Counter Terrorism & Security Act 2015 Pendle Borough Council Prevent Action Plan 2020-22

| Prevent Duty | Action | Who | When | Progress |
|---|---|---|-----------------|----------|
| 1. The organisation has a local risk assessment process reviewed against the Counter Terrorism Local Profile | | | | |
| 1.1 Is there a local risk assessment process which informs an action plan and is disseminated to partners? | Counter Terrorism Local Profile (CTLP) information to be considered, along with the Pendle Prevent Dashboard and local intelligence. This was discussed at the new East Prevent Delivery Group. | Localities & Policy (L&P) Manager | 15.06.20 | |
| 1.2 Do officers responsible for delivering Prevent work proactively alongside their police colleagues to develop local CTLPs? | Briefing meeting held to discuss what the CTLP means for Pendle | L&P Manager | 22.07.20 | |
| 1.3 Are CTLP findings disseminated at relevant levels? | Lancashire CTLP Cycle 10: April 2019 – March 2020 disseminated | Chief Executive / L&P Manager | 11.06.20 | |
| 2. There is an effective mu | ulti-agency partnership board in place to ov | ersee Prevent o | lelivery in the | area. |
| 2.1 Is there a multi-agency partnership board in place which oversees Prevent delivery in the area? | A new pan-Lancashire structure is in place from 2020/21: Contest Board Lancashire Prevent Partnership Board East Delivery Group | N/A | | |
| 2.2 Does the Prevent board have oversight of referral pathways, Channel and | This will happen via the above channels. | N/A | | |

| other statutory Prevent delivery? | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|----------|--|
| 2.3 Does the organisation seek and secure opportunities for partnership working with neighbouring local authorities? | Yes – informally and via the East Prevent Delivery Group once up and running. | N/A | | |
| 2.4 Is a designated elected member proactively involved in Prevent policy - setting, delivery and communications? | To be considered at P&R Meeting in August 2020. | L&P Manager | | |
| 3. The area has an agreed | Prevent Partnership Plan. | | | |
| 3.1 Do you have an agreed Prevent Partnership plan in place, which outlines the role of each local partner (specified authority or other Prevent board member) in delivering Prevent? | To be developed by the Lancashire Prevent Partnership Board or the East Delivery Group once up and running. PBC lead to link in. | L&P Manager to link in | | |
| 3.2 Are the organisation's responsibilities on Prevent referenced in relevant corporate and service strategies, plans and policies e.g.business plan, community safety strategy, safeguarding etc.? | Yes, in PBC Adult Safeguarding Policy. Review of other relevant policies to take place. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |

| | | T | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|------------------|---------|
| 3.3 Does the Prevent Partnership Plan acknowledge risk identified in the CTLP and allocate actions to tackle recommendations suggested within? | To be developed by the Lancashire Prevent Partnership Board or the East Delivery Group once up and running. PBC lead to link in. | L&P Manager to link in | | |
| 4 There is an agreed prod | cess in place for the referral of those identi | fied as being at | risk of radicali | isation |
| 4.1 Do you have an agreed process in place for the referral of those who are identified as at risk of being drawn into terrorism? | Led at a Lancashire level via the Channel Panel. Information available on PBC website and intranet – to check and update as appropriate in line with the new pan-Lancashire Prevent structure. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |
| 4.2 Are referred individuals offered support that is appropriate to their needs? | This is a function of the Channel Panel. | N/A | | |
| 5. There is a Channel Pan | el in place, meeting monthly, with represer | ntation from all r | elevant sector | S. |
| 5.1 Is there a Channel panel in place, which is Chaired by a senior local authority officer, and has representation from all relevant sectors including health, adults' and children's safeguarding, housing, probation providers and others (please name)? | Yes – pan-Lancashire level. | N/A | | |

| 6. There is a Prevent prob | lem solving process in place to disrupt rac | dicalising influer | nces. |
|---|---|--------------------|----------|
| 6.1 Is there a formal mechanism or strategy is in place for identifying and disrupting radicalising influencers, including individuals, institutions and ideologies present in the area? | Being taken forward by the Prevent Delivery Group. | L&P Manager | 15.6.20 |
| 6.2 Is there a named operational Prevent lead in each local authority area who can receive briefings and work with enforcement agencies to disrupt radicalisers? In the absence of the named lead, is there a deputy? | Yes, both a named operational Prevent lead and a deputy has been designated. | L&P Manager | 05.08.20 |
| 7. There is a training prog | ramme in place for relevant personnel. | | |
| 7.1 Are all relevant staff in the partnership and its commissioned services | Prevent Lead to access training and development opportunities as appropriate. | L&P Manager | 31.3.22 |
| aware of the signs of possible radicalisation and understand the need to raise concerns? | Staff and Elected Member Prevent training to be organised, including referral mechanisms. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 |
| 7.2 Do all relevant staff in the partnership and its commissioned services understand when and how | Prevent Lead to access training and development opportunities as appropriate. | L&P Manager | 31.3.22 |

| to make referrals to Channel and where to get additional advice and support? | Staff and Elected Member Prevent training to be organised, including referral mechanisms. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 7.3 Does the organisation measure and account for different levels of training need across different teams and sectors (including offering more specialist training where | Prevent Lead to access training and development opportunities as appropriate. Staff and Elected Member Prevent training to be organised, including referral mechanisms. | L&P Manager L&P Manager | 31.3.22 31.12.20 | |
| appropriate)? 7.6 Is clear, accessible information and publicity material on Prevent widely available for staff within the organisation? | Information available on PBC website and intranet – to check and update as appropriate in line with the new pan-Lancashire Prevent structure. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |
| | olicy in place, to ensure that premises are reto prevent the access of extremist materia | | | ncers, and an |
| 8.1 Do you have a venue hire policy in place which ensures that measures are taken to prevent local authority venues being used by those who might draw people into terrorism? | Venue hire policy to be developed to include checks on speakers. Work with BwD, Prevent Delivery Group and Liberata. Share with Town and Parish Councils and PLT and encourage them to adopt the policy. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |
| 8.2 Do you have an IT policy which prevents the access of terrorism-related | Work with IT to make this explicit. | L&P Manager | 31.3.21 | |

| content or the promotion materials by users of the organisation's networks? | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 8.3 Do you have a speaker policy which alerts venues in the area (local authority or otherwise) to the risks associated with designated speakers who are known to be radicalising influences? | Venue hire policy to be developed to include checks on speakers. Work with BwD, Prevent Delivery Group and Liberata. Share with Town and Parish Councils and PLT and encourage them to adopt the policy. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |
| | ith a range of communities and civil societ ansparent dialogue on the Prevent Duty. | y groups, both f | aith-based and | d secular, to |
| 9.1 Does the organisation engage with a range of community and civil society groups, both faith-based and secular, to encourage an open and transparent dialogue on Prevent? | Work with the Prevent Delivery Group and CCAN to consider how to deliver this constructively. | L&P Manager | 31.3.21 | |
| 9.2 Does a Community Advisory Group meet regularly to advise on Prevent delivery? | No – to be considered by the Prevent Delivery Group. | | | |
| 9.3 Does the organisation work with Civil Society Organisations to deliver local projects to support those at risk of radicalisation? | No – to be considered by the Prevent Delivery Group. | | | |

| 10. There is a communications plan in place to proactively communicate and increase transparency of the reality / impact of Prevent work, and support frontline staff and communities to understand what Prevent looks like in practice. | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|----------|--|
| 10.1 Does the organisation communicate Prevent activity in a way which is proportionate and relevant to the context of the local area? | Information available on PBC website and intranet – to check and update as appropriate in line with the new pan-Lancashire Prevent structure and Delivery Group. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |
| 10.2 Does the organisation have a formal communications plan which proactively communicates the impact of Prevent to professionals and communities? | Information available on PBC website and intranet – to check and update as appropriate in line with the new pan-Lancashire Prevent structure and Delivery Group. | L&P Manager | 31.12.20 | |