit, in accordance with the working paper requirements schedule that we have shared with you
- ensure that the agreed data reports are available to us at the start of the audit and are reconciled to the values in the accounts, in order to facilitate our selection of items for testing
- ensure that all appropriate staff are available on site throughout (or as otherwise agreed) the planned period of the audit
- respond promptly and adequately to audit queries.

Audit fees

In 2017, PSAA awarded a contract of audit for [insert client name] to begin with effect from 2018/19. The fee agreed in the contract was £30,956. Since that time, there have been a number of developments, particularly in relation to the revised Code and ISA's which are relevant for the 2021/22 audit.

Across all sectors and firms, the FRC has set out its expectation of improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing, as detailed on page 12 in relation to the updated ISA (UK) 540 (revised): Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures.

As a firm, we are absolutely committed to meeting the expectations of the FRC with regard to audit quality and public sector financial reporting. Our proposed work and fee for 2021/22, as set out below, is detailed overleaf and has been agreed with the Director of Resources.

	Actual Fee 2019/20	Actual Fee 2020/21	Proposed fee 2021/22
Pendle Council Audit	£44,685	£96,185	£62,635
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	£44,685	£96,185	£62,635

Assumptions

In setting the above fees, we have assumed that the Council will:

- prepare a good quality set of financial statements, supported by comprehensive and well presented working papers which are ready at the start of the audit
- provide appropriate analysis, support and evidence to support all critical judgements and significant judgements made during the course of preparing the financial statements
- provide early notice of proposed complex or unusual transactions which could have a material impact on the financial statements.

Relevant professional standards

In preparing our fee estimate, we have had regard to all relevant professional standards, including paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 of the FRC's [Ethical Standard \(revised 2019\)](#) which stipulate that the Engagement Lead (Key Audit Partner) must set a fee sufficient to enable the resourcing of the audit with partners and staff with appropriate time and skill to deliver an audit to the required professional and Ethical standards.

Independence and non-audit services

Auditor independence

Ethical Standards and ISA (UK) 260 require us to give you timely disclosure of all significant facts and matters that may bear upon the integrity, objectivity and independence of the firm or covered persons. relating to our independence. We encourage you to contact us to discuss these or any other independence issues with us. We will also discuss with you if we make additional significant judgements surrounding independence matters.

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We consulted with our Ethics team when the former Financial Services manager, Ian Pinches, was recruited to the Council as he had previously worked at Grant Thornton. Although he has now left the Council, he was there during 2021-22 so we are including this in our report to you. Our Ethics team confirmed that they did not believe the appointment created a threat to objectivity or independence for the audit.

We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard (Revised 2019) and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements. Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Ethical Standard. For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Council.

Other services

The following other services provided by Grant Thornton were identified.

The amounts detailed are fees agreed to-date for audit related and non-audit services to be undertaken by Grant Thornton UK LLP in the current financial year. These services are consistent with the Council's policy on the allotment of non-audit work to your auditors. Any changes and full details of all fees charged for audit related and non-audit related services by Grant Thornton UK LLP and by Grant Thornton International Limited network member Firms will be included in our Audit Findings report at the conclusion of the audit.


None of the services provided are subject to contingent fees.

Service	Fees £	Threats	Safeguards
Audit related			
Certification of Housing Benefit Subsidy Return	TBC	Self-Interest (because this is a recurring fee)	The level of this recurring fee taken on its own is unlikely to be considered a significant threat to independence as the fee for this work is generally significantly lower than the total fee for the audit of £62,635 and in particular relative to Grant Thornton UK LLP's turnover overall. Further, it is a fixed fee and there is no contingent element to it. These factors all mitigate the perceived self-interest threat to an acceptable level. We will report further once the fee is agreed.
Total	13,840		

Our digital audit experience

A key component of our overall audit experience is our comprehensive data analytics tool, which is supported by Inflo Software technology. This tool has a number of key functions within our audit process:

Function	Benefits for you
Data extraction	Providing us with your financial information is made easier
File sharing	An easy-to-use, ISO 27001 certified, purpose-built file sharing tool
Project management	Effective management and oversight of requests and responsibilities
Data analytics	Enhanced assurance from access to complete data populations



Grant Thornton's Analytics solution is supported by Inflo Software technology

Our digital audit experience

A key component of our overall audit experience is our comprehensive data analytics tool, which is supported by Inflo Software technology. This tool has a number of key functions within our audit process:



Data extraction

- Real-time access to data
- Easy step-by-step guides to support you upload your data



File sharing

- Task-based ISO 27001 certified file sharing space, ensuring requests for each task are easy to follow
- Ability to communicate in the tool, ensuring all team members have visibility on discussions about your audit, reducing duplication of work



Project management

- Facilitates oversight of requests
- Access to a live request list at all times



Data analytics

- Relationship mapping, allowing understanding of whole cycles to be obtained quickly
- Visualisation of transactions, allowing easy identification of trends and anomalies

How will analytics add value to your audit?

Analytics will add value to your audit in a number of ways. We see the key benefits of extensive use of data analytics within the audit process to be the following:

Improved fraud procedures using powerful anomaly detection

Being able to analyse every accounting transaction across your business enhances our fraud procedures. We can immediately identify high risk transactions, focusing our work on these to provide greater assurance to you, and other stakeholders.

Examples of anomaly detection include analysis of user activity, which may highlight inappropriate access permissions, and reviewing seldom used accounts, which could identify efficiencies through reducing unnecessary codes and therefore unnecessary internal maintenance.

Another product of this is identification of issues that are not specific to individual postings, such as training requirements being identified for members of staff with high error rates, or who are relying on use of suspense accounts.

More time for you to perform the day job

Providing all this additional value does not require additional input from you or your team. In fact, less of your time is required to prepare information for the audit and to provide supporting information to us.

Complete extracts from your general ledger will be obtained from the data provided to us and requests will therefore be reduced.





We provide transparent project management, allowing us to seamlessly collaborate with each other to complete the audit on time and around other commitments.

We will both have access to a dashboard which provides a real-time overview of audit progress, down to individual information items we need from each other. Tasks can easily be allocated across your team to ensure roles and responsibilities are well defined.





Using filters, you and your team will quickly be able to identify actions required, meaning any delays can be flagged earlier in the process. Accessible through any browser, the audit status is always available on any device providing you with the information to work flexibly around your other commitments.

Appendix 1: Progress against prior year audit recommendations

We identified the following issues in our 2020/21 audit of the Council's financial statements, which resulted in 3 recommendations being reported in our 2020/21 Audit Findings Report.. We will follow up these recommendations as part of our 2021/22 audit work.

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue (management update)
 High	Revaluation of Assets Assets are revalued as at the 1 April but we recommend that valuation of land and buildings is undertaken as at 31 March of the year of the accounts. There is a risk that valuations undertaken as at 1 April could move by a material amount if there were any significant fluctuations in the market over the year.	The Asset Re-Valuation individual Report will continue to have 1st April. The Valuations are carried out RICS Red Book compliant during the Financial years. Comparable and Market trends are observed throughout and 'Impairment' review considered if 'Market' changes or yields are seen to have taken place. (This has not been the case in recent years the Market in Pendle has been very static but stable in all categories).
 High	Assets not revalued Assets are revalued on a 5 year rolling program however no further work has been completed on assets not revalued. Management should complete their own assessment on these assets to confirm the value has not been materially changed.	A statement (paragraph) can be included in the Final Report of the Surveyor to confirm that the values reported have not changed and are materially accurate as at 31st March (end of Financial Year).
 Medium	Heritage assets The Code requires Surplus Assets to be stated at fair value therefore these assets are required to be revalued on an annual basis. The Council has revalued on an annual basis. The Council has revalued one surplus asset and used that as a basis to assess the value of other surplus assets. However this is not in line with Code requirements and there is a risk that other surplus asset valuation movements may not necessarily be the same as the asset revalued.	Surplus Assets are valued IFRS 13 (Highest and Best Value). Surplus Assets that require revaluation under the Rolling Programme will be undertaken with all other Surplus Assets reviewed to satisfy the Valuer that the Asset Value is still considered appropriate/acceptable. There are 2 points; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Valuation basis for all surplus assets Annual valuation of surplus assets
 Medium	Journals Authorisation Our system documentation on journals identified an issue where accountancy staff are able to authorise their own journals. However, a compensating control is in place whereby the Financial Services Managers, retrospectively reviewed all journals on a monthly basis. However, our testing on journals identified this control was not implemented from November 2020 onwards.	Monthly Check now being done every month by the Systems Team. The Financial services manager also reviews and approves the journals.

Appendix 1: Progress against prior year audit recommendations

Assessment	Issue and risk previously communicated	Update on actions taken to address the issue (management update)
 Low	Depreciation of Additions The current policy is to depreciate assets the year after acquisition however the Code requires assets to be depreciated as and when they are put into use. The current depreciation policy does not comply with Code requirements and there is a risk that over time depreciation will become increasingly misstated.	The Accounting Policies for 2021/22 have already been set and due to changes in staff it is not possible to implement this change in time for the 2021/22 Accounts but the Policy will be reviewed and amended for future years.
 Low	Depreciation of Assets Revalued in Year Assets revalued in year have a valuation date of 01/04/20 but have not been depreciated in the year. As assets are revalued as at the start of the year, depreciation should be applied for the remainder of the year. The current depreciation policy does not comply with Code requirements and that there is a risk that over time depreciation will become increasingly misstated.	The Code expects that accumulated depreciation and impairment will be eliminated when an asset is revalued. This is because these measures are accounting estimates of changes in value relating to the consumption of assets whose cumulative effect is confirmed or contested by a formal valuation reflecting the actual condition of the property at the valuation date. The depreciation and impairment estimates are therefore made redundant by the valuation.
 Low	Aged Debtors Our testing identified that there was one debtor balance (£377) included in the accounts which had been a debtor since 2005. As it seems unlikely this will now be collected the Council should consider writing off this debt and reviewing any similar long standing debtor balances	A review of aged debt will be carried out with Liberata UK to review and write off debt as necessary.
 Low	Related Party Transactions Our testing identified that 3 councillors answered No to the questions on association with any body. However the companies search identified that this was not the case.	RTP return were received from all Senior Officers. RTP were received from 25 out of 33 Elected Members despite numerous requests to complete. Additional support was provided to Member to complete the returns properly.

