

## Appendix 2 – TEMPO Evaluation

# Tree Evaluation for Preservation Order

<b>Date:</b> 24/05/2019	<b>Surveyor:</b> Lee Johnson
-------------------------	------------------------------

**Tree Details**

<b>TPO Reference:</b> TPO/NO1/2019	<b>Tree/Group Number:</b> T1
<b>Owner:</b> LCC	<b>Location:</b> Reedley Grove, Reedley (outside 6 and 8)
<b>Species:</b> Sycamore	

## Part 1 - Amenity Assessment

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

Score	Condition	Suitability	Notes
5	Good	Highly Suitable	Tree is a large sycamore located in the highway. (see additional notes)
3	Fair/Satisfactory	Suitable	
1	Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0	Dead/Dying/Dangerous*	Unsuitable	

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span & suitability for TPO**

Score	Retention Span (years)	Suitability	Notes
5	100+	Highly Suitable	Although this is a mature tree it is in good condition.
4	40-100	Very Suitable	
2	20-40	Suitable	
1	10-20	Just Suitable	
0	<10*	Unsuitable	

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

*Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use*

Score	Situation	Suitability	Notes
5	Very large trees with some visibility or prominent large trees	Highly Suitable	Located in the highway. Clearly visible off the street and Colne Road.
4	Large or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3	Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2	Young, small or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely Suitable	
1	Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably suitable	

**Additional Notes**

**d) Other factors**

*Trees must have accrued 7 or more points to qualify*

Score	Factor	Notes
5	Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	The tree does have nice form but its not particularlyly rare. It doesn't score 2
4	Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3	Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2	Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1	Trees with non of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	
-1	Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location	

## Part 2 - Expediency Assessment

*Tree must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify*

Score	Condition	Notes
5	Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Potential for adjacent landowner to carry out unauthorised works to the tree.
3	Foreseeable threat to tree	
2	Perceived threat to tree	
1	Precautionary only	

## Part 3 - Decision Guide

Score	Retention Span (years)	Total Score	Decision
Any 0	Do not apply TPO	16	TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

<b>Surveyor Signature:</b> Lee Johnson	<b>Date:</b> 24/05/2019
---	----------------------------

## **TPO/NO1/2019 – Officers Notes**

Date of Visit: 24<sup>th</sup> May 2019

Time: 14:45

Large sycamore tree that is located within the highway adjacent to number 6 and 8 Reedley Grove. The tree is around 15 metres tall. It is a single stem tree with a crown break at around 3 metres. At the crown break the tree splits into 6 co-dominant leaders. Although some of the unions are tight there is no apparent bark inclusion and no sign of water retention or rot.

On the eastern leader there is an unoccluded pruning wound. No particular sign of rot.

The canopy spreads around 3m north over the gardens of the properties and 5m south over the road. Spread to west is around 6m and 5m east.

There is evidence of root damage to the footpath surface however, this does not present a trip hazard at this time. There is also movement in the kerb but again not hazardous. Water apparatus (possibly metres) have been installed within the root zone of the tree (less than a metre from the stem). This does not seem to have an impact on the tree. Other utilities have been installed near the tree. These seem to be historical and not in the recent past. No sign of fungal fruiting bodies. Tree is well leafed. No sign of deadwood or twigging.

### **Amenity Value**

The tree sits in the highway. Reedley Grove is a cul-de-sac adjacent to the busy Colne Road. It is the first visible tree on the left hand side of the road. There are five street trees along the road. The houses appear to have been built between the two world wars. A previous search of aerial photographs shows the tree situated in the location in the 1940's. Due to the constraints of the site I expect that this is probably the same tree. As a result it would be easy to say that the relationship of the trees to the properties adds to both the amenity and cultural value of the tree. Street trees were a prominent feature of housing development design of this period.

### **Health and Safety**

The tree has a slight lean towards the properties and should it fail in the root ten it is likely to go that way. However, there is nothing to indicate that this is likely to happen. The tree is in a healthy condition and has adapted to its constraints. As a result it can only be determined that the risk of injury or damage is low. I would recommend a re-inspection in 2 years time.

### **Note**

This is a fine example of a street tree! No nesting birds observed.