




Pendle Local Plan Part 2



Open Space Audit



February 2019



For an alternative format of this document phone 01282 661330



Versions

Document:	Pendle Open Space Audit
Legislation:	-
Regulations:	-
Author:	Pendle Borough Council
Document Reference:	LP2/EVB/001/2018

Version	Date	Detail	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
1.1	09.07.2018	Initial draft	Jonathan Dicken	John Halton	NA
1.2	13.07.2018	Revised draft	Jonathan Dicken	John Halton	John Halton
1.3	19.07.2018	Draft for internal comment	Jonathan Dicken	Kieron Roberts	NA
1.4	06.12.2018	Amended draft	John Halton	Neil Watson	NA
1.5	01.02.2019	Final draft	John Halton	Neil Watson	P& R Committee

Preface

The Pendle Open Space Audit 2019 has been updated help inform the development of policies to be included in Pendle Local Plan Part 2: Site Allocations and Development Policies. It reflects current best practice and replaces the previous Open Space Audit published in 2008.

Individual open space sites can perform a number of quite different functions, which in turn offer a wide range of associated benefits. The accompanying Green Infrastructure Strategy explores the multifunctional role of open space in greater detail.

The sites identified in the OSA 2019 provide space for sport, recreation and leisure, nature conservation, civic occasions and community events. The key benefits they provide are listed below:

- Encourages increased levels of physical activity
- Improves mental health
- Provides opportunities to connect with the natural environment
- Offers space for local food production
- Creates a greener and more pleasant environment
- Helps to combat a range of environmental problems including air quality, flooding and climate change.

These benefits demonstrate the important role open space plays in encouraging people to adopt healthier lifestyles and in improving the overall quality of life for residents in the borough.

OSA 2019 provides an up-to-date analysis of current open space provision in Pendle. It considers provision at the neighbourhood level by looking at the quantity, quality and accessibility of provision in Electoral Wards and on a wider footprint by considering the areas administered by the Council's five Area Committees. The results highlight which of these areas have a surplus or deficiency when measured against the average provision rate (APR) for the borough within a particular typology.

The format of this audit responds to feedback we received on the 2008 edition. For the first time, it establishes local standards for the expected quantity, quality and accessibility of open space in Pendle. It provides more in-depth analysis by assessing the performance of existing open space sites against the three standards that have been established; identifying where gaps in provision are most acute; and where quality needs to be improved.

The ward profiles (Appendix 2) have been expanded to provide a better summary of open space provision within the ward and to show where provision in a neighbouring ward may help to adequately address a apparent deficiencies.

Contents

Preface	3
1. Background.....	7
Introduction	7
The open space audit	7
2. Policy Overview	9
National planning policy.....	9
Local planning policy	9
Links to other strategies.....	10
3. Methodology	11
Introduction	11
Open space definition and typologies	11
Quantity assessment.....	15
Quality assessment	16
Accessibility assessment	17
4. Local Standards.....	19
Introduction	19
Quantity standards.....	19
Quality standards	20
Accessibility standards	21
5. Survey Results.....	25
Introduction	25
Quantity findings.....	25
Quality findings	69
Accessibility findings	74
Site size, ownership and access	83
Additional provision	84
6. Conclusions.....	85

Contents

Appendices

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria.....	87
Appendix 2: Ward Profiles	95
Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology.....	187

Background

Background

1. Background

Introduction

- 1.1 Open space is a valuable asset that is vital to the quality of life of those who live in the towns and villages of Pendle.
- 1.2 Open space has many benefits; providing space for local communities to interact with each other, nature and the built environment. Open space also has wider benefits, helping to meet the challenges presented by climate change and flooding. Street trees and woodland provide urban cooling, whilst Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) help to reduce the overland flow of rainwater following a storm event.
- 1.3 The planning system has an important role to play to ensure that the provision of open space is sufficient to meet the needs of the local population. It is also tasked with protecting open space from development and putting in place policies that help to increase the level of provision and seek improvements in quality and accessibility.

The open space audit

- 1.4 The Pendle Open Space Audit 2019 (OSA 2019) provides information on the quantity, quality, distribution and accessibility of open space in the borough. In particular it identifies those areas with either a surplus or deficit of open space when compared to the borough-wide average. This document replaces the previous Open Space Audit, which was adopted in 2008 (OSA 2008); highlighting any changes in levels of provision and quality that have occurred in the last 10 years.
- 1.5 The publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) in July 2018 and the subsequent Planning Practice Guidance (PPG), provide the most recent guidance on planning for open space. Pendle Council is currently in the process of preparing the Pendle Local Plan Part 2: Site Allocations and Development Policies (LP2) and needs to ensure that there is an up-to-date evidence base on open space provision to underpin the policies and site allocations in this plan.
- 1.6 The OSA 2019 also provides the Council with an opportunity to establish a set of local standards for the amount, quality and accessibility of open space that it expects to be provided within local neighbourhoods and at a borough-wide level. These standards will be incorporated into LP2 to help ensure that, where necessary, developers are required to provide sufficient open space in new developments to meet the needs of local residents.
- 1.7 The audit is also an essential source of information for the Pendle Green Infrastructure Strategy, another evidence base document underpinning LP2, which is being prepared simultaneously by consultants LUC.

Policy Overview

Policy Overview

2. Policy Overview

National planning policy

2.1 The NPPF (paragraph 96) states that:

“Access to a network of high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and physical activity is important for the health and well-being of communities. Planning policies should be based on robust and up-to-date assessments of the need for open space, sport and recreation facilities (including quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses) and opportunities for new provision. Information gained from the assessments should be used to determine what open space, sport and recreational provision is needed, which plans should then seek to accommodate.”

2.2 The OSA 2019 will establish the amount and quality of open space across Pendle. Together with up-to-date population statistics, this information is used to help identify areas of the borough with a potential surplus or deficiency of open space provision. Analysis of the data will help to inform the preparation of new planning policies addressing open space.

2.3 The PPG (ID: 37-001-20140306) states that:

“Open space should be taken into account in planning for new development and considering proposals that may affect existing open space” and “it is for local planning authorities to assess the need for open space and opportunities for new provision in their areas. In carrying out this work, they should have regard to the duty to cooperate where open space serves a wider area.”

2.4 The OSA 2019 identifies open space sites within neighbouring local authorities, which play a strategic role in open space provision and are likely to be accessed and used by Pendle residents on a regular basis.

Local planning policy

2.5 The Pendle Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy (LP1) (December 2015) sets out the strategic approach to planning for open space throughout the borough. Policy ENV1: Protecting and Enhancing Our Natural and Historic Environments indicates that:

“Existing open spaces will be protected from development. The Council will encourage and support improvements to these spaces and the route ways between them along with the creation of new sites as part of a wider programme of green infrastructure provision.”

2.6 Policy SUP2: Health and Well-being also indicates that:

“The Council will work with partners to deliver key developments which will improve the health and well-being of people in Pendle, and will: - support the provision and enhancement of open space to improve the long-term health prospects and future well-being of local residents; - support the provision of better access and links to the natural environment”.

Policy Overview

- 2.7 The OSA 2019 supports the strategic approach set out in LP1, by helping to identify those areas most in need of new open space provision and those areas where existing open space sites would benefit from improvement. The results of the audit will be used to inform the preparation of policies in LP2, which will provide further detail about when new open space provision will be expected in new developments and where this open space should ideally be located.

Links to other strategies

- 2.8 A number of other strategies also provide evidence on open space, sport and recreation. Whilst recognising that there will inevitably be some cross-over with these documents, this audit covers the full range of open space provision in Pendle.
- 2.9 The Rossendale, Burnley and Pendle Playing Pitch Strategy was prepared by consultants Knight, Kavanagh & Page, with support from the national governing bodies and Sport England, and published in April 2016. It provides details of sports pitches across the three boroughs and sets out a strategy for improvements, enhancement and new provision.
- 2.10 The Pendle Green Infrastructure (GI) Strategy is currently being prepared by consultants LUC. This strategy will identify the wider role played by the borough's individual GI assets; by mapping the network of GI spaces; highlighting gaps in provision and looking at the opportunities to provide new GI to address any gaps that may exist. The findings from the OSA 2019 will help to inform this work.

Methodology

3. Methodology

Introduction

- 3.1 The methodology used in the OSA 2008 followed the guidance contained in PPG17: Planning for Open Space, Sport and Recreation; in particular the companion guide “Assessing Needs and Opportunities”. In March 2014, this was replaced by the Planning Practice Guidance, which was in turn updated in July 2018.
- 3.2 The new guidance offers little practical detail in terms of the approach that should be taken when assessing open space provision. As such the method employed in the OSA 2008 has been reviewed and revised, to take account of examples of best practice that have emerged in recent years and the information contained in publications such as Open Space Strategies: Best Practice Guidance (CABE, 2009).
- 3.3 To understand the current levels of open space provision in the borough, the audit must look at the following elements as part of the review:
- **Quantity:** establish the current quantity of open space in the borough.
 - **Quality:** carry out on-site surveys of the open space sites (limited to certain typologies) to assess quality and record the condition of each site.
 - **Accessibility:** establish the accessibility of each site i.e. how many properties are within a certain distance of the site.
 - **Standards:** using the findings from the audit and looking at national and other local evidence, derive a set of standards for the amount of open space that should be provided in each area.
- 3.4 The OSA 2008 only looked at those open spaces wholly within a settlement, or “within walking distance” (300m) of the settlement boundary, as defined on the Policies Map accompanying the Local Plan. This distance threshold was derived from the Accessible Natural Greenspace Standards (ANGST), which sets a minimum requirement for people within towns and cities to have an accessible greenspace within 300m of their home (i.e. equivalent to a walk of approximately 5 minutes). This approach has been continued in the OSA 2019 to allow comparisons to be made with the previous audit and to take account of recognised standards addressing accessibility. The OSA 2019 includes sites which extend beyond the 300m threshold and for completeness a small number of sites of local significance, which are located beyond it (e.g. Ghyll Golf Course, Barnoldswick).

Open space definition and typologies

- 3.5 It is important to define the term open space in order to set clear parameters about the types of site to be considered in the assessment. Within the planning system there is a wide range of definitions for open space and each of these is considered in turn below.
- 3.6 In the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Section 336 describes open space as:

“land laid out as public garden, or used for the purposes of public recreation, or land which is a disused burial ground”.

Methodology

- 3.7 The glossary in the NPPF (page 69) provides a wider definition:

“All open space of public value, including not just land, but also areas of water (such as rivers, canals, lakes and reservoirs) which offer important opportunities for sport and recreation and can act as a visual amenity”.

- 3.8 The PPG supplements this definition indicating that open space:

“... includes all open space of public value, can take many forms, from formal sports pitches to open areas within a development, linear corridors and country parks.”

- 3.9 The document Open Space Strategies: Best Practice Guidance (CABE, 2009) indicates that they should identify:

“All open spaces within a local authority area regardless of ownership and the extent of public access, except private gardens.”

- 3.10 For the purpose of the OSA 2019, open space is defined as:

“All open space, in public or private ownership, that is located within a defined settlement or within 300 metres of a settlement boundary. These spaces should provide amenity value to a community in the form of visual, environmental, recreational, social or economic benefits together with all formally designated recreation areas irrespective of their location. These spaces can include areas of both land and water. It does not include land within the curtilage of a private dwelling or farmland, unless covered by a formal environmental designation.”

- 3.11 The audit does not include a minimum size threshold as it is recognised that even relatively small spaces can provide an amenity or even recreation function within a community. However, some types of open space are included in the audit because, although they may offer some incidental amenity space or natural greenspace, they do not realistically provide any specific value and are therefore considered to be outside the remit of this audit. Examples of such spaces are:

- roadside verges;
- private residential gardens; and
- SLOAP – the acronym for “space left over after planning”, which refers to the small areas of (green) space left between streets and rigidly rectilinear buildings.

- 3.12 These small spaces can contribute to wider green infrastructure objectives and are considered in the emerging Pendle GI Strategy.

- 3.13 The OSA 2008 established a number of open space typologies for consideration in Pendle, based on those identified in earlier planning policy guidance. In OSA 2019 these typologies have been reviewed and brought into line with those set out in Open Space Strategies: Best Practice Guide (CABE, 2009). A full list of the open space typologies considered in this audit is provided in Table 3.1 (below).

Methodology

Table 3.1 – Open Space Typologies

CABE Typologies	Pendle Typologies
Allotments, community gardens and city (urban) farms	Allotments – includes sites that provide opportunities for those who wish to grow their own produce (food) as part of the promotion of sustainability, health and social inclusion.
Amenity green space (most commonly but not exclusively in housing areas) – including informal recreation spaces, green spaces in and around housing, domestic gardens and village greens.	Amenity Greenspace – includes sites that provide opportunities for informal activities close to home or work or for the enhancement of the appearance of residential or other areas (visual amenity).
Cemeteries and churchyards	Cemeteries – sites which provide for quiet contemplation and the burial of the dead. These areas also provide useful links to wildlife conservation and biodiversity.
Civic spaces – including civic and market squares and other hard-surfaces areas designed for pedestrians.	Civic Space – includes sites that provide a setting for civic buildings, public demonstrations and community events.
Green corridors – including river and canal banks, cycleways and rights of way.	Green & Blue Corridors – includes sites that are used for walking, cycling or horse riding, whether for leisure purposes or travel, and opportunities for wildlife migration. Also includes canals, rivers, former transport corridors etc.
Natural and semi-natural urban green spaces - including woodlands, urban forestry, scrub, grasslands (for example downlands, commons and meadows), wetlands, open and running water, wastelands, and derelict open land and rock areas (for example cliffs, quarries and pits).	Natural Greenspace (including woodland) – includes sites for wildlife conservation, biodiversity and environmental education and awareness. It includes formal designations such as BHSS, LNRs and LNIs. It also includes woodland sites (these have been identified through the 2002 ELWOOD survey but have been updated using aerial photography). Other 'wild', unmaintained, Greenspace have also been included under this typology in the 2019 audit. This has seen the reclassification of some Amenity Greenspace sites under this typology.
Outdoor sports facilities (with natural or artificial surfaces and either publicly or privately owned) – including tennis courts, bowling greens, sports pitches, golf courses, athletics tracks and school and other institutional playing fields.	Outdoor Sports – includes sites that are used for the participation of outdoor sports, such as pitch sports, tennis, bowls, athletics, countryside and water sports.
Parks and gardens – including urban parks, country parks and formal gardens.	Parks – this includes urban and country parks - accessible, high quality opportunities for informal recreation and community events.

Methodology

CABE Typologies	Pendle Typologies
Provision for children and teenagers – including play areas, skateboard parks and outdoor basketball hoops, and other more informal areas (for example hanging out areas, teenage shelters).	Play Areas - areas designated for play and social interaction involving children and young people. Includes equipped play areas (EPAs), multi-use games areas (MUGA), skateboard areas and teenage shelters. (Includes the previous Equipped Area for Play typology)

- 3.14 Geographical Information System (GIS) software has been used to map each open space site. Each polygon on the map is populated with metadata from the accompanying Microsoft Access database, which includes the following fields:
- Site Reference
 - Site Name
 - Street
 - Town
 - Ward
 - Parish
 - Site size (ha)
 - Typology
 - Ownership
- 3.15 Sites are classified by typology, based on the primary role/function that the site performs. Some sites inevitably qualify under more than one typology. This is particularly true for areas classified as woodland – as these are often within an area of Amenity Greenspace or a Park. Wherever possible only one typology has been assigned to a particular site in order to avoid double counting.¹
- 3.16 Sites from the OSA 2008 were carried forward for consideration in the OSA 2019. The site assessment work was used to identify any changes to the status of these sites. Where necessary this included the reclassification of sites where the previous typology was no longer appropriate; and the removal of sites from the audit where they had been lost to development or had ceased to perform an open space function. A key change from the OSA 2008 is that all Equipped Play Areas (EPAs) are now classified under the Play Areas typology. Sub-categories within this typology are recorded and include EPAs and Multi-use Games Areas (MUGAs) allowing for the separate analysis of such sites where necessary.

¹ This can result in the apparent under-recording of a particular typology (see Section 5, Footnote 4).

Methodology

Quantity assessment

- 3.17 The quantity of open space is measured in two ways – the number of open space sites and the area that they cover.
- 3.18 With the availability of better quality GIS mapping, the opportunity has been taken to re-map each site in OSA 2019, to ensure that the site area recorded is as accurate as possible. Every site within each typology has been replotted at a larger scale on OS Mastermap. This has provided greater accuracy, but has also resulted in a decrease in the site area reported for some site. This means that direct comparisons between the figures in OSA 2008 and OSA 2019 are not always possible.
- 3.19 To determine how much open space is currently provided within the borough, the following tasks have been carried out:
- Review of OSA 2008 to provide the initial list of sites for consideration.
 - Re-appraisal of the existing sites – identifying those that no longer perform an open space function, due to redevelopment etc.
 - Identification of new open spaces – looking at existing datasets: maps, aerial photography, planning application records, grounds maintenance records, property services records, the Rossendale, Burnley and Pendle Playing Pitch Strategy, Sport England Active Places Power dataset and local publications to identify sports clubs etc.
 - Use GIS mapping to record the size of each site and identify the Ward and Area Committee area in which it is located.²
- 3.20 The assessment calculates the quantity of provision by population, by typology and for different spatial areas, to help determine the amount of open space provision per person.
- 3.21 The OSA 2019 assesses the provision of open space for each of the borough's 20 wards, as population and household statistics are readily available at this level. Since the OSA 2008 was published, data from the 2011 Census has been released and these new figures are used in OSA 2019. The analysis also considers open space provision within the areas administered by each of the borough's five Area Committees. These are an amalgamation of adjacent wards and provide the opportunity for a higher level of spatial analysis. This approach was employed in the OSA 2008 to help provide a clearer overview of open space provision on a wider footprint and highlight where high or low levels of open space provision within a particular ward may not necessarily be a significant concern (Figure 3.1).
- 3.22 The quantity assessment is used to determine those areas of the borough which have a surplus or deficit in open space provision (i.e. quantities per person above or below the average for the borough). Some caution must be applied to this approach as there is significant variation in the levels of provision for particular typologies within some inner urban wards, where high density terraced housing has left little room for the provision of open space. In contrast in the outer urban areas and rural wards, where lower density development is prevalent, levels of open space provision are often greater.

² The Boundary Commission intends to publish a review of ward boundaries in 2019. This will not affect the findings of this study, which uses wards as a geographical unit to measure the provision of open space for a given population.

Methodology




Figure 3.1 – Wards and Area Committee Areas

- 3.23 It is also useful to assess the amount of open space against the quantity standards derived from a wide range of other sources. This approach helps to highlight those areas where there is an under provision of open space in comparison to the relevant standard. Standards have been established for each of the different open space typologies with details provided in Chapter 4.

Quality assessment

- 3.24 Assessing the quality of open space provision allows resources to be targeted at those open spaces, wards or Area Committee areas where improvement is most needed.
- 3.25 To properly assess the quality of open space provision in the borough it is necessary to conduct an inspection of each site. In the OSA 2008 a pro-forma was developed to assist with the accuracy and consistency of the survey work. Bespoke scoring criteria were developed for the following open space typologies: Amenity Greenspace, Civic Spaces, Outdoor Sports, Parks and Play Areas.

Methodology

- 3.26 Appendix 1 sets out the scoring criteria used in the OSA 2019 to assess the sites within each open space typology. These criteria are based on those set out in the Companion Guide to PPG17, which are still relevant when assessing the quality of a site. They consider key aspects of the site including hard surfaces; grassed areas; the choice and quality of equipment (where relevant to the typology) and other assets such as litter bins, seating and lighting; overall cleanliness and maintenance.
- 3.27 Some of the criteria have been amended since the OSA 2008 to take account of issues highlighted during the survey work for this audit; to better reflect emerging best practice; and to clarify how the criteria should be applied when carrying out site visits. For example the criteria used to assess the “Main Entrance” of a site has been simplified from a five level score to a three level score, allowing for clearer distinctions to be made between sites. To avoid distorting the results, for assets such as litter bins and seating, a mid-range score of three points has been awarded to sites where the provision of such assets cannot reasonably be expected (e.g. smaller amenity greenspace sites, which primarily fulfil a visual role).
- 3.28 Site visits were conducted between February and May 2018. A pro-forma (scoring sheet) with a location plan was used to record the scores for each site.

Accessibility assessment

- 3.29 Considering the accessibility of open spaces in terms of their proximity to housing and public transport, helps to determine whether local residents are able to benefit from the provision of open space, by identifying those areas with inadequate access to open space, which can then be addressed through the policies in the Local Plan.
- 3.30 Natural England has published evidence which demonstrates that access to open space and the natural environment can help to improve health and well-being by providing opportunities for both formal and informal recreation. It also shows that experiencing nature in the outdoors can contribute towards tackling obesity, coronary heart disease and mental health problems.
- 3.31 Chapter 4 looks in detail at the national accessibility standards published by Fields in Trust and Natural England – Accessible Greenspace Standards (ANGSt). Their evidence, together with the findings of research on sustainable access to local services and facilities (including open space), is used to establish local accessibility standards for the different open space typologies in Pendle.

Survey Results

Survey Results

4. Local Standards

Introduction

- 4.1 The OSA 2008 did not set specific local standards for quantity, quality or accessibility. However, to ensure there is adequate provision of open space for the borough's residents, and to help developers determine the amount of open space required in new developments, the OSA 2019 has identified a set of local standards by comparing local data with nationally recommended standards and the consideration of best practice and emerging guidance.
- 4.2 Standards for the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space are outlined below:

Quantity standards

- 4.3 There are a number of nationally recommended standards, which provide guidance as to the amount of open space that should be provided per 1,000 population. Fields in Trust (FiT) (formerly the National Playing Fields Association) has derived a set of quantity standards for different typologies of open space. In Table 4.1 these are set out alongside the average level provision for each typology in the borough (as derived from the survey results) to help benchmark the current position.

Table 4.1 – Quantity Standards

Typology	Fields in Trust Quantity Standard (ha/1,000 population)	Average provision in Pendle (2019 Audit)
Allotments	No standard set	0.38
Amenity Greenspace	0.60	0.40
Cemeteries	No standard set	0.22
Civic Spaces	No standard set	0.02
Green and Blue Corridors ¹	1.80	0.84
Natural Greenspace (including Woodland)	1.80	4.54
Outdoor Sports	1.60	2.89
Parks	0.80	0.72
Play Areas	0.25	0.13

¹ Corresponds with the standard for Natural Greenspace.

- 4.4 These standards /average provision levels are used to assess the amount of open space by typology in each ward and Area Committee area. This helps to identify those areas, which are not meeting the recommended standards and highlight where additional provision is needed.

Survey Results

- 4.5 Quantity standards should not be seen as absolutes and where provision levels are greater than the standards this does not automatically imply that there is a surplus of open space. Particularly at ward level, a surplus within one ward may help to counterbalance a deficiency in an adjacent ward, thereby helping to ensure that there is an appropriate distribution of open space in the borough at the neighbourhood level.

Quality standards

- 4.6 There are no specific nationally set quality standards for open space. At a local level quality standards can be derived by using the scores from the on-site assessment work to provide a local benchmark of quality, based on the score of a site deemed to be of good quality.
- 4.7 These local standards should relate to the criteria used to assess the sites, helping to identify those sites where improvements are required and to prioritise the allocation of resources and funding.
- 4.8 Open Space Strategies: Best Practice Guidance (CABE, 2009) indicates that quality standards should be an aspiration for existing spaces where improvements are needed and a requirement for new spaces provided by developers.
- 4.9 Fields in Trust provide some quality guidelines, which can also be used to help establish local quality standards. These require sites to be:
- Located where they are of most value to the community to be served
 - Appropriately landscaped
 - Maintained safely and to the highest possible condition with the available finances
 - Provision of appropriate ancillary facilities and equipment
 - Provision of footpath
 - Designed so as to be free of the fear of crime
 - Set local standards for outdoor sports pitches and equipped play areas.
- 4.10 These are addressed by the criteria used to score the quality of sites in the audits prepared by Pendle Council in 2008 and 2019.
- 4.11 The quality standards in Pendle use a quartile-based system to categorise the sites within each typology. The quartiles are derived by using the lowest and highest scores received within each typology. The upper quartile scores are deemed to represent good quality, whilst the two middle quartiles and the lower quartile represent moderate and poor quality respectively.
- 4.12 The quality scoring can be used to help establish priorities for refurbishment and enhancement. Those sites with a poor quality score are identified as being a high priority for such treatments.
- 4.13 Section 5 considers the detailed findings from the assessment of sites, using the standards set out in Table 4.2.

Survey Results

Table 4.2 – Quality Standards

Typology	Quality Score			
	Lower Quartile	Middle Quartiles	Upper Quartile ¹	Maximum Possible Score
Quality	POOR	MODERATE	GOOD	
Amenity Greenspace	18-24	25-38	39-45	49
Civic Space	38-43	44-53	54-59	63
Outdoor Sports	28-36	37-51	52-60	67
Parks	39-44	45-56	57-62	78
Play Areas (EPA)	37-42	43-54	55-60	68
Play Areas (MUGA)	37-41	42-51	52-56	65
Priority for Enhancement	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	

¹ The upper quartile scores reflect the highest score achieved. This is normally less than the maximum possible score.

Accessibility standards

- 4.14 A number of publications provide information on accessibility standards for different types of open space. Natural England's Accessible Natural Greenspace Standard (ANGSt) provides a useful starting point for considering access to open space, although these standards are difficult, if not impossible, to achieve in densely built-up urban areas.
- 4.15 The original ANGSt model was published in 1995. Specifically it was a set of planning standards with which local authorities might assess the provision of accessible natural green space. The model is based on three principles:
- Improving access
 - Improving naturalness
 - Improving connectivity
- 4.16 The current standards are set out in the report Nature Nearby (Natural England, 2010) and repeated in Box 4.1.

Box 4.1 – ANGSt

People living in towns and cities should have an accessible natural greenspace:

- of at least 2 hectares in size, no more than 300 metres (5 minutes walk) from home;
- at least one accessible 20ha site within two kilometres of home;
- one accessible 100ha site within five kilometres of home;
- one accessible 500ha site within 10 kilometres of home; and
- a minimum of one hectare of statutory Local Nature Reserves per thousand population.

Survey Results

- 4.17 Whilst ANGSt provides a useful benchmark, establishing a set of local accessibility standards will better reflect local circumstances.
- 4.18 The historic form of the inner urban wards in the borough's largest settlements, where high density terraced housing is typical, leaves little room for the provision of private gardens and public open space. It is rarely possible for these areas to meet the aspirational standards set by ANGSt.
- 4.19 Fields in Trust provides further information on the indicative walking distances between dwellings and different types of open space, using an average walking speed of 80m per minute.³
- 250m = 2 to 3 minute walk
 - 400m = 5 minute walk
 - 800m = 10 minute walk
 - 1,200 = 15 minute walk
 - 1,600 = 20 minute walk
- 4.20 Using the information from ANGSt, Fields in Trust, best practice guidance and local experience, Table 4.3 sets out the standards used to assess the accessibility of different typologies of open space in Pendle.

Table 4.3 – Pendle Local Open Space Accessibility Standards

Typology	Maximum distance to nearest site from home (metres)	Equivalent walking time (minutes)
Amenity Greenspace	480	6
Allotments	800	10
Cemeteries	No Standard Specified	N/A
Civic Spaces	1600	20
Natural Greenspace (Including woodland and green/blue corridors)	720	9
Outdoor Sports	1,200	15
Parks	720	9
Play Areas	400	5

³ Walking speed depends on many factors such as height, weight, age, terrain, surface, load, culture, effort and fitness. But the average human walking speed is approximately 5.0 kilometres per hour (km/h) or 1.4 meters per second (m/s). The standard employed by estate agents in property listings is 80 metres per minute.

Survey Results

- 4.21 These standards have been used to help determine the accessibility of sites in the quality scoring (see Criterion 9a in Appendix 1). GIS mapping was used to draw the relevant accessibility distance as a buffer around each site. The number of properties within the buffer zone was then recorded and the relevant score was assigned.⁴ The standards can also be used as part of the development process to ensure that sufficient, accessible open space is provided by new developments.
- 4.22 Figure 4.1 provides a visual representation of the local accessibility standards expected for the different typologies of open space in Pendle. It indicates the types of open space that can reasonably be expected to be provided at a neighbourhood level (i.e. within a short walking distance) and those that are more appropriately provided at the level of the town.




Figure 4.1 – Accessibility standards to different typologies of open space

- 4.23 Chapter 5 sets out the findings of the accessibility assessment (paragraphs 5.117-5.158). A map is provided for each typology showing a buffer, which represents the accessibility catchment around each site. This approach helps to highlight those areas which are not considered to be within an accessible distance of an appropriate open space site.



Images: Allotments managed by Colne Town Council and Garden-Able, Bradley, Nelson

⁴ Available resources required distances to be measured “as the crow flies”, meaning that topographic constraints and other barriers may not always be adequately taken into consideration.

Survey Results

Survey Results

5. Survey Results

Introduction

- 5.1 This chapter presents the findings of the survey work carried out in 2018. It is divided in to three sections addressing quantity, quality and accessibility.
- 5.2 Appendix 2 provides individual ward profiles which include details of the priorities for the provision of new space and the improvement in quality of existing spaces.

Quantity findings

- 5.3 Each of the 786 open space sites has been plotted using GIS mapping, allowing the area of each site to be accurately recorded in the database. Quantitative information for each typology has been collated and is presented in the tables below, together with a brief analysis of the findings.

Box 5.1 – Important note on the quantity findings

The findings of the OSA 2008 and OSA 2019 audits differ significantly for the following reasons:

- In the OSA 2008 some sites were classified under more than one typology, particularly within the natural greenspace and woodland typologies. This caused issues with double counting. OSA 2019 only classifies sites under their primary typology thereby eliminating the potential for double counting.⁵
- Sites within each typology have been replotted at a larger scale on OS Mastermap. This has provided greater accuracy, but has also resulted in a decrease in the site area reported for some sites.
- A review of the data published in the OSA 2008 indicated some discrepancies in the recording of site areas.

It is important to highlight these issues as they:

- distort the data;
- limit the extent to which comparisons can be made between the two audits;
- do not offer a true reflection of the changing position with regard to open space provision over the last ten years.

- 5.4 The tables below compare current figures with those from the previous audit carried out in 2008, to help illustrate the changes that have taken place. However, it is **important** to note that in many instances direct comparisons are not possible for the reasons highlighted in Box 5.1. The figures for total change exclude those for Natural Greenspace (including woodland) for the reasons given above.

Table 5.1 – Total amount of open space by typology

⁵ This approach is not without its drawbacks, as it can result in the apparent under-recording of a particular typology. This is most evident in the case of Victory Park in Barnoldswick. The park is effectively a series of playing pitches (Outdoor Sports) and Play Areas, which are recorded as such, rather than under the Park typology.

Survey Results

Typology	Amount of open space (hectares)		
	OSA 2008	OSA 2019	Change
Allotments	35.50	34.09	-1.41
Amenity Greenspace	41.51	36.13	-5.38
Cemeteries	19.88	19.68	-0.20
Civic Spaces	1.99	1.77	-0.22
Green and Blue Corridors ⁶	89.09	75.33	-13.76
Natural Greenspace (including woodland)	-	406.37	-
Outdoor Sports	260.34	258.64	-1.70
Parks	79.31	64.58	-14.73
Play Areas	4.91	11.13	+6.22
Totals	-	907.72	-31.80

- 5.5 The figures in Table 5.1 reveal that for all but one of the typologies there has been a reduction in the amount open space recorded. The overall reduction of 31.80ha recorded for the period since 2008 can be largely attributed to improved mapping and the elimination of double counting.

Table 5.2 Total number of open space sites by typology

Typology	Number of open space sites		
	OSA 2008	OSA 2019	Change
Allotments	60	57	-3
Amenity Greenspace	245	244	-1
Cemeteries	18	16	-2
Civic Spaces	13	14	+1
Green and Blue Corridors ⁶	39	37	-2
Natural Greenspace (including woodland)	-	239	-
Outdoor Sports	82	88	+6
Parks	30	17	-13
Play Areas	24	74	+50
Totals	-	786	36

- 5.6 Table 5.2 shows that whilst there has been a reduction in the number of open space sites within many of the typologies, these losses have been offset by a number of gains, particularly

⁶ Green and Blue Corridors are linear areas of open space, which often include a prominent feature such as a canal, river, disused railway line or public right of way.

Survey Results

within the play area typology. As a result the overall number of open space sites in the borough has increased by 36 since 2008.

5.7 As previously noted the following factors have influenced the data recorded in OSA 2019:

- the replotting of site boundaries;
- the combining typologies to avoid double counting;
- the removal of open space sites lost to development;
- the removal of sites no longer performing an open space function; and
- the removal of sites ineligible for inclusion in the audit (i.e. where more accurate plotting has revealed that a site is beyond the 300m buffer from a defined settlement boundary).

5.8 Going forward it will now be possible to make reliable comparisons with future open space audits. In combination with annual monitoring, this will help to determine how effective planning policy has been in protecting and enhancing open space provision in the borough.

Table 5.3 Total amount of open space by ward

Ward	Amount of open space (hectares)		
	OSA 2008	OSA 2019	Change
Barrowford	49.23	47.62	-1.61
Blacko & Higherford	19.95	17.50	-2.45
Boulsworth	106.43	98.47	-7.96
Bradley	36.09	37.03	+0.94
Brierfield	43.62	38.58	-5.04
Clover Hill	23.47	23.77	+0.30
Coates	47.05	28.61	-18.44
Craven	25.77	28.10	+2.33
Earby	105.65	65.73	-39.92
Foulridge	60.34	52.56	-7.78
Higham & Pendleside	52.30	35.86	-16.44
Horsfield	13.54	13.71	+0.17
Marsden	91.72	98.23	+6.51
Old Laund Booth	53.58	34.45	-19.13
Reedley	138.80	119.66	-19.14
Southfield	11.78	10.89	-0.89
Vivary Bridge	99.74	79.86	-19.88
Walverden	21.01	21.05	+0.04
Waterside	46.85	39.70	-7.15
Whitefield	15.22	16.34	+1.12

Survey Results

- 5.9 Table 5.3 provides a summary of the area of open space provision within each ward. Once again the figures are provided for illustrative purposes and do not provide a reliable comparison between audits.
- 5.10 The significant reductions in the amount of open space recorded in Earby, Vivary Bridge, Reedley, Old Laund Booth, and Coates are largely a result of eliminating double counting within the Woodland and Natural Greenspace typologies.
- 5.11 The wards of Marsden, Craven and Whitefield have all seen increases in the amount of designated open space. This is due to the provision of new space associated with new development and the recording of sites not previously identified.
- 5.12 Table 5.4 provides a summary of the number of open space sites in each ward, again showing the comparison between the two audits.

Table 5.4 Total number of open space sites by ward

Ward	Number of open space sites		
	OSA 2008	OSA 2019	Change
Barrowford	51	47	-4
Blacko & Higherford	14	14	0
Boulsworth	60	55	-5
Bradley	36	42	+6
Brierfield	42	39	-3
Clover Hill	22	25	+3
Coates	40	41	+1
Craven	26	30	+4
Earby	86	80	-6
Foulridge	26	22	-4
Higham & Pendleside	34	32	-2
Horsfield	24	28	+4
Marsden	48	51	+3
Old Laund Booth	22	20	-2
Reedley	58	51	-7
Southfield	23	29	+6
Vivary Bridge	52	52	0
Walverden	18	18	0
Waterside	86	84	-2
Whitefield	25	26	+1


- 5.13 Once again the reduction in the amount of open space recorded in the Earby, Vivary Bridge, Reedley, Old Laund Booth, and Coates wards is largely attributable to the elimination of double counting within the Natural Greenspace typology.

Survey Results

- 5.14 The results also reveal that the wards of Bradley, Southfield, Craven and Horsfield have all seen a small increase in the number of sites designated as open space. Some of these additional spaces have been created as part of new developments e.g. the play area at Marsden Hall Road South in Nelson.
- 5.15 Map 5.1 shows the spatial distribution of the open space sites included in the OSA 2019. In line with the definition (see paragraph 3.9) it only shows open space sites that are either located within a defined settlement boundary, or outside the boundary, but within an acceptable walking distance – 300m, roughly equivalent to a 5 minute walk.
- 5.16 The map shows that the areas close to the edge of settlements, particularly within the M65 Corridor, are well served by open space sites. In contrast there is a noticeable lack of open space sites within the inner urban areas, specifically in central areas of Nelson, Colne and Brierfield. This is an issue that emerging planning policy will need to address wherever practicable.
- 5.17 Map 5.2 shows the spatial distribution of open space sites by typology. The map helps to show whether each typology is evenly distributed across the borough, or whether there are concentrations of one or more typologies within a specific area.
- 5.18 The map indicates that the Outdoor Sports and Parks typologies are fairly well distributed across the borough, providing most residents with good access to these open space typologies. It also reveals that Natural Greenspace sites are invariably found in rural locations close to the edges of settlements. Cemeteries and Civic Spaces are intended to serve a wide catchment, so there is a lower level of provision for these typologies.
- 5.19 Tables 5.5 and 5.7 present the open space data by electoral ward, whilst Tables 5.6 and 5.8 show the figures for each Area Committee area. Population data from the 2011 Census is used to calculate the average amount of open space provision per 1,000 of the population for each typology.
- 5.20 To calculate the extent to which each ward or Area Committee area deviates from the average provision for each typology, the borough-wide average provision rate (per 1,000 residents) is multiplied by the population figure for the relevant ward or Area Committee area. The variance between the actual and average figures indicates the extent to which open space provision within each geographical sub-area deviates from the borough-wide average. Where the variance shows a level of provision below the borough-wide average this indicates that a deficiency in open space provision within the relevant typology may exist.


Survey Results

Map 5.1 – Open space sites in Pendle



Survey Results

Map 5.2 – Open Space sites in Pendle by typology



Survey Results

Table 5.5 Amount of open space provision by ward and typology

Ward	Allotments		Amenity Greenspace		Cemeteries		Civic Spaces		Green Corridors		Natural Greenspace		Outdoor Sports		Parks		Play Areas (EPA, MUGA)		Totals	
	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha
Barrowford	4	4.25	14	1.78	2	2.51	0	0.00	3	6.42	16	20.27	4	4.25	2	7.97	2	0.18	47	47.62
Blacko & Higherford	1	0.54	3	0.21	0	0.00	1	0.02	2	1.46	5	14.43	1	0.73	0	0.00	1	0.10	14	17.50
Boulsworth	3	1.65	11	1.38	4	8.80	0	0.00	1	0.48	26	54.66	6	26.38	1	4.71	3	0.42	55	98.47
Bradley	2	6.65	9	0.46	0	0.00	3	0.42	4	3.47	8	7.00	8	17.71	1	0.75	7	0.57	42	37.03
Brierfield	4	5.01	7	0.44	2	0.17	1	0.01	2	3.98	15	21.66	2	5.78	0	0.00	6	1.53	39	38.58
Clover Hill	2	0.87	9	1.20	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	16.56	0	0.00	1	4.70	4	0.45	25	23.77
Coates	6	1.77	16	1.81	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	6.36	2	0.50	11	17.03	0	0.00	5	1.13	41	28.61
Craven	1	0.20	6	1.83	0	0.00	4	0.32	1	3.17	9	10.80	2	5.24	2	6.28	5	0.25	30	28.10
Earby	7	1.57	27	2.63	1	0.81	0	0.00	5	17.52	17	19.84	11	20.31	2	1.77	10	1.28	80	65.73
Foulridge	1	0.99	5	0.28	0	0.00	1	0.02	5	9.96	6	38.35	3	2.75	0	0.00	1	0.22	22	52.56
Higham & Pendleside	0	0.00	4	0.05	1	0.45	0	0.00	0	0.00	19	31.65	3	2.03	1	0.57	4	1.12	32	35.86
Horsfield	7	0.69	9	2.39	1	0.22	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	2.08	4	6.20	1	1.89	2	0.26	28	13.71
Marsden	1	0.68	16	4.91	1	4.63	0	0.00	1	1.13	24	26.71	5	50.25	1	9.74	2	0.18	51	98.23
Old Laund Booth	0	0.00	3	0.15	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	14	31.86	2	2.34	0	0.00	1	0.10	20	34.45
Reedley	0	0.00	15	2.44	1	0.02	0	0.00	1	3.71	24	49.85	8	62.30	1	1.28	1	0.08	51	119.66
Southfield	7	4.00	13	1.51	1	1.49	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	2.84	0	0.00	0	0.00	4	1.05	29	10.89
Vivary Bridge	4	1.18	21	5.68	0	0.00	2	0.14	4	8.74	11	30.88	7	21.00	1	11.90	2	0.36	52	79.86
Walverden	0	0.00	5	0.53	1	0.57	0	0.00	1	0.54	4	4.75	4	5.21	1	9.25	2	0.20	18	21.05
Waterside	5	1.90	44	6.02	1	0.03	1	0.18	2	4.67	18	17.16	4	6.70	1	1.98	8	1.06	84	39.70
Whitefield	2	2.15	7	0.43	0	0.00	1	0.67	4	3.73	4	4.55	3	2.43	1	1.80	4	0.60	26	16.34
Totals	57	34.09	244	36.13	16	19.68	14	1.77	37	75.33	239	406.37	88	258.64	17	64.58	74	11.13	786	907.72
Average provision / 1,000 population	-	0.38	-	0.40	-	0.22	-	0.02	-	0.84	-	4.54	-	2.89	-	0.72	-	0.13	-	-

Survey Results

Table 5.6 Amount of open space provision by Area Committee area and typology

Area Committee Area	Allotments		Amenity Greenspace		Cemeteries		Civic Spaces		Green Corridors		Natural Greenspace		Outdoor Sports		Parks		Play Areas (EPA, MUGA)		Totals	
	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha	Sites	Ha
Barrowford & Western Parishes	5	4.79	24	2.19	3	2.96	1	0.02	5	7.89	54	98.21	10	9.35	3	8.53	8	1.49	113	135.43
Brierfield & Reedley	4	5.01	22	2.88	3	0.19	1	0.01	3	7.69	39	71.51	10	68.07	1	1.28	7	1.06	91	154.59
Colne & District	20	6.40	90	15.75	6	9.04	4	0.34	12	23.85	66	143.67	24	63.01	4	20.48	16	2.31	241	285.22
Nelson	14	14.35	59	9.04	3	6.68	4	1.08	10	8.86	52	61.85	20	75.61	5	26.24	23	3.05	191	212.01
West Craven	14	3.54	49	6.27	1	0.81	4	0.32	7	27.05	28	31.14	24	42.59	4	8.05	20	2.67	151	120.47
Totals	57	34.09	244	36.13	16	19.68	14	1.77	37	75.33	239	406.37	88	258.64	17	64.58	74	11.13	786	907.72
Average provision / 1,000 population	-	0.38	-	0.40	-	0.22	-	0.02	-	0.84	-	4.54	-	2.89	-	0.72	-	0.13	-	-

Survey Results

Table 5.7 – Deviation from the borough-wide APR by ward and typology

Ward	Allotments	Amenity Greenspace	Cemeteries	Civic Spaces	Green Corridors	Natural Greenspace	Outdoor Sports	Parks	Play Areas (EPA, MUGA)
	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha
Barrowford	2.32	-0.26	1.40	-0.10	2.17	-2.64	-10.38	4.33	-0.45
Blacko & Higherford	-0.15	-0.52	-0.40	-0.02	-0.05	6.26	-4.49	-1.30	-0.13
Boulsworth	-0.36	-0.75	7.64	-0.10	-3.95	30.75	11.12	0.91	-0.23
Bradley	4.18	-2.16	-1.43	0.29	-2.00	-22.48	-1.10	-3.94	-0.23
Brierfield	3.16	-1.52	-0.90	-0.09	-0.12	-0.43	-8.32	-3.51	0.93
Clover Hill	-1.18	-0.97	-1.19	-0.11	-4.53	-7.89	-15.60	0.82	-0.22
Coates	-0.30	-0.39	-1.20	-0.11	1.77	-24.23	1.24	-3.93	0.45
Craven	-1.92	-0.41	-1.22	0.21	-1.50	-14.42	-10.85	2.27	-0.44
Earby	-0.79	0.13	-0.55	-0.12	12.31	-8.25	2.38	-2.69	0.51
Foulridge	0.35	-0.40	-0.37	-0.01	8.55	30.70	-2.13	-1.21	0.01
Higham & Pendleside	-0.63	-0.61	0.09	-0.03	-1.38	24.19	-2.73	-0.62	0.91
Horsfield	-1.25	0.33	-0.90	-0.10	-4.29	-21.04	-8.56	-1.79	-0.38
Marsden	-0.65	3.50	3.86	-0.07	-1.81	10.86	40.13	7.22	-0.25
Old Laund Booth	-0.56	-0.44	-0.32	-0.03	-1.23	25.23	-1.89	-1.05	-0.08
Reedley	-2.02	0.30	-1.15	-0.11	-0.75	25.81	46.95	-2.54	-0.58
Southfield	1.68	-0.94	0.15	-0.12	-5.12	-24.76	-17.61	-4.38	0.29
Vivary Bridge	-1.05	3.33	-1.28	0.02	3.83	4.41	4.09	7.69	-0.36
Walverden	-1.47	-1.02	-0.28	-0.08	-2.70	-12.73	-5.95	6.47	-0.28
Waterside	-0.08	3.93	-1.11	0.08	0.30	-6.38	-8.32	-1.76	0.41
Whitefield	0.68	-1.13	-0.85	0.59	0.48	-12.96	-8.75	-0.99	0.12

Survey Results

Table 5.8 – Deviation from the borough-wide APR by Area Committee area and typology

Area Committee Area	Allotments	Amenity Greenspace	Cemeteries	Civic Spaces	Green Corridors	Natural Greenspace	Outdoor Sports	Parks	Play Areas (EPA, MUGA)
	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha	Ha
Barrowford & Western Parishes	1.00	-1.82	0.77	-0.18	-0.49	53.03	-19.48	1.35	0.25
Brierfield & Reedley	1.14	-1.22	-2.04	-0.19	-0.87	25.38	38.63	-6.05	-0.21
Colne & District	-2.38	6.44	3.97	-0.12	4.44	38.44	-3.80	3.85	-0.55
Nelson	3.25	-2.72	0.27	0.50	-15.68	-69.96	-8.88	5.21	-0.58
West Craven	-3.01	-0.67	-2.97	-0.02	12.58	-46.90	-7.23	-4.35	0.53

Survey Results

Quantity findings by typology

- 5.21 This section looks in more detail at the levels of provision for each open space typology by ward, taking account of the deviation from the average provision for the borough and the relevant local standards. The results are presented alphabetically by typology.

Allotments

- 5.22 Allotments are small pieces of land, usually within or on the edge of a built up area, which are made available for people who wish to grow plants or their own food on a non-commercial basis. Although there is little evidence on the social and health benefits of allotment gardening, it is widely accepted that they make a positive contribution to health and well-being by providing a safe haven from the concerns of everyday life; offering the opportunity to grow food that contributes to healthy diets and providing a source of regular exercise.
- 5.23 Allotments are historically important in the former textile manufacturing towns and villages of Pendle where many of the terraced houses and former weavers cottages have little, if any, garden space.
- 5.24 A total of 57 sites are classified as Allotments, covering a total area of 34.09ha (Figures 5.1 and 5.2).
- 5.25 Of the 20 wards in the borough 16 (80%) have at least one allotment site. Four wards in the industrial M65 Corridor have over 4.0ha of land currently in use as allotments. Each of these wards – Bradley, Brierfield, Barrowford and Southfield – contain large areas of high density terraced housing without any front garden space, which were built to house workers for the large cotton mills that once stood nearby.
- 5.26 In contrast there are no allotments in the rural wards of Higham and Pendleside and Old Laund Booth or the urban wards of Reedley and Walverden (Figure 5.2). The lack of provision in these wards probably reflects their rural location (Higham and Pendleside and Old Laund Booth) and the predominance of large houses with equally large gardens (Reedley). This is not true for Walverden, but residents in this ward have relatively easy access to allotment sites in the neighbouring wards of Brierfield and Whitefield.
- 5.27 There are no national targets for the amount of allotment space to be provided within a particular area. The average provision rate (APR) method can be applied to each ward to help determine whether the level of provision is above or below the average for the Borough.
- 5.28 Table 5.9 shows that six wards – Clover Hill, Craven, Horsfield, Reedley, Vivary Bridge and Walverden – have deficits equivalent to or greater than 1.0ha, when compared to the borough average for allotment space. These are all predominantly urban wards where open space is at a premium. Although it may be difficult to identify sufficiently large sites in such areas, priority should be given to providing new allotment space within these wards where opportunities to provide new open space become available. A further eight wards have deficits of allotment space of less than 1.0ha. Again additional provision in these wards, would be beneficial, should any suitable opportunities arise.

Survey Results

Table 5.9 – Allotments: deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Estimated Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from Average	
Barrowford	5,043	1.92	4.25	2.32	LOW
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	0.68	0.54	-0.15	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	2.00	1.65	-0.36	MEDIUM
Bradley	6,489	2.47	6.65	4.18	LOW
Brierfield	4,862	1.85	5.01	3.16	LOW
Clover Hill	5,381	2.04	0.87	-1.18	HIGH
Coates	5,445	2.07	1.77	-0.30	MEDIUM
Craven	5,551	2.11	0.20	-1.92	HIGH
Earby	6,183	2.35	1.57	-0.79	MEDIUM
Foulridge	1,683	0.64	0.99	0.35	LOW
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	0.62	0.00	-0.63	MEDIUM
Horsfield	5,089	1.93	0.69	-1.25	HIGH
Marsden	3,489	1.33	0.68	-0.65	MEDIUM
Old Laund Booth	1,459	0.55	0.00	-0.56	MEDIUM
Reedley	5,291	2.01	0.00	-2.02	HIGH
Southfield	6,074	2.31	4.00	1.68	LOW
Vivary Bridge	5,827	2.21	1.18	-1.05	HIGH
Walverden	3,848	1.46	0.00	-1.47	HIGH
Waterside	5,180	1.97	1.90	-0.08	MEDIUM
Whitefield	3,854	1.46	2.15	0.68	LOW

¹ The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.38 ha per 1,000 population

Table 5.10 – Allotments: deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Estimated Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from Average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	3.78	4.79	1.01	LOW
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	3.86	5.01	1.16	LOW
Colne & District	23,041	8.76	6.40	-2.38	HIGH
Nelson	29,135	11.07	14.35	3.25	LOW
West Craven	17,179	6.53	3.54	-3.01	HIGH

¹ The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.38 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.1 – Amount of allotment provision by ward (hectares)




Figure 5.2 – Number of allotment sites by ward

- 5.29 There has been a loss of three allotment sites since the OSA 2008. One of these sites has been transferred to residents for use as private gardens, whilst the other two sites have been abandoned and are no longer available for use as allotments.
- 5.30 At a higher spatial level, when applying the same APR method to the Area Committee areas, it is clear that priority should be given to providing additional allotment space in the Colne and District and the West Craven areas, as these have the highest deficits in provision (Table 5.10). Whilst the remaining three areas all demonstrate a higher provision level against the APR, as noted above, within these areas there are wards where additional may be required.

Survey Results

Amenity Greenspace

- 5.31 Amenity Greenspace are informal areas most often found within residential areas. They provide a green oasis where local people can relax or take part in informal recreational activities. These spaces also provide visual enhancement to a neighbourhood, help to combat the effects of climate change and offer a haven, or stepping stone environment, for local wildlife.
- 5.32 Across the Borough, 244 sites have been classified as fulfilling the role of Amenity Greenspace. In total these sites cover an area of 36.13ha (figures 5.3 and 5.4). There is considerable variation in the amount of Amenity Greenspace within each ward – ranging from as little as 0.05ha, in the rural ward of Higham and Pendleside, to 6.02ha in the ward of Waterside in Colne.
- 5.33 At the Area Committee level Colne and District has the highest level of provision with 15.75ha. In comparison, Nelson, which has approximately 6,000 more residents, only has 9.04ha of Amenity Greenspace (Tables 5.11 and 5.12).
- 5.34 There is a wide variation in the size of sites within this typology. This can be illustrated by considering the difference between the amount of land designated as Amenity Greenspace (Figure 5.3) and the number of sites (Figure 5.4) for similar wards. For example there are 21 sites within the Colne ward of Vivary Bridge, covering 5.68ha. In comparison, the nearby ward of Waterside has over twice as many sites (44), but relatively little additional coverage (6.02ha). Whilst the number of sites does not necessarily provide the best indication of the amount of provision, it can be used to help identify those wards that are likely have a better range, variety and distribution of sites.
- 5.35 Table 5.11 shows how each ward performs against the Fields in Trust (FiT) standard and the Average Provision Rate (APR) for the borough. It shows that only three wards meet the FiT Standard for Amenity Greenspace provision and just six are above the APR. A further six wards – Bradley, Brierfield, Clover Hill, Southfield, Walverden and Whitefield – have a significant deficit of Amenity Greenspace when compared to the FiT Standard and APR. These wards should receive the highest priority for the provision of additional Amenity Greenspace.
- 5.36 A further eight wards have a significant deficit compared to the FiT Standard, but a less noticeable deficit when assessed against the APR. Although the priority for further provision may be lower in these wards, there is still a need to consider increasing the provision of Amenity Greenspace should the opportunity arise.
- 5.37 At the higher spatial level of analysis only Colne and District provides sufficient Amenity Greenspace to meet the FiT and APR standards (Table 5.12). The remaining Area Committee areas demonstrate a significant deficit in the provision of this open space typology. The deficit of 8.44ha against the FiT Standard in Nelson is particularly high. Priority should therefore be given to increasing the provision of Amenity Greenspace in the Nelson area.



Survey Results

Table 5.11 – Amenity Greenspace: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford	5,043	3.03	1.78	-1.25	2.02	1.78	-0.26	MEDIUM
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	1.08	0.21	-0.87	0.72	0.21	-0.52	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	3.16	1.38	-1.78	2.10	1.38	-0.75	MEDIUM
Bradley	6,489	3.89	0.46	-3.43	2.60	0.46	-2.16	HIGH
Brierfield	4,862	2.92	0.44	-2.48	1.94	0.44	-1.52	HIGH
Clover Hill	5,381	3.23	1.20	-2.03	2.15	1.20	-0.97	HIGH
Coates	5,445	3.27	1.81	-1.45	2.18	1.81	-0.39	MEDIUM
Craven	5,551	3.33	1.83	-1.50	2.22	1.83	-0.41	MEDIUM
Earby	6,183	3.71	2.63	-1.08	2.47	2.63	0.13	LOW
Foulridge	1,683	1.01	0.28	-0.73	0.67	0.28	-0.40	MEDIUM
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	0.99	0.05	-0.93	0.66	0.05	-0.61	MEDIUM
Horsfield	5,089	3.05	2.39	-0.66	2.04	2.39	0.33	LOW
Marsden	3,489	2.09	4.91	2.82	1.40	4.91	3.50	LOW
Old Laund Booth	1,459	0.88	0.15	-0.73	0.58	0.15	-0.44	MEDIUM
Reedley	5,291	3.17	2.44	-0.73	2.12	2.44	0.30	LOW
Southfield	6,074	3.64	1.51	-2.14	2.43	1.51	-0.92	HIGH
Vivary Bridge	5,827	3.50	5.68	2.18	2.33	5.68	3.33	LOW
Walverden	3,848	2.31	0.53	-1.78	1.54	0.53	-1.02	HIGH
Waterside	5,180	3.11	6.02	2.92	2.07	6.02	3.95	LOW
Whitefield	3,854	2.31	0.43	-1.88	1.54	0.43	-1.13	HIGH

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 0.60 ha per 1,000 population² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.40 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results

Table 5.12 – Amenity Greenspace: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	5.97	2.19	-3.77	4.02	2.19	-1.83	HIGH
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	6.09	2.88	-3.21	4.10	2.88	-1.22	HIGH
Colne & District	23,041	13.82	15.75	1.92	9.31	15.75	6.44	LOW
Nelson	29,135	17.48	9.04	-8.44	11.77	9.04	-2.73	HIGH
West Craven	17,179	10.31	6.27	-4.04	6.94	6.27	-0.67	MEDIUM

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 0.60 ha per 1,000 population

² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.40 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.3 – Amount of Amenity Greenspace provision by ward (hectares)




Figure 5.4 Number of Amenity Greenspace sites by Ward

- 5.38 Whilst the overall number of Amenity Greenspace sites has remained the same since the OSA 2008, closer inspection reveals that there has been a significant number of losses and gains. Whilst sites have been lost to development, new ones have been provided in their place. Others have been reclassified to, or from, one of the other open space typologies.

Survey Results

Cemeteries

- 5.39 Cemeteries offer a tranquil space where relatives and close friends can quietly contemplate their lost ones. They also play an important role in helping to support biodiversity and frequently provide valuable habitats for local wildlife.
- 5.40 Cemeteries identified in the OSA 2019 include the municipal sites and closed churchyards managed and maintained by Pendle Council.
- 5.41 A total of 16 cemeteries and burial grounds qualify for assessment within the audit (Figure 5.5). A number of other cemeteries in the Borough fall outside the scope of the audit – e.g. Ghyll Cemetery at Barnoldswick, which is more than 300 metres beyond the settlement boundary.
- 5.42 Of the 19.68ha of land within the cemetery typology, a large proportion is located in the Boulsworth ward, where four cemeteries account for 8.80ha (Figure 5.6). The wards with the next highest level of provision are Marsden (4.63ha), where Nelson's main cemetery is located, Barrowford (2.51ha) and Southfield (1.49ha).
- 5.43 Of the 20 wards in the borough, nine have no provision within this typology (Figure 5.5). This reflects the fact that, with the exception of small church graveyards, which are outside the scope of this audit, larger cemeteries are generally provided for a wider catchment than the neighbourhood level.
- 5.44 Individual wards are therefore not expected to meet an expected level of provision for cemeteries and there is no national standard. The average provision rate is used to show how each area performs against the borough average (per 1,000 population).
- 5.45 The area administered by the Brierfield and Reedley Area Committee has a significant deficit of cemetery space, when compared to the borough-wide APR (Table 5.14), although nearby Nelson has a surplus of provision. The area lies midway between the large municipal cemeteries in neighbouring Nelson and Burnley, and it is these that are likely to cater for local needs. West Craven also demonstrates a significant deficit against the APR. However, as previously noted Ghyll Cemetery, which serves the Barnoldswick area is over 300m beyond the settlement boundary and not included in the audit. This cemetery covers around 2.37ha and would see the deficit in the West Craven area substantially reduced if it was accounted for in the figures. The remaining areas of the borough all have sufficient levels of provision within the cemetery typology.
- 5.46 The reclassification of two sites no longer used for burials as Amenity Greenspace, accounts for the loss of two sites within this typology since the 2008 audit. In addition, the cemetery at Nelson, previously recorded as two separate sites, has been merged to form a single record, whilst a site at St. Mary's Church, Newchurch-in-Pendle, which was previously omitted from the audit, has been included in the results reported in OSA 2019.



Survey Results

Table 5.13 – Cemeteries: deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Estimated Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from Average	
Barrowford	5,043	1.11	2.51	1.40	LOW
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	0.40	0.00	-0.40	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	1.16	8.80	7.64	LOW
Bradley	6,489	1.43	0.00	-1.43	HIGH
Brierfield	4,862	1.07	0.17	-0.90	MEDIUM
Clover Hill	5,381	1.18	0.00	-1.18	HIGH
Coates	5,445	1.20	0.00	-1.20	HIGH
Craven	5,551	1.22	0.00	-1.22	HIGH
Earby	6,183	1.36	0.81	-0.55	MEDIUM
Foulridge	1,683	0.37	0.00	-0.37	MEDIUM
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	0.36	0.45	0.09	LOW
Horsfield	5,089	1.12	0.22	-0.90	MEDIUM
Marsden	3,489	0.77	4.63	3.86	LOW
Old Laund Booth	1,459	0.32	0.00	-0.32	MEDIUM
Reedley	5,291	1.16	0.02	-1.15	HIGH
Southfield	6,074	1.34	1.49	0.15	LOW
Vivary Bridge	5,827	1.28	0.00	-1.28	HIGH
Walverden	3,848	0.85	0.57	-0.28	MEDIUM
Waterside	5,180	1.14	0.03	-1.11	HIGH
Whitefield	3,854	0.85	0.00	-0.85	MEDIUM

¹ The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.22 ha per 1,000 population

Table 5.14 – Cemeteries: deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Estimated Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from Average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	2.19	2.96	0.77	LOW
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	2.23	0.19	-2.05	HIGH
Colne & District	23,041	5.07	9.04	3.97	LOW
Nelson	29,135	6.41	6.68	0.27	LOW
West Craven	17,179	3.78	0.81	-2.97	HIGH

¹ The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.22 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.5 Amount of cemeteries provision by ward (hectares)





Figure 5.6 – Number of cemeteries by ward

Survey Results

Civic Space

- 5.47 Civic space is the collective term used to describe a wide variety of spaces used by the local community and are typically formed of hard-surfaced areas. Examples include market squares, pedestrianised zones; and the spaces around war memorials.
- 5.48 The largest of these spaces tend to be multi-functional, providing an opportunity to host events and celebrations for the benefit of the local community, whereas the smaller and more secluded spaces can allow people to gather for quiet reflection.
- 5.49 A total of 14 Civic Spaces are recorded in OSA 2019 (Figure 5.8). Formally designated civic spaces are typically found in the centre of towns and villages and features such as war memorials, so it is unsurprising that the 12 wards with no provision are located in suburban neighbourhoods.
- 5.50 The wards of Whitefield and Bradley both have high proportions of Civic Space. The reason for this is because the public realm and civic spaces within Nelson town centre are divided between these two wards. Similarly, Barnoldswick town centre is predominantly within the Craven ward. In Colne the wards of Vivary Bridge and Waterside, which include the Colne War Memorial and areas of public realm close to the Municipal Hall and Town Hall, show the greatest levels of provision.
- 5.51 There is no national standard for the provision of civic space, but the average provision rate can be used to show how each area performs against the borough average (per 1,000 population).
- 5.52 Table 5.15 indicates that six wards have a deficiency greater than 0.10ha in Civic Space, when compared to the APR. Consideration should be given to increasing provision of this open space typology in these wards, if appropriate.
- 5.53 When assessing the amount of Civic Space against the APR for each Area Committee area, only Nelson has more than the average level of provision (Table 5.16). This reflects the fact that it is the largest town in the borough and its administrative centre; it is also the only town centre with an extensive pedestrianised zone. In all the other areas there is a deficit in provision when measured against the APR for the borough. In this instance the APR is not a reliable indicator for where additional open space provision may be required for this typology, as the figure is based on provision that is concentrated on a relatively small number of large sites in just two wards.
- 5.54 The data reveals that there has been a small increase in the number of Civic Spaces since the 2008 audit. This is due to the reclassification of a former Amenity Greenspace site close to The Zone in the Bradley ward of Nelson, as Civic Space (see image below).



Survey Results

Table 5.15 – Civic Space: deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Estimated Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from Average	
Barrowford	5,043	0.10	0.00	-0.10	MEDIUM
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	0.04	0.02	-0.02	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	0.11	0.00	-0.10	HIGH
Bradley	6,489	0.13	0.42	0.29	LOW
Brierfield	4,862	0.10	0.01	-0.09	MEDIUM
Clover Hill	5,381	0.11	0.00	-0.11	HIGH
Coates	5,445	0.11	0.00	-0.11	HIGH
Craven	5,551	0.11	0.32	0.21	LOW
Earby	6,183	0.12	0.00	-0.12	HIGH
Foulridge	1,683	0.03	0.02	-0.01	MEDIUM
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	0.03	0.00	-0.03	MEDIUM
Horsfield	5,089	0.10	0.00	-0.10	MEDIUM
Marsden	3,489	0.07	0.00	-0.07	MEDIUM
Old Laund Booth	1,459	0.03	0.00	-0.03	MEDIUM
Reedley	5,291	0.11	0.00	-0.11	HIGH
Southfield	6,074	0.12	0.00	-0.12	HIGH
Vivary Bridge	5,827	0.12	0.14	0.02	LOW
Walverden	3,848	0.08	0.00	-0.08	MEDIUM
Waterside	5,180	0.10	0.18	0.08	LOW
Whitefield	3,854	0.08	0.67	0.59	LOW

¹ The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.02 ha per 1,000 population

Table 5.16 – Civic Space: deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Estimated Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from Average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	0.20	0.02	-0.18	HIGH
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	0.20	0.01	-0.19	HIGH
Colne & District	23,041	0.46	0.34	-0.12	HIGH
Nelson	29,135	0.58	1.08	0.50	LOW
West Craven	17,179	0.34	0.32	-0.02	MEDIUM

¹ Average provision across the borough for this typology is 0.02 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.7 Amount of Civic Space provision by ward (hectares)




Figure 5.8 Number of Civic Space sites by ward

Survey Results

Green and Blue Corridors

- 5.55 Green and Blue Corridors are linear areas of open space, which often include a prominent feature such as a canal, river, disused railway line or public right of way. They often provide quiet routes, away from busy roads and traffic, which can be used to promote sustainable forms of transport such as walking and cycling. These spaces are also important for biodiversity and wildlife as they can link sites which are at the heart of the wider Green Infrastructure network.
- 5.56 The 37 sites in the borough classified as Green and Blue Corridors, cover a total area of 75.33ha (Figures 5.9 and 5.10). The key sites within this typology are the Leeds and Liverpool Canal, the former Colne to Skipton railway line and the main rivers of Colne Water and Pendle Water.
- 5.57 Five wards have no Green or Blue Corridor space, with provision in the remaining wards varying between one and five sites. Sites within this typology often cut across ward boundaries, so it is unsurprising that the overall number of sites recorded is relatively low.
- 5.58 There is considerable variation in terms of the amount of Green and Blue Corridor space within each ward (Figure 5.9). Whilst in urban areas these corridors provide essential arteries for wildlife, this role is less significant in the boroughs rural areas, where the constraints of urban development are less pronounced. Earby, which has long sections of the former Colne-Skipton railway line and the Leeds and Liverpool canal running through the ward, has 17.52ha of open space within this typology. In contrast the Boulsworth and Walverden wards have only 0.48ha and 0.54ha respectively. In these wards the provision is limited to a former tram track in Cotton Tree and a section of the railway embankment at Hard Platts in Nelson.
- 5.59 There are no national standards for the amount of Green and Blue Corridor space. This typology is very similar to Natural Greenspace and often has many of the same characteristics. The analysis considers wards and Area Committee areas against the standard for Natural Greenspace as a proxy, due to the lack of a national standard, and the borough-wide APR. The results reveal that 10 wards have significant deficits of Green and Blue Corridor space, whilst a further six wards also have notable deficits (Table 5.17). Additional provision of linear green space may be appropriate within these wards, but is particularly useful where it can be shown to have a benefit for the wider ecological and green infrastructure networks.
- 5.60 At a higher spatial level, the area administered by the Nelson Area Committee has the greatest deficit within this typology (Table 5.20). In densely populated urban areas the creation of new Green and Blue Corridors to provide linear routes, for walking and cycling should be given consideration within planning policy. Barrowford & Western Parishes and Brierfield & Reedley also have notable deficits when assessed against the standards, but less of a deficit when considered against the APR. These areas would still benefit from an increase in provision of this type of open space where opportunities arise.
- 5.61 West Craven has the lowest need for additional space under this typology. Whilst a small deficit is recorded when assessed against the FiT Standards for Natural Greenspace, there is a positive deviation from the borough average.
- 5.62 The data shows that there has been a decrease in the number of Green Corridor sites since the last audit. This is due to the amalgamation of several sites into one record. There has also been a decrease in the total area covered by this typology; but this can be attributed to the amendments to site boundaries as part of the remapping exercise.

Survey Results

Table 5.17 – Green and Blue Corridors: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford	5,043	9.08	6.42	-2.66	4.25	6.42	2.17	LOW
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	3.24	1.46	-1.78	1.52	1.46	-0.05	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	9.47	0.48	-8.99	4.43	0.48	-3.95	HIGH
Bradley	6,489	11.68	3.47	-8.21	5.47	3.47	-2.00	HIGH
Brierfield	4,862	8.75	3.98	-4.77	4.09	3.98	-0.12	MEDIUM
Clover Hill	5,381	9.69	0.00	-9.69	4.53	0.00	-4.53	HIGH
Coates	5,445	9.80	6.36	-3.44	4.59	6.36	1.77	MEDIUM
Craven	5,551	9.99	3.17	-6.82	4.68	3.17	-1.50	HIGH
Earby	6,183	11.13	17.52	6.39	5.21	17.52	12.31	LOW
Foulridge	1,683	3.03	9.96	6.93	1.42	9.96	8.55	LOW
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	2.96	0.00	-2.96	1.38	0.00	-1.38	HIGH
Horsfield	5,089	9.16	0.00	-9.16	4.29	0.00	-4.29	HIGH
Marsden	3,489	6.28	1.13	-5.16	2.94	1.13	-1.81	HIGH
Old Laund Booth	1,459	2.63	0.00	-2.63	1.23	0.00	-1.23	HIGH
Reedley	5,291	9.52	3.71	-5.82	4.46	3.71	-0.75	MEDIUM
Southfield	6,074	10.93	0.00	-10.93	5.12	0.00	-5.12	HIGH
Vivary Bridge	5,827	10.49	8.74	-1.75	4.91	8.74	3.83	LOW
Walverden	3,848	6.93	0.54	-6.39	3.24	0.54	-2.70	HIGH
Waterside	5,180	9.32	4.67	-4.66	4.36	4.67	0.30	MEDIUM
Whitefield	3,854	6.94	3.73	-3.21	3.25	3.73	0.48	MEDIUM

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 1.80 ha per 1,000 population² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.84 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results

Table 5.18 – Green and Blue Corridors: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	17.90	7.89	-10.01	8.38	7.89	-0.49	HIGH
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	18.28	7.69	-10.59	8.55	7.69	-0.87	HIGH
Colne & District	23,041	41.47	23.85	-17.63	19.41	23.85	4.44	MEDIUM
Nelson	29,135	52.44	8.86	-43.58	24.54	8.86	-15.68	HIGH
West Craven	17,179	30.92	27.05	-3.87	14.47	27.05	12.58	MEDIUM

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 1.80 ha per 1,000 population

² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.84 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.9 Amount of Green and Blue Corridor provision by ward (hectares)



Green and blue corridors: Pendle Water at Barrowford; the East Lancashire Line and Leeds & Liverpool Canal in Nelson




Figure 5.10 Number of Green and Blue Corridor sites by ward

Survey Results

Natural Greenspace

- 5.63 Natural Greenspace includes locally designated wildlife sites (Biological Heritage Sites, Local Nature Reserves, and Sites of Local Natural Importance) and other spaces which have been left for nature (i.e. where there is no or very little maintenance carried out). The primary designation of these spaces is for the conservation of valuable habitats and species, to enhance biodiversity, and to provide a resource for environmental education and awareness close to populated areas. In OSA2 2019 those sites classified as woodland have been included as a sub-set of the Natural Greenspace typology.
- 5.64 A total of 406.37ha of land is classified as Natural Greenspace. All wards have some provision within this typology, although the amount varies significantly between them (Figure 5.11). Boulsworth has the greatest amount with 54.66ha (14% of the overall total), whereas Coates in Barnoldswick has just 0.50ha. The large variations are principally associated with geographical size of the wards, but there is also a noticeable difference between urban and rural wards.
- 5.65 There is also considerable variation in the number of sites designated as Natural Greenspace between individual wards. Boulsworth, Marsden and Reedley all have over 20 sites within this typology whereas Coates, Horsfield, Southfield, Walverden and Whitefield, all inner urban wards, have fewer than five.
- 5.66 When measured against the Fields in Trust (FiT) standard for Natural Greenspace, six wards do not meet the anticipated amount of provision: Bradley, Coates, Horsfield, Southfield, Walverden and Whitefield. Once again these are all inner urban wards within a Key Service Centre, where providing additional Natural Greenspace will be difficult to achieve due to the proliferation of high density terraced housing. Without substantial urban remodelling, the provision of natural greenspace in these areas is unlikely in the short to medium term.
- 5.67 Due to high levels of Natural Greenspace provision in the rural areas, the borough-wide APR is higher than the national FiT Standard (Table 5.19). When comparing each ward to the APR, 12 wards show a negative deviation, indicating a deficit of Natural Greenspace against the borough average. Once again, these are the inner urban wards, in the larger centres of population, where the built form established at the turn of the 19th and 20th Centuries consists of high density terraced housing with little garden and/or outdoor space. Opportunities to retrospectively incorporate Natural Greenspace into these areas are limited and difficult to achieve.
- 5.68 At the Area Committee level Barrowford & Western Parishes, Brierfield & Reedley and Colne and District all have levels of provision significantly higher than the national FiT Standard and the borough-wide APR (Table 5.20). Nelson and West Craven also meet the FiT Standard, but show a deficit when assessed against the APR. The provision of additional Natural Greenspace in these areas should be prioritised.
- 5.69 As previously noted OSA 2008 double counted many Woodland sites by also classifying them as Natural Greenspace. This has been rectified in OSA 2019, but has inevitably led to a reduction in both the number of sites and the amount of land recorded. In addition, some Natural Greenspace sites have also been removed from the audit as the re-mapping exercise revealed that at their nearest point they lay outside the 300m buffer from the nearest settlement boundary. More accurate mapping has also resulted in a reduction in site areas.

Survey Results

Table 5.19 – Natural Greenspace: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford	5,043	9.08	20.27	11.19	22.91	20.27	-2.64	MEDIUM
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	3.24	14.43	11.19	8.18	14.43	6.26	LOW
Boulsworth	5,262	9.47	54.66	45.18	23.90	54.66	30.75	LOW
Bradley	6,489	11.68	7.00	-4.68	29.48	7.00	-22.48	HIGH
Brierfield	4,862	8.75	21.66	12.91	22.09	21.66	-0.43	MEDIUM
Clover Hill	5,381	9.69	10.41	0.72	24.45	16.56	-7.89	HIGH
Coates	5,445	9.80	0.50	-9.30	24.74	0.50	-24.23	HIGH
Craven	5,551	9.99	10.80	0.81	25.22	10.80	-14.42	HIGH
Earby	6,183	11.13	19.84	8.71	28.09	19.84	-8.25	MEDIUM
Foulridge	1,683	3.03	38.35	35.32	7.65	38.35	30.70	LOW
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	2.96	30.42	27.47	7.46	31.65	24.19	LOW
Horsfield	5,089	9.16	2.08	-7.08	23.12	2.08	-21.04	HIGH
Marsden	3,489	6.28	26.71	20.43	15.85	26.71	10.86	LOW
Old Laund Booth	1,459	2.63	31.86	29.23	6.63	31.86	25.23	LOW
Reedley	5,291	9.52	49.85	40.32	24.04	49.85	25.81	LOW
Southfield	6,074	10.93	2.84	-8.10	27.59	2.84	-24.76	HIGH
Vivary Bridge	5,827	10.49	30.88	20.39	26.47	30.88	4.41	LOW
Walverden	3,848	6.93	4.75	-2.18	17.48	4.75	-12.73	HIGH
Waterside	5,180	9.32	17.16	7.83	23.53	17.16	-6.38	MEDIUM
Whitefield	3,854	6.94	4.55	-2.39	17.51	4.55	-12.96	HIGH

¹ The FiT Standard for this typology is 1.80 ha per 1,000 population² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 4.54 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results

Table 5.20 – Natural Greenspace: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	17.90	98.21	80.31	44.45	98.21	53.03	LOW
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	18.28	71.51	53.23	45.38	71.51	25.38	LOW
Colne & District	23,041	41.47	143.12	101.64	102.99	143.12	38.44	LOW
Nelson	29,135	52.44	62.40	9.96	130.23	62.40	-69.96	HIGH
West Craven	17,179	30.92	31.14	0.22	76.79	31.14	-46.90	HIGH

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 1.80 ha per 1,000 population

² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 4.54 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.11 Amount of Natural Greenspace provision by ward (hectares)




Figure 5.12 Number of Natural Greenspace sites by ward

Survey Results

Outdoor Sports

- 5.70 Provision within the Outdoor Sports typology is largely focussed on facilitating participation in pitch based sports (e.g. football, rugby, cricket and hockey), but also includes other sports such as tennis, athletics, bowls, golf and water sports. Both public and privately owned facilities, including school sports pitches, are recorded in the audit.
- 5.71 A total of 88 sites are classified within the Outdoor Sports typology, covering a total area of 258.64h (Figures 5.13 and 5.14).
- 5.72 Only two wards have no provision within this typology (Figure 5.13). The wards of Reedley and Marsden have by far the greatest quantity of Outdoor Sports. This is largely due to the presence of two of the borough's golf courses being located in these wards – Nelson Golf Course (Reedley) and Marsden Park Golf Course.
- 5.73 With the exception of Clover Hill and Southfield, each ward has at least one site within the Outdoor Sports typology (Figure 5.13). Coates and Earby, both in West Craven, have the highest level of provision in terms of number of sites with 11 each. Blacko & Higherford, Brierfield, Craven and Old Laund Booth have the lowest levels of provision with just one or two sites.
- 5.74 In Pendle, 11 wards fail to meet the FiT Standard for open space provision and 14 provide less than the borough-wide APR. Those wards that do not meet the standards show significant deficits (Table 5.19). The adjacent wards of Clover Hill and Southfield in Nelson each have deficits of more than 8ha. Although there is provision in the neighbouring wards of Marsden, Brierfield and Walverden, residents in the heart of the area need to travel a moderate distance to access these sites. As such open space requirements associated with new development in these two wards should seek to increase provision within this typology.
- 5.75 Taking a wider perspective, four of the five Area Committee areas meet the FiT Standard and show a notable positive deviation from the standard. This indicates that in most areas of the borough there is sufficient Outdoor Sports provision. However, when the APR method is used four of the five show a deficit in provision. Barrowford and Western Parishes records a deficit against both the national FiT Standard and the APR. However, there is easily accessible provision in adjacent wards – e.g. Swinden Playing Fields, situated in the Bradley ward of Nelson, adjoins Bullholme Playing Fields in Barrowford. That said in the more rural locations administered by the area Committee, there is more limited provision. The location of any new Outdoor Sports provision should therefore be carefully considered in terms of its distribution.
- 5.76 The results highlight a varied position across the borough, with concentrations of Outdoor Sports in some wards and a lack of provision in others. This reflects the fact that the larger facilities – Swinden in Nelson, Holt House in Colne, Bullholme in Barrowford and Victory Park in Barnoldswick – are designed to serve a wider catchment.⁷ The emerging Local Plan will consider the distribution of spaces and consider whether there is any need for additional space or to rectify any apparent inequalities in the exiting provision.



⁷ The majority of the playing pitches at the Prairie Sports Village are situated in the Brierfield & Reedley Area Committee area, but the entrance and principal facilities are located in neighbouring Burnley.

Survey Results

Table 5.21 – Outdoor Sports: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford	5,043	8.07	4.25	-3.82	14.62	4.25	-10.38	HIGH
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	2.88	0.73	-2.15	5.22	0.73	-4.49	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	8.42	26.38	17.96	15.26	26.38	11.12	LOW
Bradley	6,489	10.38	17.71	7.33	18.82	17.71	-1.10	MEDIUM
Brierfield	4,862	7.78	5.78	-2.00	14.10	5.78	-8.32	HIGH
Clover Hill	5,381	8.61	0.00	-8.61	15.60	0.00	-15.60	HIGH
Coates	5,445	8.71	17.03	8.32	15.79	17.03	1.24	LOW
Craven	5,551	8.88	5.24	-3.64	16.10	5.24	-10.85	HIGH
Earby	6,183	9.89	20.31	10.42	17.93	20.31	2.38	LOW
Foulridge	1,683	2.69	2.75	0.05	4.88	2.75	-2.13	MEDIUM
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	2.63	2.03	-0.60	4.76	2.03	-2.73	MEDIUM
Horsfield	5,089	8.14	6.20	-1.95	14.76	6.20	-8.56	HIGH
Marsden	3,489	5.58	50.25	44.67	10.12	50.25	40.13	LOW
Old Laund Booth	1,459	2.33	2.34	0.01	4.23	2.34	-1.89	MEDIUM
Reedley	5,291	8.47	62.30	53.83	15.34	62.30	46.95	LOW
Southfield	6,074	9.72	0.00	-9.72	17.61	0.00	-17.61	HIGH
Vivary Bridge	5,827	9.32	20.99	11.67	16.90	20.99	4.09	LOW
Walverden	3,848	6.16	5.21	-0.95	11.16	5.21	-5.95	MEDIUM
Waterside	5,180	8.29	6.70	-1.59	15.02	6.70	-8.32	HIGH
Whitefield	3,854	6.17	2.43	-3.74	11.18	2.43	-8.75	HIGH

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 1.60 ha per 1,000 population² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 2.89 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results

Table 5.22 – Outdoor Sports: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	15.91	9.35	-6.56	28.84	9.35	-19.48	HIGH
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	16.24	68.07	51.83	29.44	68.07	38.63	LOW
Colne & District	23,041	36.87	63.01	26.15	66.82	63.01	-3.80	LOW
Nelson	29,135	46.62	75.61	28.99	84.49	75.61	-8.88	MEDIUM
West Craven	17,179	27.49	42.59	15.10	49.82	42.59	-7.23	MEDIUM

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 1.60 ha per 1,000 population

² Average provision across the borough for this typology is 2.89 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.13 Amount of Outdoor Sports provision by ward (hectares)




Figure 5.14 Number of Outdoor Sports sites by ward

- 5.77 There has been an increase of six spaces within the Outdoor Sports typology since the previous audit. This can be attributed to the reclassification and splitting-up of sites – e.g. Nelson Cricket Club, which included the Bowling Club, has been split into two records. There has also been some new provision. Even with an increase in the number of sites there has been a slight reduction in the amount of land within this typology, due principally to improved mapping techniques.

Survey Results

Parks

- 5.78 Parks provide a wide range of facilities for recreation. They can be found in both urban and rural areas and can include country parks. Parks can incorporate sports facilities, play areas, formal gardens and informal areas (including woodland) where people can relax. They may also provide large open spaces for community events.
- 5.79 It is important to note that in the Open Space Audit, Victory Park in Barnoldswick is not classified within the Parks typology. This extensive “park” has very little traditional parkland and is primarily made up of adjacent playing pitches and play areas. These facilities are recorded under the typologies for Outdoor Sports and Play Areas.
- 5.80 There are 17 sites classified within the Parks typology, covering a total area of 64.58ha (Figures 5.15 and 5.16). Five wards have no provision within this typology but, with the exception of the Southfield ward in Nelson, these are predominantly rural wards where residents invariably have easy access to the open countryside. In these rural wards the absence of a formal park is not necessarily a significant issue. For Southfield, the nearest formal parkland is located adjacent to the ward boundary in the neighbouring wards of Clover Hill (Walverden Park) and Marsden (Marsden Park). These are two of the town’s principal parks and both are easily accessible for the majority of the residents in Southfield.
- 5.81 There is significant variation between wards in terms of the amount of land designated within the Parks typology. The Brierfield ward has no formal provision (N.B. Heyhead Park is situated in the neighbouring ward of Reedley) whereas in Vivary Bridge in Colne has 11.90ha.
- 5.82 The results show that 13 of the borough’s 20 wards do not meet the national FiT Standard. Five wards have deficits of less than 2ha, but eight – Bradley, Brierfield, Coates, Earby, Horsfield, Reedley, Southfield and Waterside – have a deficit of over 2ha (Table 5.23). The majority of these are inner urban wards where high density terraced housing predominates. Typically provision is available within an adjacent ward(s), but where this is not the case, the opportunity to provide new parkland should be considered.
- 5.83 Of the remaining seven wards four show levels of provision over 6ha above the estimated requirement. This reflects the fact that whilst the inner urban areas the borough’s larger towns are densely populated and have little parkland, large municipal parks have been provided to serve the needs of the local community. These parks have been a source of local pride for many years and eight currently benefit from Green Flag status (see image below) – Marsden, Victoria and Walverden parks in Nelson; Alkincoats and Ball Grove parks in Colne; Heyhead Park in Brierfield and Valley Gardens in Barnoldswick.
- 5.84 The Area Committee areas for Brierfield and Reedley and West Craven do not achieve either the FiT Standard or the borough-wide APR for this typology. These areas should be considered as a high priority when considering the provision of new formal parkland.
- 5.85 Since 2008, there has been a reduction in both the number of sites (11) and amount of land (8.03ha) within the Parks open space typology. This is primarily due to the reclassification of a number of former parkland sites as Amenity or Natural Greenspace. In addition, some Parks had separate records for areas separated by a major physical barrier (e.g. a river or road). These have now been amalgamated into a single record to better reflect the actual number of sites within the Parks typology.

Survey Results

Table 5.23 – Parks: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford	5,043	4.03	7.97	3.93	4.03	7.97	4.33	LOW
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	1.44	0.00	-1.44	1.44	0.00	-1.30	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	4.21	4.71	0.50	4.21	4.71	0.91	LOW
Bradley	6,489	5.19	0.75	-4.44	5.19	0.75	-3.94	HIGH
Brierfield	4,862	3.89	0.00	-3.89	3.89	0.00	-3.51	HIGH
Clover Hill	5,381	4.30	4.70	0.40	4.30	4.70	0.82	LOW
Coates	5,445	4.36	0.00	-4.36	4.36	0.00	-3.93	HIGH
Craven	5,551	4.44	6.28	1.84	4.44	6.28	2.27	LOW
Earby	6,183	4.95	1.77	-3.17	4.95	1.77	-2.69	HIGH
Foulridge	1,683	1.35	0.00	-1.35	1.35	0.00	-1.21	MEDIUM
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	1.31	0.57	-0.75	1.31	0.57	-0.62	MEDIUM
Horsfield	5,089	4.07	1.89	-2.19	4.07	1.89	-1.79	HIGH
Marsden	3,489	2.79	9.74	6.95	2.79	9.74	7.22	LOW
Old Laund Booth	1,459	1.17	0.00	-1.17	1.17	0.00	-1.05	MEDIUM
Reedley	5,291	4.23	1.28	-2.95	4.23	1.28	-2.54	HIGH
Southfield	6,074	4.86	0.00	-4.86	4.86	0.00	-4.38	HIGH
Vivary Bridge	5,827	4.66	11.90	7.23	4.66	11.90	7.69	LOW
Walverden	3,848	3.08	9.25	6.17	3.08	9.25	6.47	LOW
Waterside	5,180	4.14	1.98	-2.16	4.14	1.98	-1.76	HIGH
Whitefield	3,854	3.08	1.80	-1.29	3.08	1.80	-0.99	MEDIUM

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 0.80 ha per 1,000 population² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.72 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results

Table 5.24 – Parks: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	7.96	8.53	0.58	7.96	8.53	1.35	LOW
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	8.12	1.83	-6.29	8.12	1.83	-6.05	HIGH
Colne & District	23,041	18.43	20.48	2.04	18.43	20.48	3.85	LOW
Nelson	29,135	23.31	32.38	9.08	23.31	32.38	5.21	LOW
West Craven	17,179	13.74	8.05	-5.69	13.74	8.05	-4.35	HIGH

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 0.80 ha per 1,000 population

² Average provision across the borough for this typology is 0.72 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.15 Amount of Parks provision by ward (hectares)




Figure 5.16 Number of Park sites by ward

Survey Results

Play Areas

- 5.86 Play areas provide space for children and teenagers to play and participate in games and recreational activities. In this audit, the typology includes both Equipped Play Areas (EPAs) and Multi-Use Games Areas (MUGAs). These are often set in a small area of informal grassland, which may offer further potential for recreational activity, or simply provide a pleasant setting for the play area(s).
- 5.87 A total of 74 play areas (EPAs or MUGAs) have been identified in the borough, covering a total area of 11.13ha. Every ward has at least one play area and the vast majority have two or more (Figure 5.18). Whilst all neighbourhoods have some provision, it is unclear whether the amount of space provided is sufficient to satisfy the full needs of the community, or whether it is situated in the most convenient location(s).
- 5.88 Similar to the other open space typologies there is a significant degree of variation between wards in terms of the amount of land designated as within the Play Area typology. The wards of Coates, Earby, Higham & Pendleside, Southfield and Waterside all have over 1.0ha of land classified as play area, whereas Blacko & Higherford, Old Laund Booth and Reedley all have less than 0.10ha.
- 5.89 Eight wards have sufficient play area space to meet the nation FiT Standard and the borough-wide APR. Of the remaining 12 wards six are classified as being a high priority for increased provision – Barrowford, Bradley, Craven, Horsfield, Reedley, and Vivary Bridge. These wards all have a deficit of over 1.0ha of formal play space.
- 5.90 At the higher spatial level, none of the Area Committee areas meet the national FiT Standard for Play Areas and only two provide more than the APR for the borough. Colne & District and Nelson are the Area Committee areas which have the greatest need for additional provision of formal Play Areas.
- 5.91 Despite this there has been a significant increase in the amount of land and the number of sites classified as Play Areas in OSA 2019. In part this is a result of merging of the Play Area and Equipped Areas for Play typologies in to a single typology. However, there has also been a number of new play areas created since the last audit including sites in at Fleet Street and Cliffe Street in Nelson, both within the Bradley ward. Overall 50 new sites, provide an additional 5.67ha of land within the Play Area typology.

Survey Results

Table 5.25 – Play Areas: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by ward

Ward	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford	5,043	1.26	0.18	-1.08	0.63	0.18	-0.45	HIGH
Blacko & Higherford	1,800	0.45	0.10	-0.36	0.22	0.10	-0.13	MEDIUM
Boulsworth	5,262	1.32	0.42	-0.89	0.65	0.42	-0.23	MEDIUM
Bradley	6,489	1.62	0.57	-1.05	0.81	0.57	-0.23	HIGH
Brierfield	4,862	1.22	0.98	-0.24	0.60	1.53	0.93	LOW
Clover Hill	5,381	1.35	0.45	-0.90	0.67	0.45	-0.22	MEDIUM
Coates	5,445	1.36	1.13	-0.23	0.68	1.13	0.45	LOW
Craven	5,551	1.39	0.25	-1.13	0.69	0.25	-0.44	HIGH
Earby	6,183	1.55	1.28	-0.26	0.77	1.28	0.51	LOW
Foulridge	1,683	0.42	0.22	-0.20	0.21	0.22	0.01	LOW
Higham & Pendleside	1,642	0.41	1.12	0.71	0.20	1.12	0.91	LOW
Horsfield	5,089	1.27	0.26	-1.02	0.63	0.26	-0.38	HIGH
Marsden	3,489	0.87	0.18	-0.69	0.43	0.18	-0.25	MEDIUM
Old Laund Booth	1,459	0.36	0.10	-0.26	0.18	0.10	-0.08	MEDIUM
Reedley	5,291	1.32	0.08	-1.25	0.66	0.08	-0.58	HIGH
Southfield	6,074	1.52	1.05	-0.47	0.76	1.05	0.29	LOW
Vivary Bridge	5,827	1.46	0.36	-1.10	0.72	0.36	-0.36	HIGH
Walverden	3,848	0.96	0.20	-0.76	0.48	0.20	-0.28	MEDIUM
Waterside	5,180	1.30	1.06	-0.24	0.64	1.06	0.41	LOW
Whitefield	3,854	0.96	0.60	-0.37	0.48	0.60	0.12	LOW

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 0.25 ha per 1,000 population² The average provision rate (APR) for the borough for this open space typology is 0.12 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results

Table 5.26 – Play Areas: performance against the Fields in Trust standard and deviation from the borough wide APR by Area Committee area

Area Committee Area	Population (Census 2011)	FiT Standard			Average Provision Rate (APR)			Priority for Increased Provision
		Requirement ¹	Actual Provision	Deviation from FiT Standard	Estimated Requirement ²	Actual Provision	Deviation from average	
Barrowford & Western Parishes	9,944	2.49	1.49	-1.00	1.24	1.49	0.25	MEDIUM
Brierfield & Reedley	10,153	2.54	1.06	-1.48	1.26	1.06	-0.21	MEDIUM
Colne & District	23,041	5.76	2.31	-3.45	2.87	2.31	-0.55	HIGH
Nelson	29,135	7.28	3.05	-4.23	3.62	3.05	-0.58	HIGH
West Craven	17,179	4.29	2.67	-1.63	2.14	2.67	0.53	MEDIUM

¹ The FiT Standard for this open space typology is 0.25 ha per 1,000 population

² Average provision across the borough for this typology is 0.12 ha per 1,000 population

Survey Results




Figure 5.17 Amount of Play Area provision by ward (hectares)



Equipped Play Area at Marsden Park, Nelson (left)
Multi Use Games Area at Taylor Street, Brierfield (right)




Figure 5.18 Number of Play Area sites by ward

Survey Results

Quality findings

5.92 Whilst proximity to open space may have benefits for health and well-being, people are more likely to use these spaces if they are of a high quality. A quality assessment, based on a comprehensive site survey, was carried out for sites within the five open space typologies most likely to be used on a frequent basis by the local community for sport and recreation; where good quality site access and on-site maintenance are significant factors in their usage (see below).

- Amenity Greenspace
- Civic Spaces
- Outdoor Sports
- Parks
- Play Areas

5.93 Sites were scored against a wide range of typology specific criteria (Appendix 1). Overall scores were calculated for each site and these were used to establish the quartiles used for the grading of sites. Chapter 4 provides information on the Local Quality Standards, with Table 4.2 showing the scoring ranges for each quartile. Table 5.27 (below) provides a summary of the quality assessment process – giving a brief description of the condition of sites falling within each category.

Table 5.27 – Quality assessment category descriptions

Quality Assessment		
Poor	Moderate	Good
Sites with a poor overall quality score will have received the lowest scores for a number of the quality criteria. They may have equipment or facilities that are broken or damaged, are poorly maintained, unkempt, have noticeable amounts of litter, are generally unwelcoming and feel unsafe.	This category incorporates the middle two quartiles. Sites with a moderate overall quality score will have generally scored well against most criteria but may have failed on a few specific points. These sites may have equipment or facilities with minor defects or may lack certain items. Sites will be reasonably maintained but there may be some evidence of litter.	Sites with a good overall quality score have few if any issues. They score well against nearly all the criteria and can be considered to meet a high standard. These sites will be well maintained, clean, attractive and welcoming. Equipment and facilities will be undamaged and in a good state of repair. Sites will be well lit, feel safe and have adequate levels of facilities.

5.94 Details of the overall quality score achieved by each site is provided in Appendix 3. A summary of the overall scores and a brief analysis of the findings is provided for each typology in the section below. Evidence from the quality assessment work can be used to help prioritise those sites in need of improvement, or to bid for funding where appropriate.

Survey Results

Amenity Greenspace

- 5.95 Of the 244 Amenity Greenspace sites assessed, only 7% received a poor quality score (i.e. they placed within the lower quartile). These sites are regarded as being a high priority for improvement and enhancement.

Table 5.28 – Amenity Greenspace quality scores

Overall Quality Assessment	POOR	MODERATE	GOOD
Number of sites	17	209	18
Percentage of total (%)	7	86	7
Priority for improvement	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW

- 5.96 The 17 poor quality sites were distributed across 12 wards with Southfield, Vivary Bridge, Boulsworth and Waterside having at least two sites of poor quality.
- 5.97 The average quality score for Amenity Greenspace was 31 out of a possible total of 49 points. This demonstrates a significant improvement in the quality of sites since OSA 2008, where the average score was 24 points.
- 5.98 The majority of Amenity Greenspace sites (86%) receive a moderate score (i.e. they are within the middle two quartiles). These sites are considered to be of an acceptable quality standard and therefore only a medium priority for improvement, with enhancement a consideration should funding become available.
- 5.99 The results reveal that 18 sites perform well against the majority of the assessment criteria and receive a good quality score. Improvements at these sites are unlikely to be required in the immediate future.

Civic Spaces

- 5.100 Only one civic space received a poor quality score. This is an isolated site situated on the edge of Nelson Town Centre in Bradley ward. Poor quality materials; the general lack of maintenance and poor cleanliness all contributed to its low score. Opportunities to enhance the planted areas and improve the overall tidiness of this fairly prominent site could potentially help to make it more inviting.

Table 5.29 – Civic Space quality scores

Overall Quality Assessment	POOR	MODERATE	GOOD
Number of sites	1	9	4
Percentage of total (%)	7	64	29
Priority for improvement	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW

- 5.101 The average quality score for Civic Space now stands at 50 out of a possible total of 63 points. Once again this represents a noticeable improvement since OSA 2008, when the average score was 43 points. In what has been a period of austerity this represents a significant achievement on the part of the borough council and parish councils responsible for the maintenance of these sites.

Survey Results

- 5.102 Almost two thirds (64%) of Civic Spaces received a quality score of between 46 and 53 points out of a possible total of 63. These sites are likely to require some improvement in the short-medium term.
- 5.103 Four sites received 54 points or more. Three of these sites were in the Craven Ward in Barnoldswick. All are well-kept and maintained to a high standard providing good quality spaces for the community to meet and interact.

Outdoor Sports

- 5.104 A total of 16 Outdoor Sports sites received a poor quality score. The majority of these were informal playing fields without changing facilities, parking or lighting. Whilst the playing surfaces themselves were generally adequate, the absence of one or more of these attributes saw them score poorly against the assessment criteria.

Table 5.30 – Outdoor Sports quality scores

Overall Quality Assessment	POOR	MODERATE	GOOD
Number of sites	16	58	14
Percentage of total (%)	18	66	16
Priority for improvement	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW

- 5.105 A number of sites within this typology are currently undergoing change. This work includes redevelopment and temporary repurposing, making the achievement of a good quality rating difficult under the prevailing circumstances. The sites in question are:
- Lucas Sports Ground (OS063) – this site is in the process of being redeveloped with new cricket and football pitches;
 - Lord Street Primary School (OS074) – this site is currently occupied with temporary classrooms whilst emergency repairs are made to the school building. It is anticipated that the sports pitches will be restored in due course once the current works have been completed.
- 5.106 The annual monitoring process will be used to update the audit, to help determine whether the quality of these sites is improving.
- 5.107 Two-thirds of the sites within this typology were deemed to be of moderate quality, having achieved a score of between 37 and 51 out of a possible total of 67.
- 5.108 The 14 sites achieving good quality scores had good parking and changing facilities and catered for a variety of sports. The provision of lighting allowed for longer periods of use in the evenings.

Survey Results

Parks

- 5.109 Of the 19 sites within score within the Parks typology, nine received a moderate score and eight achieved a good score. This indicates that the vast majority of parks in the borough are of reasonable or good quality. This is reinforced by the fact that eight parks in Pendle received a Green Flag award in 2018, in recognition of the high quality offer that they afford to visitors.
- 5.110 This correlation helps to reinforce the findings of the assessment work by demonstrating consistent results with a nationally recognised assessment methodology. It also confirms the importance of the valuable work carried out by the Friends of Parks groups, which operate throughout the borough.

Table 5.31 – Parks quality scores

Overall Quality Assessment	POOR	MODERATE	GOOD
Number of sites	2	9	8
Percentage of total (%)	11	47	42
Priority for improvement	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW

- 5.111 The two sites receiving a poor score – Birley Playing Fields in Earby and Skipton Road (George V) Playing Fields in Colne – are not parks in the traditional sense. As their names suggest, both are dominated by outdoor sports provision and lack some of the facilities typically expected within a park, such as signage, formal planting, seating and lighting. This has had a disproportionate influence on the scores achieved for these sites.

Play Areas

- 5.112 The scoring for Equipped Play Areas (EPAs) and Multi Use Game Areas (MUGAs) is considered separately, as the on-site facilities provided by each type of site are significantly different. An increasing number of these sites are now owned and maintained by Parish Councils. They may wish to use the results of this audit to help secure external funding to assist with future maintenance and/or improvements to the sites in their ownership.

Table 5.32 – Equipped Play Areas quality scores

Overall Quality Assessment	POOR	MODERATE	GOOD
Number of sites	4	32	15
Percentage of total (%)	8	63	29
Priority for improvement	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW

- 5.113 Just four EPA sites received a poor quality score and three are located in the Higham and Pendleside ward. The Spen Brook, Jinny Lane and Barley Lane EPAs are all considered to be in need of improvement. The scores indicate that new equipment, improved access, replacement of hard surfaces, additional on-site facilities (e.g. litter bins and seating) and an improvement in overall cleanliness were all factors in the low scores achieved by these sites.

Survey Results

- 5.114 The majority of sites (63%) received a moderate score and are considered to be of an acceptable quality. Although no direct comparisons can be made with the scores from the previous audit, the results in OSA 2019 indicate that the overall quality of EPAs in Pendle has generally improved, with the installation of new equipment providing an enhanced experience.
- 5.115 The 15 play areas receiving a good quality score generally had new equipment installed and were both well maintained and tidy.

Table 5.33 – Multi Use Game Areas quality scores

Overall Quality Assessment	POOR	MODERATE	GOOD
Number of sites	3	14	6
Percentage of total (%)	13	61	26
Priority for improvement	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW

- 5.116 Three MUGAs: Walmsgate, Barnoldswick; Skipton Road, Colne and Marsden Hall Road North, Nelson received a poor rating. Each of these sites scored poorly against the criteria concerned with cleanliness and maintenance. A relatively small amount of investment could easily help to increase the scores achieved by these sites, which should be given a high priority for improvement and enhancement.
- 5.117 Of the remaining MUGA sites 87% received a moderate or good rating and are considered to provide suitable areas where young people can play a range of sports in all weathers. Given the relatively high quality scores achieved, further improvements are not a high priority at this time.

Survey Results

Accessibility findings

- 5.118 As set out in the methodology (Chapter 3) a “local accessibility standard” has been established for each of the different open space typologies. These standards use distances to represent what is considered to be a reasonable travelling time to the nearest open space provision.
- 5.119 These distances are used to create an appropriate buffer around each open space site. GIS mapping is then used to map the accessibility of open space within a particular typology. The resulting maps help to highlight those areas which are not within an accessible distance, when assessed against these local standards.
- 5.120 As there is no generally accepted accessibility standard for Cemeteries, no analysis has been carried out for this typology. Whilst there are no specific accessibility standards for Green and Blue Corridors, the sites within this typology have very similar characteristics to those classified as Natural Greenspace. As such they have been incorporated into the assessment for that typology.
- 5.121 Additional GIS mapping techniques have been used to calculate the number of properties that are within an accessible distance of a site within a particular typology. This helps to indicate the proportion of residents that do not have access to certain types of open space and those areas of the borough where new open space provision should be prioritised.

Map 5.4 – Settlements in Pendle




Survey Results

Allotments

- 5.122 Map 5.5 applies an 800m buffer around each Allotment site (shaded area), to reflect the local accessibility standard for this typology. A 300m buffer around the defined settlement boundary (solid black line) represents the extent of the survey area.

Map 5.5 – Allotments accessibility buffer




- 5.123 The map shows that it is the rural areas of the borough, which do not typically have adequate access to allotment space. In particular, there is no provision in any of the Pendleside villages in the west of the borough. Residents in these areas, requiring access to such sites, would need to travel distances far greater than the 800m accessibility standard to reach their nearest allotment space. Laneshaw Bridge, Kelbrook and parts of Brierfield and Reedley also have poor access to Allotments.
- 5.124 These areas all have higher proportions of houses with gardens, where residents can grow their own food. This may reduce the demand for allotments and help to explain the lack of provision in these areas.

Survey Results

Amenity Greenspace

- 5.125 Map 5.6 applies a 480m buffer around each Amenity Greenspace site (shaded area), to reflect the local accessibility standard for this typology. A 300m buffer around the defined settlement boundary (solid black line) represents the extent of the survey area.

Map 5.6 – Amenity Greenspace accessibility buffer



- 5.126 The map indicates that the majority of the study area is well served by Amenity Greenspace with most residents living within 480m of their nearest site. Only the villages of Blacko to the north of Barrowford and Roughlee and Crow Tress to the west of Blacko have no accessible provision. In both of these cases the open countryside is easily accessible via the extensive public rights of way (PROW) network of footpaths and bridleways.
- 5.127 More detailed assessment shows that 97% of properties within the study area have good access to an Amenity Greenspace site. This represents excellent coverage and distribution within this typology. Even within the inner urban areas of the larger settlement in the M65 Corridor residents can walk to an Amenity Greenspace in 6 minutes or less.


Survey Results

- 5.128 Approximately 1,300 properties are located more than 480m from the nearest Amenity Greenspace. In addition to the villages highlighted above there are also small pockets within Fence, Laneshaw Bridge and the Carr Hall area of Nelson where access is relatively poor. Once again these are areas with good access to the open countryside. As such the need for formally designated Amenity Greenspace is not a priority.

Civic Spaces

- 5.129 Map 5.7 applies a 1,600m buffer around each Civic Space site (shaded area), to reflect the local accessibility standard for this typology. A 300m buffer around the defined settlement boundary (solid black line) represents the extent of the survey area.

Map 5.7 –Civic Spaces accessibility buffer



- 5.130 The map reveals that the urban areas of the M65 Corridor and Barnoldswick all have good access to Civic Spaces, which tend to be concentrated in the town centres. Approximately 85% of the properties in the study area are within 1,600m of a designated Civic Space.

Survey Results

5.131 In contrast the more rural areas of the borough have few sites designated as Civic Space, meaning that rural residents often have to travel further afield to access this type of open space. Those settlements with an absence of, or poor access to, Civic Space are:


- Barley
- Blacko
- Earby
- Fence
- Higham
- Kelbrook
- Laneshaw Bridge
- Newchurch and Spen Brook
- Roughlee and Crow Trees
- Sough
- Trawden

5.132 Whilst the figures indicate that accessibility to Civic Space in these locations could be improved, it may not be appropriate to provide extensive areas of public realm in rural villages, where large public gatherings are not anticipated on a regular basis.

Natural Greenspace (including Green and Blue Corridors)

5.133 Map 5.8 applies a 720m buffer around each Natural Greenspace or Green/Blue Corridor (shaded area), to reflect the local accessibility standard for this typology. A 300m buffer around the defined settlement boundary (solid black line) represents the extent of the survey area.

Map 5.8 – Natural Greenspace accessibility buffer




Survey Results

- 5.134 Nearly all of the built-up areas have good access to some form of Natural Greenspace, with 99.9% of all properties within 720m (9 minutes' walk) of a site within this typology, giving residents the opportunity to experience nature close to their doorstep.
- 5.135 Closer analysis of the data reveals that there are small pockets within the urban areas with little or no Natural Greenspace in the immediate vicinity. The North Valley and Town Centre in Colne; and the Town Centre and the adjacent ward of Southfield in Nelson; have no Natural Greenspace. Residents in these areas will have to travel the longest distances to access their nearest Natural Greenspace; although this will still be within the 720m buffer. Other open space typologies in these areas (e.g. Amenity Greenspace) can provide some of the positive functions associated with Natural Greenspace. It is also evident that there is a need to create new open space in the urban areas to improve links between residential areas and the Green and Blue Corridors, which offer an opportunity to provide a safe and attractive way to walk, or cycle, through the urban environment and/or address gaps in the established ecological network.

Outdoor Sports

- 5.136 Map 5.9 applies a 1,220m buffer around each Outdoor Sports site (shaded area), to reflect the local accessibility standard for this typology. A 300m buffer around the defined settlement boundary (solid black line) represents the extent of the survey area.

Map 5.9 – Outdoor Sports accessibility buffer




Survey Results

- 5.137 The distribution of Outdoor Sports facilities across the borough provides good access for most residents, with 99.6% of properties in the audit area being within 1,200m of a site. The only areas where accessibility does not meet the local standard are the villages of Barley, Roughlee and Crow Trees. These are some of the smallest and most remote settlements in Pendle and only 170 properties are affected.
- 5.138 Map 5.9 shows that good access to sites in the Outdoor Sports typology is almost universal. There are, however, some wards with no provision. In these areas residents will be required to walk almost 15 minutes to play sport on a formally marked out pitch.

Parks

- 5.139 Map 5.10 applies a 720m buffer around each Park (shaded area), to reflect the local accessibility standard for this typology. A 300m buffer around the defined settlement boundary (solid black line) represents the extent of the survey area.

Map 5.10 – Parks accessibility buffer



Survey Results


- 5.140 As the larger municipal and country parks are expected to serve a wide catchment, there is an expectation that some of the borough's residents will be required to travel to access such provision.
- 5.141 The results show that a large proportion of residents in the study area that have good access to a Park, with 80% of properties in the study area meeting the local accessibility standard of being within 720m of the nearest Park. Those areas of the borough with relatively poor access to formal parkland are:
- Barnoldswick (north-east)
 - Blacko
 - Fence
 - Foulridge
 - Higham
 - Kelbrook
 - Nelson / Colne (White Walls)
 - Newchurch-in-Pendle and Spen Brook
 - Salterforth
 - Roughlee and Crow Trees
 - Trawden
- 5.142 As noted previously, the characteristics of Victory Park in Barnoldswick mean that to avoid double counting within the results it is classified under the Outdoor Sports and Play Area typologies. This accounts for the apparent lack of provision in north-east Barnoldswick, which would otherwise have been a concern.
- 5.143 The White Walls area on the boundary between Nelson and Colne is one of the borough's largest industrial estates. Whilst formal parkland may not have been provided there is access to the open countryside, and open space within the amenity greenspace and natural greenspace (including green/blue corridors) typologies.
- 5.144 Elsewhere it is the rural areas which show limited or poor access to spaces in the Parks typology. These areas often have good access to the wider countryside, which may remove the need to provide additional open space within this typology. However, where appropriate, the opportunity to provide smaller "pocket parks" within the inner urban areas or larger villages should be considered.

Play Areas

- 5.145 Map 5.11 applies a 400m buffer around each Play Area (shaded area), to reflect the local accessibility standard for this typology. A 300m buffer around the defined settlement boundary (solid black line) represents the extent of the survey area.
- 5.146 The map reveals that most parts of the borough have reasonable access to a Play Area and that this is particularly true in the main built-up areas. This is unsurprising as Play Areas tend to be small in size and provided at a neighbourhood level to serve residents living in the immediate vicinity.
- 5.147 There are however some noticeable gaps and the data indicates that 77% of properties within the study area are within 400m of a Play Area and thereby meet the local accessibility standard.

Survey Results

Map 5.11 – Play Areas accessibility buffer



- 5.148 Nearly one-quarter of all properties do not meet the local accessibility standard, meaning that they are not considered to be within easy reach of a play facility. The areas where this problem is most acute are:
- Barrowford (Newbridge and Higherford)
 - Barnoldswick (north-east)
 - Colne (west of Skipton Road and south of Keighley Road)
 - Nelson / Brierfield (Halifax Road area)
 - Nelson / Brierfield (Lomeshaye area)
 - Roughlee and Crow Trees
- 5.149 With the exception of Lomeshaye, which is the location of the borough's largest business park, these areas should be given the highest priority with regard to the provision of new Play Areas.

Survey Results

Site size, ownership and access

- 5.150 OSA 2019 considers sites that are in public and private ownership, in order to provide a comprehensive record of open space provision in the borough. Table 5.34 provides a summary of all open space sites by size and ownership.

Table 5.34 – Summary of size ranges and site ownership

Size (ha)	Public		Private		All Sites			
	No.	Area (ha)	No.	Area (ha)	No.	%	Area (ha)	%
< 0.20	192	15.92	154	11.79	346	43.96	27.71	3.05
0.20 – 0.49	66	21.58	69	23.16	136	17.28	44.74	4.93
0.50 – 0.99	65	46.05	54	37.04	119	15.12	83.09	9.15
1.00 – 1.99	34	49.12	40	58.02	74	9.40	107.14	11.80
2.00 – 4.99	39	121.56	36	111.88	75	9.53	233.44	25.72
5.00 – 9.99	13	93.35	13	85.61	26	3.30	178.96	19.72
10.00 – 19.99	2	23.88	4	50.87	6	0.76	74.75	8.23
20.00 – 49.99	1	44.70	4	113.20	5	0.64	157.90	17.40
Totals	412	416.16	374	491.57	787	100.0	907.73	100.0

- 5.151 The results reveal that nearly 44% of all open space sites in Pendle are under 0.2ha in size. Collectively these small sites make up only 3% of total open space provision by area. At the opposite end of the spectrum, the 11 sites account for approximately one-quarter of open space provision in the borough. Of these six are 10-20ha in size and five are over 20ha. These large sites include the borough's four golf courses, a selection of Natural Greenspace sites, Alkincoats Park and the Holt House Playing Fields, both in Colne.
- 5.152 Of the 787 open space sites in Pendle, just over half (52%) are in public ownership (Table 5.34). However, those in private ownership account for a slightly larger proportion of the total area of land designated as open space (54%).
- 5.153 Ownership is an important consideration as it is likely to affect the ability of people to access open space. Many privately owned sites offer de facto access to the public (e.g. access is at the discretion of the landowner), others restrict access by imposing membership requirements (e.g. sports clubs) or limit access to particular groups (e.g. residents within a particular development). Some sites offer no public access, largely restricting their positive contributions to visual amenity and/or nature conservation.
- 5.154 For OSA 2019, an additional scoring criterion has been developed to help assess the level of public access to each site. These scores are not included in the quality scoring, but are summarised in Table 5.35, which shows the overall level of public access to open space across the borough.
- 5.155 The results reveal that over half of all open space sites (55%) have free and unrestricted access. This means that people can enjoy the use of these spaces at any time of the day, without having to pay.

Survey Results

Table 5.35 – Summary of public accessibility

Scoring criteria	Sites		Area	
	No.	%	Ha.	%
Freely accessible / unrestricted access	244	55%	218.67	58%
Limited / de facto access	89	20%	8.07	2%
Restricted access (membership required specific opening hours etc.)	58	13%	147.56	39%
No public access	48	11%	4.10	1%

- 5.156 One fifth of all open space sites provide limited or de facto access (i.e. the site may be free to use at certain times, or access is at the discretion of the landowner), but they account for just 2% of the borough's total open space provision, in terms of area.
- 5.157 A relatively small proportion of sites (13%) have restricted access. These are mainly within the Outdoor Sports typology, being facilities that are only open at specific times or require payment for usage. Whilst small in number, these sites make up nearly 40% of the total area of open space in the borough. Slightly fewer sites offer no public access (11%). All examples fall within the Amenity Greenspace typology, but are important in terms of providing visually amenity in residential areas.

Additional provision

- 5.158 It is also important to recognise that there is some open space provision in neighbouring authorities is relatively easy to access and well-used by Pendle residents. Links with Burnley are particularly important as the two borough's share a housing market area. In Reedley the boundary between the two urban areas is almost imperceptible and residents living in this part of Pendle are equally likely to access open space in Burnley to meet their needs.
- 5.159 Some larger attractions, much further afield also play an important role. Relatively good transport links provide Pendle residents with access a number of large open spaces, serving a wide catchment area, in neighbouring borough's (Table 5.36).

Table 5.36 – Strategic sites in neighbouring authorities

Site	Typology	Town / Authority	Pendle catchment
Prairie Sports Village	Outdoor Sports	Burnley	Brierfield / Nelson
Brun Valley Park (including Thompson, Queens and Bank Hall Parks)	Parks	Burnley	Brierfield / Nelson
Thompson Park	Parks	Burnley	Brierfield / Nelson
Towneley Park	Parks	Burnley	M65 Corridor / Pendle-wide
Towneley Golf Club	Outdoor Sports	Burnley	Brierfield / Nelson
Aireville Park	Parks	Skipton, Craven	West Craven
Cliffe Castle Park	Parks	Keighley, Bradford	Colne & District

Conclusions

6. Summary and Conclusions

- 6.1 The overall quantity of open space recorded has decreased since the previous audit was published in 2008. The reasons for this are set out in the report and reflect technical changes in the recording of open space.
- 6.2 All the open space sites have been replotted using an Ordnance Survey Mastermap base. This larger scale mapping better reflects the true boundary of each site and means that the area recorded is far more accurate than in previous versions of the audit, which used a smaller scale map base. In some instances this has resulted in significant reductions in the site area.
- 6.3 A further change has been the combining of woodland and natural greenspace within a single typology. In previous audits some woodland sites had been classified under both typologies, which resulted in an element of double counting. This has now been eliminated, but has led to an apparent reduction in the number of sites and the amount of land recorded as open space.
- 6.4 There has been some loss of open space sites to development, whilst others have been removed from the audit because they no longer perform an open space function.
- 6.5 The findings from the quantity assessment clearly show that additional provision is required in several areas of the borough. Many wards do not meet the quantity standards for several of the open space typologies, with five wards – Blacko & Higherford, Clover Hill, Horsfield, Old Laund Booth and Walverden – having deficiencies in all but one of the nine typologies. The emerging Local Plan will need to consider how new planning policy could help to deliver open space in these areas.
- 6.6 The quality of open space sites in the borough has generally improved since 2008, notably within the Play Area typology, which includes children's playgrounds. Where it has been possible to make direct comparisons with the previous audit, the average quality score for most of the open space typologies has increased. This indicates that improvements have continued to be achieved, despite a significant reduction in resources during a period of austerity.
- 6.7 Friends of Parks groups, Parish and Town Councils and the Pendle Council's Environmental Services and Neighbourhood Services have all played a valuable role to help ensure that Pendle's open spaces are attractive, welcoming and well maintained.
- 6.8 In terms of accessibility, the analysis indicates that the majority of residents in the borough have good access to all typologies of open space, when assessed against the relevant local standards. However, there are areas of Pendle with relatively poor access to Parks and Play Areas. Improving access to these types of open space will be a key priority going forward and may require additional provision, should the opportunity arise.

Conclusions

- 6.9 This audit forms part of the extensive evidence base underpinning the preparation of the Pendle Local Plan. It will be important for relevant policies to ensure the continued protection of the key open spaces identified and to employ appropriate mechanisms to secure improvements to those sites, or areas of the borough, with the lowest quality scores.

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Attribute	Description	Score	Typology				
			Amenity Greenspace	Play Areas	Parks	Outdoor Sports	Civic Spaces
1. Main entrance(s)	Easy to find, prominent welcome sign, well maintained, inviting	4	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
	Obvious, welcome sign, well maintained, inviting	3					
	Apparent, small welcome sign, well maintained, inviting	2					
	Difficult to locate, no welcome sign, poor appearance	1					
2. Site boundaries <i>Hedges, Walls, Gates, Buildings etc.</i>	Clearly defined, well maintained	4	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓
	Clearly defined, patchy maintenance	3					
	Clearly defined, maintenance needed	2					
	Not clearly defined	1					
3. Hard surfaces <i>Roads, Paths, Cycleways, Playing surfaces, etc</i>	Suitable materials used, level for safe use, surfaces free of weeds and debris, edges well defined	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Suitable materials used, level for safe use, surfaces maintained to reasonable standard, edges well defined	4					
	Suitable materials used, level for safe use, surfaces have some minor defects	3					
	Suitable materials used, uneven surface, surfaces in need of obvious repair	2					
	Unsuitable materials used, uneven surface, surfaces in need of obvious repair	1					
4. Planted areas <i>Trees, shrubs, flower beds, etc</i>	Extensive planting, good mix of plants, maintained to a very high standard	5	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓
	Extensive planting, adequate mix of plants, maintained to an acceptable standard	4					
	Extensive planting, poor mix of plants, limited maintenance	3					
	Limited planting, limited range of plants, maintained to an acceptable standard	2					
	Limited planting, poor range of plants, limited maintenance	1					

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Attribute	Description	Score	Typology				
			Amenity Greenspace	Play Areas	Parks	Outdoor Sports	Civic Spaces
5. Grassed areas	Full grass cover, good colour, cleanly cut	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
	Good grass cover, some thin patches evident, cut quality good	3					
	Poor grass cover, some bald patches evident, cut quality poor, clippings evident.	1					
6. Facilities <i>(a) Litter Bins</i>	Numerous for size of site, in good condition	5	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
	Numerous for size of site, in average condition	4					
	Adequate for size of site, in good or average condition	3					
	Insufficient for size of site, in good or average condition	2					
	Insufficient for size of site, in poor condition	1					
<i>(b) Seating</i>	Numerous for size of site, in good condition	5	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
	Numerous for size of site in average condition	4					
	Adequate for size of site, in good or average condition	3					
	Insufficient for size of site, in good or average condition	2					
	Insufficient for size of site, in poor condition	1					
<i>(c) Lighting</i>	Well lit – lighting on site and at good intervals	4	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Reasonably lit – lighting adjacent to site but at good intervals	3					
	Poorly lit – lighting poorly spaced for size of site	2					
	No lighting installed	1					

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Attribute	Description	Score	Typology				
			Amenity Greenspace	Play Areas	Parks	Outdoor Sports	Civic Spaces
<i>(d) Parking</i>	Parking provided on site or adjacent to park/facility, adequate spaces, site well maintained, well sign-posted	5	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
	Parking provided on site or adjacent to park/facility, adequate spaces, site adequately maintained, limited signposting	4					
	Parking provided on site or adjacent to park/facility, limited spaces, site adequately maintained, no signposting	3					
	Parking provided on site or adjacent to park/facility, limited spaces, site poorly maintained, no signposting	2					
	Little or no parking provision in the immediate vicinity of the park/facility	1					
<i>(e) Public toilets</i>	Provided on site, signposted, accessible, well maintained	5	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
	On site or adjacent to park, visible, average condition	4					
	On site or adjacent to park, difficult to find, poorly maintained, uninviting	3					
	On site or adjacent to park, very poor condition, avoided by park users	2					
	No provision	1					
<i>(f) Information and interpretation</i>	Extensive signposting and interpretation of key features and attractions, full events programme and publicity	5	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
	Extensive signposting and interpretation of key features and attractions, some events or publicity	4					
	Limited signposting and interpretation of key features and attractions, full events programme and publicity	3					
	Limited signposting and interpretation of key features and attractions, some events or publicity	2					
	No signposting, interpretation or publicity	1					

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Attribute	Description	Score	Typology				
			Amenity Greenspace	Play Areas	Parks	Outdoor Sports	Civic Spaces
<i>(g) Choice of play equipment</i>	5 or more units	5	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
	4 units	4					
	3 units	3					
	2 units	2					
	1 unit	1					
<i>(h) Quality of play equipment</i>	Excellent condition – equipment replaced within the last 2 years – little wear and tear, little damage	5	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
	High – equipment in good condition, some minor wear and tear, little damage	4					
	Average – equipment in reasonable condition – some wear and tear, little damage	3					
	Below Average – equipment in poor condition – notable wear and tear, some damage	2					
	Poor – equipment in poor condition – considerably wear and tear, notable damage	1					
<i>(i) Safety of equipped play area</i>	Site has a number of safety measures, cushioned matting, wood chippings, barriers, perimeter fencing, self-closing gates etc.	5	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
	Site has at least 3 safety measures, cushioned matting, wood chippings, barriers, perimeter fencing, self-closing gates etc.	4					
	Site has at least 2 safety measures, cushioned matting, wood chippings, barriers, perimeter fencing, self-closing gates etc.	3					
	Site has at least 1 safety measure, cushioned matting, wood chippings, barriers, perimeter fencing, self-closing gates etc.	2					
	Site has no safety measures	1					

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Attribute	Description	Score	Typology				
			Amenity Greenspace	Play Areas	Parks	Outdoor Sports	Civic Spaces
<i>(j) Clubhouse and changing facilities</i>	Changing facilities provided on site – in excellent condition, shower, toilet and catering facilities	5	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
	Changing facilities provided on site – in excellent condition, shower and toilet facilities	4					
	Changing facilities provided on site – in acceptable condition, shower or toilet facilities	3					
	Changing facilities on site – in poor condition, no shower or toilet facilities	2					
	No changing facilities on site	1					
<i>(k) Sporting activities</i>	5 or more different sporting activities catered for	5	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
	4 sporting activities catered for	4					
	3 sporting activities catered for	3					
	2 sporting activities catered for	2					
	1 sporting activity catered for	1					
<i>(l) All weather pitch provision</i>	Yes	3	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
	No	1					
<i>(m) Line markings in place (MUGAs only)</i>	Yes	4	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
	No	2					
7. Cleanliness	No evidence of litter, dog fouling, graffiti etc.	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Some evidence of litter and/or dog fouling	3					
	Considerable evidence of litter and/or dog fouling	1					
1. Overall Site Maintenance	Very high standard	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Good/Reasonable standard	4					
	Acceptable standard	3					
	Average /Patchy standard	2					
	Little or no maintenance	1					

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

Attribute	Description	Score	Typology				
			Amenity Greenspace	Play Areas	Parks	Outdoor Sports	Civic Spaces
9. Access (a) For all	Good disabled access throughout the site	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Disabled access in most areas	4					
	Disable access to specific areas	3					
	Poor disabled access	2					
	No disabled access	1					
(b) To housing ⁸	More than 1,600 properties within Xm of the site	5	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	1,201-1,600 properties within Xm of the site	4					
	801-1,200 properties within Xm of the site	3					
	401-800 properties within Xm of the site	2					
	Fewer than 400 properties within Xm of the site.	1					
(c) To nearest public transport	Less than 50m from the main site entrance	5	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓
	Within 51-100m of the main site entrance	4					
	Within 101-150m of the main site entrance	3					
	Within 151-200m of the main site entrance	2					
	Over 200m from the main site entrance	1					

Sources: Open Space Strategies: Best Practice Guidance (CABE, 2009) and Pendle Open Space Audit (Pendle Council, 2008)

⁸ The distance "X" (measured in metres) will vary according to the typology – Table 4.3 sets out the relevant distances to be applied. This criterion uses address data to determine the number of properties and includes both residential and commercial properties.

Appendix 1: Quality Assessment Criteria

This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

The information in this appendix provides a summary of open space provision in the borough, presented alphabetically by ward. The section for each ward follows a consistent layout for ease of reference, as detailed below.⁹


- A2._.1** A map (or maps) showing the location of the open space sites by typology
- A2._.2** A graph illustrating the number of open space sites within the ward by typology
- A2._.3** A graph illustrating the total area of open space within the ward by typology
- A2._.4** A table providing information for those open space sites that have been scored in accordance with the criteria set-out in Appendix 1. The details provided include location, site area, overall quality score and an indication of whether the site is a priority for improvement / enhancement.
- A2._.5** A table listing the open space sites for those typologies that have not been assessed against the quality or accessibility criteria.
- A2._.6** A table summarising the results of the quantity, quality and accessibility findings from the assessment work. It highlights those typologies that are a high priority for increased provision, or where improvements to quality and/or accessibility need to be considered.

Ref	Ward	Area Committee Area	Settlement(s)
1	Barrowford	Barrowford & WP Western Parishes	Barrowford
2	Blacko & Higherford	Barrowford & Western Parishes	Blacko, Higherford
3	Boulsworth	Colne & District	Trawden, Laneshaw Bridge
4	Bradley	Nelson	Nelson
5	Brierfield	Brierfield & Reedley	Brierfield
6	Clover Hill	Nelson	Nelson
7	Coates	West Craven	Barnoldswick
8	Craven	West Craven	Barnoldswick
9	Earby	West Craven	Earby, Sough, Kelbrook, Salterforth
10	Foulridge	Colne & District	Foulridge
11	Higham & Pendleside	Barrowford & Western Parishes	Higham, Spen Brook, Newchurch-in-Pendle, Barley, Roughlee & Crowtrees
12	Horsfield	Colne & District	Colne
13	Marsden	Nelson	Nelson
14	Old Laund Booth	Barrowford & Western Parishes	Fence
15	Reedley	Brierfield & Reedley	Reedley, Brierfield (part)
16	Southfield	Nelson	Nelson
17	Vivary Bridge	Colne & District	Colne
18	Walverden	Nelson	Nelson
19	Waterside	Colne & District	Colne
20	Whitefield	Nelson	Nelson


⁹ Within each section the underscore is replaced by the reference number for the ward, as shown in the table.

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Barrowford ward



A2.1.1 – Barrowford ward: Open space sites



A2.1.2 – Barrowford ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.1.3 – Barrowford ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.1.4 – Barrowford ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG001	Gisburn Road	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.021	36	Medium
AG002	Pasture Lane	Pasture Lane	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.047	26	Medium
AG003	Garnett Street	Garnett Street	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.012	22	High
AG004	Pendle Street	Pendle Street	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.049	31	Medium
AG005	Land off Mill Street	Mill Street	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.326	28	Medium
AG006	Grove Street	Grove Street	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.461	29	Medium
AG007	Broadway Place	Broadway Place	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.106	35	Medium
AG198	Linden Close	Linden Close	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.097	27	Medium
AG199	Parrock Road	Parrock Road	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.135	34	Medium
AG200	Park Avenue	Park Avenue	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.057	32	Medium
AG209	Holmefield Court	Holmefield Court	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.052	39	Low
AG210	Anne Street	Anne Street	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.032	30	Medium
AG255	North Park Avenue	Barrowford Road	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.344	29	Medium
AG288	Fountains Square	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.041	41	Low
OS001	Bullholme Playing Fields	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Outdoor Sports	2.293	50	Medium
OS002	Barrowford Cricket Club	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Outdoor Sports	1.241	35	High
OS089	St Thomas Primary School	Wheatley Lane Road	Barrowford	Outdoor Sports	0.569	49	Medium
OS090	Barrowford Bowling Green	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Outdoor Sports	0.146	42	Medium
PA050	Barrowford Park Play Area	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Play Areas	0.120	55	Low
PA066	Bullholme MUGA	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Play Areas	0.058	47	Medium
PK001	Barrowford Park	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Parks	4.014	57	Low
PK003a	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Barrowford	Parks	3.952	59	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Table A2.1.5 – Barrowford ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL003	Lower Clough Street	Lower Clough Street	Barrowford	Allotments	0.222
AL005	Back Church Street	Back Church Street	Barrowford	Allotments	0.126
AL041	Pasture Lane	Pasture Lane	Barrowford	Allotments	2.721
AL055	Lower Park Hill (Bull Holme)	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Allotments	1.178
CM001	Barrowford Cemetery	Colne Road	Barrowford	Cemeteries	2.089
CM016	Oaklands Avenue	Oaklands Avenue	Barrowford	Cemeteries	0.420
GC015	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Greenfield Road	Barrowford	Green and Blue Corridors	1.246
GC017	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Greenfield Road	Barrowford	Green and Blue Corridors	0.132
GC034	Pendle Water	Barrowford Road	Barrowford	Green and Blue Corridors	5.043
NG119	Pasture Lane Community Garden	Pasture Lane	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.179
WD002	Sandy Hall Lane	Sandy Hall Lane	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.282
WD004	Clough Springs	Wheatley Lane Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	2.811
WD006	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.497
WD007	Appleby Drive	Appleby Drive	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.074
WD008	Barrowford Park	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	1.492
WD009	Land adjacent to Cricket Ground	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.634
WD011	Higher Park Hill Farm	Colne Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.142
WD012	Land adjacent to Barrowford Park	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.170
WD038	Colne Road	Colne Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.367
WD116	Swinden Playing Fields	Greenfield Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	6.761
WD118	Colne Water	Greenfield Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	2.451
WD387	Hetton Lea	Ridgeway	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.561
WD388	East Bank	Pasture Lane	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	0.679
WD389	Park Avenue	Carr Hall Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	1.662

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
WD475	Higher Park Hill Farm	Colne Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	1.504


Note: The new cycling “pump track” constructed on land between Bullholme Playing Fields (Barrowford) and Swinden Playing Fields (Bradley) is recorded under Site Ref WD116 (Natural Greenspace), as the development was under construction when site visits were carried out.

A2.1.6 – Barrowford ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural Greenspace 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Sports 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas 		

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Blacko & Higherford ward



A2.2.1 – Blacko & Higherford ward: Open space sites



A2.2.2 – Blacko & Higherford ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.2.3 – Blacko & Higherford ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.2.4 – Blacko & Higherford ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG008	Gisburn Road / Barnoldswick Road	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.185	31	Medium
AG009	Whittycroft Drive	Whittycroft Drive	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.014	32	Medium
AG219	Bank Fold	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Amenity Greenspace	0.013	18	High
CS014	Gisburn Road	Gisburn Road	Blacko	Civic Space	0.024	48	Medium
OS003	Beverley Playing Fields	Beverley Road	Blacko	Outdoor Sports	0.734	36	High
PA029	Beverley Road Play Area	Beverley Road	Blacko	Play Areas	0.095	51	Medium

A2.2.5 – Blacko & Higherford ward: Other open space sites by typology


Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL006	Beverley Road	Beverley Road	Blacko	Allotments	0.539
GC024	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Colne Road	Barrowford	Green and Blue Corridors	0.885
GC035	Pendle Water	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Green and Blue Corridors	0.579
NG005	Lower Blacko Water	Gisburn Road	Blacko	Natural Greenspace	3.998
WD031	Gisburn Road	Gisburn Road	Blacko	Natural Greenspace	0.363
WD032	East Stone Edge	Barnoldswick Road	Blacko	Natural Greenspace	0.590
WD035	Water Meetings	Gisburn Road	Blacko	Natural Greenspace	7.520
WD037	Land to rear of Higherford Mill	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	1.962

A2.2.6 – Blacko & Higherford ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments Amenity Greenspace Parks Play Areas

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Boulsworth ward



A2.3.1 – Boulsworth ward: Open space sites



A2.3.2 – Boulsworth ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.3.3 – Boulsworth ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.3.4 – Boulsworth ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG010	Trawden Bus Terminus	Lane House	Trawden	Amenity Greenspace	0.041	28	Medium
AG011	Land at Harambee Surgery	Skipton Road	Trawden	Amenity Greenspace	0.119	24	High
AG012	Bannister Close	Holme Crescent	Trawden	Amenity Greenspace	0.024	32	Medium
AG013	School Lane	School Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Amenity Greenspace	0.009	31	Medium
AG014	Land at Public Toilets	Keighley Road	Laneshaw Bridge	Amenity Greenspace	0.036	34	Medium
AG015	Land facing 1-25 Trawden Road	Trawden Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.059	42	Low
AG016	Land at junction of Keighley Road and Cotton Tree Lane	Keighley Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.042	30	Medium
AG017	Land facing 233-257 Keighley Road	Keighley Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.028	30	Medium
AG018	Land to front of Craven Cottage	Keighley Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.124	33	Medium
AG019	Land to front of Swanfield House	Byron Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.339	35	Medium
AG249	Barnfield Close	Barnfield Close	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.559	20	High
OS004	Trawden Recreation Ground	Keighley Road	Trawden	Outdoor Sports	4.359	43	Medium
OS005	Trawden Forest Bowling Club	Lane House	Trawden	Outdoor Sports	0.128	36	High
OS006	Craven Tennis Club	Bent Lane	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.123	28	High
OS007	Colne Golf Club	Skipton Old Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	21.18	44	Medium
OS008	Laneshaw Bridge Primary School	Emmott Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Outdoor Sports	0.321	39	Medium
OS096	Emmott Lane Playing Fields	Emmott Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Outdoor Sports	0.268	35	High
PA001	Lanehouse Playground	Lanehouse	Trawden	Play Areas	0.080	50	Medium
PA002	Emmott Lane Play Area	Emmott Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Play Areas	0.123	49	Medium
PA030	Ball Grove Playground	Winewall Road	Colne	Play Areas	0.218	46	Medium
PK006	Ballgrove Park	Winewall Road	Colne	Parks	4.711	56	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.3.5 – Boulsworth ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL007	East View	Ash Street	Trawden	Allotments	0.564
AL020	Cottontree	Cotton Tree Lane	Colne	Allotments	0.960
AL061	Hollington Street	Cotton Tree Lane	Colne	Allotments	0.125
CM002	Winewall Burial Ground	Winewall Lane	Trawden	Cemeteries	0.541
CM003	Colne Cemetery	Keighley Road	Colne	Cemeteries	7.737
CM004	Christ Church	Keighley Road	Colne	Cemeteries	0.273
CM021	St Mary's Church	Church Street	Trawden	Cemeteries	0.246
GC001	Tram Tracks	Standroyd Road	Colne	Green and Blue Corridors	0.480
NG011	Wycolter Beck	Carriers Row	Colne	Natural Greenspace	15.610
NG018	Upper Ball Grove	Keighley Road	Colne	Natural Greenspace	2.848
NG104	Colne Water Pastures	Keighley Road	Laneshaw Bridge	Natural Greenspace	4.421
WD040	Colne Cemetery	Carry Bridge	Colne	Natural Greenspace	1.175
WD045	Trawden Brook	Skipton Road	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.694
WD046	Former works	Skipton Road	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.411
WD047	New Laithe Farm	Burnley Road	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	2.199
WD049	Stunstead Cottages	Stunstead Road	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.458
WD050	Near Wanless Farm	Whitelee Avenue	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.172
WD051	Trawden Brook	Hollin Hall	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	6.505
WD054	Little Laith Farm	Lanehouse	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.096
WD097	Craigmore	Keighley Road	Colne	Natural Greenspace	0.277
WD099	Eastfield	Keighley Road	Laneshaw Bridge	Natural Greenspace	0.294
WD100	Covey Bridge	Carrier's Row	Laneshaw Bridge	Natural Greenspace	0.572
WD106	Ball Grove	Ball Grove Drive	Colne	Natural Greenspace	0.301
WD107	Malts House	Ball Grove Drive	Colne	Natural Greenspace	0.297

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
WD109	Ball Grove	Ball Grove Drive	Colne	Natural Greenspace	7.108
WD111	Colne Water	Cotton Tree Lane	Colne	Natural Greenspace	5.675
WD393	Nichol House Farm	Colne Road	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.615
WD394	Emmott House	School Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Natural Greenspace	0.444
WD396	Trawden Brook	White Lee Avenue	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.765
WD397	Rock Business Centre	Pinetree Court	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	0.125
WD398	White Holme Mill	Skipton Road	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	1.161
WD399	Lower Hall Bridge	Floats Mill	Trawden	Natural Greenspace	1.473
WD432	Stepping Stones	Emmott Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Natural Greenspace	0.252
WD474	Carry Bridge	Coal Pit Lane	Colne	Natural Greenspace	0.711

A2.3.6 – Boulsworth ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green and Blue Corridors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace Civic Space Parks Play Areas

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Bradley ward



A2.4.1 – Bradley ward: Open space sites



A2.4.2 – Bradley ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.4.3 – Bradley ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.4.4 – Bradley ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG021	Hey Street	Leeds Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.016	39	Low
AG022	Bradley Road	Bradley Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.065	37	Medium
AG023	Hodge House	Regent Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.079	36	Medium
AG024	Land to front of 150-168 Reedyford Road	Reedyford Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.147	34	Medium
AG025	Turning circle	Swinden Hall Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.084	37	Medium
AG026	Leeds Road	Leeds Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.006	36	Medium
AG027	Leeds Road	Leeds Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.020	34	Medium
AG211	Highfield Crescent	Highfield Crescent	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.019	28	Medium
AG212	Cravendale Avenue	Cravendale Avenue	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.025	33	Medium
CS001b	Leeds Road	Leeds Road	Nelson	Civic Space	0.335	53	Medium
CS003	Sagar Street	Sagar Street	Nelson	Civic Space	0.027	38	High
CS015	Bradley Hub	Leeds Road	Nelson	Civic Space	0.053	46	Medium
OS009	Swinden Playing Fields	Cravendale Avenue	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	6.949	49	Medium
OS010	Hodge House Playing Fields	Regent Street	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	3.001	41	Medium
OS011	Seedhill Athletics and Fitness Centre	Surrey Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	2.677	52	Low
OS012	Nelson Cricket Club	Surrey Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	2.016	53	Low
OS013	Westfield Bowling Club	Scott Street	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.268	50	Medium
OS014	Holy Saviour RC Primary School	Holland Place	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.767	45	Medium
OS015	Nelson and Colne College	Scotland Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	1.872	60	Low
OS092	Nelson Cricket Club Bowling Club	Gill Street	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.164	38	Medium
PA020	Regent Street Avenue MUGA	Regent Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.083	45	Medium
PA031	Hodge House Play Area	Regent Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.069	54	Medium
PA054a	Fleet Street Play Area	Fleet Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.067	55	Low
PA054b	Fleet Street MUGA	Fleet Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.085	52	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PA055	Cliffe Street Play Area	Cliffe Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.082	55	Low
PA056a	Hey Street Playground	Hey Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.057	52	Medium
PA056b	Hey Street MUGA	Hey Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.130	48	Medium
PK003b	Victoria Park	Carr Road	Nelson	Parks	0.748	57	Low

A2.4.5 – Bradley ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL009	Swinden	Cravendale Avenue	Nelson	Allotments	0.317
AL034	Hodge House	Holland Place	Nelson	Allotments	6.334
GC012	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Pendle Street	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	0.478
GC013	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Scotland Road	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	0.720
GC014	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Reedyford Road	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	1.725
GC025	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Cravendale Avenue	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	0.546
NG106	Cooper Street	Cooper Street	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.083
WD113	Nelson and Colne College	Barrowford Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.446
WD114	Reedyford Lodge	Scotland Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.641
WD115	Swinden Playing Fields	Wilton Street	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	2.560
WD117	Cravendale Avenue	Cravendale Avenue	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.937
WD400	Pendle Water	Gisburn Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.472
WD401	Swiden Clough	Junction Street	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.281
WD402	Walverden Water	Cooper Street	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.579


Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.4.6 – Bradley ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity Greenspace • Cemeteries • Green and Blue Corridors • Natural Greenspace • Parks • Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Civic Space 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parks

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Brierfield ward



A2.5.1 – Brierfield ward: Open space sites



A2.5.2 – Brierfield ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.5.3 – Brierfield ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.5.4 – Brierfield ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG028	Sackville Street Gardens	Sackville Street	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.065	39	Low
AG029	Brierfield Library	Colne Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.078	45	Low
AG030	Brierfield Community Centre	Colne Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.055	38	Medium
AG189	Mansfield Crescent	Mansfield Crescent	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.019	31	Medium
AG190	Limefield Avenue	Limefield Avenue	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.024	31	Medium
AG234	Park View Close	Park View Close	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.078	29	Medium
AG290	Hardy Avenue	Hardy Avenue	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.120	32	Medium
CS013	Brierfield Peace Gardens	Colne Road	Brierfield	Civic Space	0.006	49	Medium
OS018	Marsden Heights Sports Pitches (2)	Mansfield Crescent	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	3.588	46	Medium
OS019	Marsden Heights Sports Pitches (1)	Edge End Lane	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	2.188	51	Medium
PA004a	Sackville Street Playground	Sackville Street	Brierfield	Play Areas	0.066	46	Medium
PA004b	Sackville Street MUGA	Sackville Street	Brierfield	Play Areas	0.092	45	Medium
PA005	Massey Street Playground	Massey Street	Brierfield	Play Areas	0.137	47	Medium
PA019	Colne Road MUGA	Colne Road	Brierfield	Play Areas	0.032	44	Medium
PA032b	Chatburn Park Drive Playground	Veevers Street	Brierfield	Play Areas	0.583	59	Low
PA067	Taylor Street MUGA	Taylor Street	Brierfield	Play Areas	0.623	44	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.5.5 – Brierfield ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL001	Halifax Road	Halifax Road	Nelson	Allotments	2.343
AL029	Granville Road	Hardy Avenue	Brierfield	Allotments	0.361
AL030	Roseland Avenue	Roseland Avenue	Brierfield	Allotments	0.044
AL049	Edge End	Edge End Lane	Nelson	Allotments	2.266
CM005	Halifax Road Methodist	Halifax Road	Brierfield	Cemeteries	0.149
CM006	Halifax Road Quaker Burial Ground	Halifax Road	Brierfield	Cemeteries	0.023
GC006	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Clitheroe Road	Brierfield	Green and Blue Corridors	3.103
GC031	Victoria Avenue Railway Embankment	Victoria Avenue	Brierfield	Green and Blue Corridors	0.876
NG027	Lomeshaye Marsh	Kirby Road	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	2.480
NG113	Land off Jewel Holme	Wood Clough Platts	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.094
NG114	Land to side of Wood Clough Platts	Wood Clough Platts	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.098
NG115	Glen Way	Glen Way	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.336
NG116	Hardy Avenue	Hardy Avenue	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.244
WD120	Hollin Mill Street	Chatburn Park Drive	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	4.081
WD121	Land adjacent to Gas Holder	Hollin Mill Street	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.451
WD321	Former Sewage Works	Clitheroe Road	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	6.344
WD363	Granville Road	Roseland Avenue	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	1.599
WD403	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Holden Road	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	1.338
WD404	Marsden Heights	Edge End Lane	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.891
WD405	Hawk's Hjouse Bridge	Robinson Lane	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.610
WD406	Lomeshaye	Lindred Road	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	1.264
WD407	Lomeshaye	Churchill Way	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.929
WD408	Railway Terrace	Forest View	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.901


Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.5.6 – Brierfield ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Amenity Greenspace		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Allotments
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Outdoor Sports		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Play Areas
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Parks		

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Clover Hill ward



A2.6.1 – Clover Hill ward: Open space sites



A2.6.2 – Clover Hill ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.6.3 – Clover Hill ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.6.4 – Clover Hill ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG031	Clover Hill Road	Clover Hill Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.083	25	Medium
AG032	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.183	26	Medium
AG033	Wenning Street	Wenning Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.025	25	Medium
AG035	Howgill Close	Howgill Close	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.006	36	Medium
AG036	Fletcher Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.055	23	High
AG037	Brunswick Street	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.161	32	Medium
AG186	Beaufort Street	Beaufort Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.134	37	Medium
AG187	Waidhouse Road	Waidhouse Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.096	34	Medium
AG273	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.459	32	Medium
PA033a	Walverden Park Play Area	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.078	57	Low
PA033b	Walverden Park Play Area 2	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.104	60	Low
PA033c	Walverden Park MUGA	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.131	53	Low
PA062	Southfield Street MUGA	Southfield Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.135	49	Medium
PK011	Walverden Park	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Parks	4.701	58	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.6.5 – Clover Hill ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL016	Windsor Street	Windsor Street	Nelson	Allotments	0.353
AL031	Brunswick Street	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Allotments	0.515
NG028	Walverden Reservoir	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	2.836
NG110	Scholefield Avenue / Scholefield Lane	Halifax Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.175
NG122	Quarry Hill	Waidshouse Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	6.148
WD123	Walverden Park	Park Drive	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	3.235
WD376	St Georges Road	St Georges Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.643
WD409	Quarry Hill	Waidshouse Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.398
WD410	Quarry Hill	Waidshouse Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.553
WD411	Cold Weather House	Cold Weather Avenue	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.308
WD412	Marsden Fold	Cold Weather Avenue	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.259

A2.6.6 – Clover Hill ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments Amenity Greenspace Cemeteries Green and Blue Corridors Natural Greenspace Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace 	

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Coates ward



A2.7.1 – Coates ward: Open space sites



A2.7.2 – Coates ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.7.3 – Coates ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.7.4 – Coates ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG039	Fernlea Avenue	Fernlea Avenue	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.059	44	Low
AG041	Cravenside	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.864	36	Medium
AG042	Foresters	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.019	42	Low
AG043	Westfield Close	Westfield Close	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.051	30	Medium
AG044	Gledstone View	Gledstone View	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.011	34	Medium
AG045	Skipton Road	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.043	41	Low
AG050	Rainhall Road	Rainhall Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.092	26	Medium
AG229	Conway Crescent	Conway Crescent	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.021	33	Medium
AG230	Coates Avenue	Coates Avenue	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.045	36	Medium
AG231	Skipton Road	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.032	34	Medium
AG256	Westclose	Westfield Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.254	30	Medium
AG270	Coates Lane	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.017	42	Low
AG280	Conway Crescent	Conway Crescent	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.021	34	Medium
AG281	Coates Avenue	Rainhall Crescent	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.057	31	Medium
AG282	Coates Avenue	Coates Avenue	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.018	36	Medium
AG283	Skipton Road	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspaces	0.21	27	Medium
OS021	Victory Park	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	2.143	45	Medium
OS022	Victory Park	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	2.799	49	Medium
OS023	Barnoldswick Cricket Club	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	1.364	49	Medium
OS024	Barnoldswick Town Football Ground (1)	Westfield Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	1.126	47	Medium
OS026	Rolls Royce Sports Club	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	5.89	52	Low
OS027	Victory Park	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	0.596	45	Medium
OS028	Barnoldswick Town Football Ground (2)	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	1.352	43	Medium
OS029	Rolls Royce Bowling Green	Coates Lane	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	0.241	57	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS033	Coates Lane County Primary	Kirkstall Drive	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	0.342	41	Medium
OS034	St Joseph's RC Primary School	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	0.682	42	Medium
OS091	Greenberfield Lane Playing Pitch	Greenberfield Lane	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	0.498	38	Medium
PA006	Avon Drive Play Area	Avon Drive	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.209	48	Medium
PA007	Coates Avenue MUGA	Coates Avenue	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.363	44	Medium
PA034	Victory Park Play Area	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.147	49	Medium
PA051	Valley Gardens Play Area	Butts	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.061	51	Medium
PA065	Victory Park MUGA	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.348	52	Low

A2.7.5 – Coates ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL010	Hardy Avenue	Priory Way	Barnoldswick	Allotments	0.687
AL018	Coates Avenue	Coates Avenue	Barnoldswick	Allotments	0.188
AL019	Applegarth	Applegarth	Barnoldswick	Allotments	0.146
AL036	Coates Hall	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Allotments	0.267
AL037	Damhead	Gisburn Road	Barnoldswick	Allotments	0.312
AL056	West Close Road	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Allotments	0.173
GC029	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Greenberfield Lane	Barnoldswick	Green and Blue Corridors	6.358
WD124	Applegarth	Applegarth	Barnoldswick	Woodland	0.279
WD413	Bairstow Street Garages	Bairstow Street	Barnoldswick	Woodland	0.224


Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.7.6 – Coates ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cemeteries		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Parks
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Natural Greenspace		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Play Areas
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Parks		

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Craven ward



A2.8.1 – Craven ward: Open space sites



A2.8.2 – Craven ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.8.3 – Craven ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.8.4 – Craven ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG051	Westgate	Westgate	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspace	0.237	34	Medium
AG053	Clough Mill Site	Walmsgate	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspace	0.371	25	Medium
AG054	Harrison Street	Harrison Street	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspace	0.221	30	Medium
AG267	Bank Street	Valley Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspace	0.523	31	Medium
AG269	Clifford Street	Clifford Street	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspace	0.401	20	High
AG278	Holy Trinity Church	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Amenity Greenspace	0.075	36	Medium
CS004	Barnoldswick Town Square	Albert Road	Barnoldswick	Civic Space	0.079	49	Medium
CS005	Mosley Street War Memorial	Mosely Street	Barnoldswick	Civic Space	0.124	55	Low
CS006	War Memorial	Wellhouse Road	Barnoldswick	Civic Space	0.053	55	Low
CS007	Fernlea Avenue	Fernlea Avenue	Barnoldswick	Civic Space	0.064	59	Low
OS035	West Craven High School	Kelbrook Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	4.286	56	Low
OS098	Barnoldswick CE Primary School	Kelbrook Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	0.958	49	Medium
PA035	Harrison Street Play Area	Harrison Street	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.028	52	Medium
PA036	Bank Street Play Area	Bank Street	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.039	54	Medium
PA037	Letcliffe Park Play Area	Manchester Road	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.090	56	Low
PA038a	Walmsgate Play Area	Walmsgate	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.053	38	High
PA038b	Walmsgate MUGA	Walmsgate	Barnoldswick	Play Areas	0.044	37	High
PK014	Valley Gardens	Pennine Way	Barnoldswick	Parks	1.770	62	Low
PK016	Letcliffe Park	Manchester Road	Barnoldswick	Parks	4.511	54	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.8.5 – Craven ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL022	Lower Park Street	Lower Park Street	Barnoldswick	Allotments	0.196
GC028	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Long Ing Lane	Barnoldswick	Green and Blue Corridors	3.174
NG031	Salterforth Railway Sections	Kelbrook Road	Barnoldswick	Natural Greenspace	0.593
NG117	Ousel Dale	Walmsgate	Barnoldswick	Natural Greenspace	0.54
WD156	Moses Lee Clough	Gillians Lane	Barnoldswick	Woodland	1.494
WD160	Letcliffe Park	Manchester Road	Barnoldswick	Woodland	0.268
WD161	Letcliffe Hill	Manchester Road	Barnoldswick	Woodland	0.400
WD162	Hurst Hill	Hodge Lane	Barnoldswick	Woodland	0.073
WD163	Little Cut	Salterforth Lane	Barnoldswick	Woodland	2.364
WD416	Long Ing	Long Ing Lane	Barnoldswick	Woodland	4.658
WD417	Parkway	Kelbrook Road	Barnoldswick	Woodland	0.411

A2.8.6 – Craven ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments Cemeteries Green and Blue Corridors Natural Greenspace Outdoor Sports Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace Play Areas 	

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Earby ward



A2.9.1 (a) – Earby ward: Open space sites in Earby




A2.9.2 – Earby ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.9.3 – Earby ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Earby ward



Map A2.9.1 (b) – Earby ward: Open space sites in Sough and Kelbrook

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Earby ward



Map A2.9.1 (c) – Earby ward: Open space sites in Salterforth

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.9.4 – Earby ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG055	Colne Road	Colne Road	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.011	32	Medium
AG056	Colne Road	Colne Road	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.028	39	Low
AG057	Colne Road	Colne Road	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.119	33	Medium
AG058	Colne Road	Colne Road	Sough	Amenity Greenspace	0.180	27	Medium
AG059	Moor View	Moor View	Salterforth	Amenity Greenspace	0.034	29	Medium
AG060	Tyseley Grove	Tyseley Grove	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.118	33	Medium
AG062	Chesford Street	Chesford Street	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.056	32	Medium
AG063	Bawhead Road	Bawhead Road	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.255	26	Medium
AG064	Dotcliffe Road	Dotcliffe Road	Kelbrook	Amenity Greenspace	0.076	30	Medium
AG065	Barnwood Road	Barnwood Road	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.042	31	Medium
AG066	Green End Road	Riley Street	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.009	30	Medium
AG067	Stoneybank Road	Stoneybank Road	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.015	37	Medium
AG068	Colne Road	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Amenity Greenspace	0.009	28	Medium
AG069	Vicarage Road	Vicarage Road	Kelbrook	Amenity Greenspace	0.012	25	Medium
AG070	Colne Road opposite 398	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Amenity Greenspace	0.008	27	Medium
AG071	Colne Road	Colne Road	Sough	Amenity Greenspace	0.363	27	Medium
AG072	Holme Close	Holme Close	Sough	Amenity Greenspace	0.027	33	Medium
AG073	Earlham Street	Earlham Street	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.150	34	Medium
AG074	Water Street	Water Street	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.045	31	Medium
AG075	Rushton Avenue	Rushton Avenue	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.079	26	Medium
AG076	Sandhills Close	Sandhills Close	Salterforth	Amenity Greenspace	0.143	30	Medium
AG227	Moseley Avenue	Moseley Avenue	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.011	27	Medium
AG228	Elm Close	Elm Close	Salterforth	Amenity Greenspace	0.025	32	Medium
AG240	Colne Road	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Amenity Greenspace	0.049	27	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG241	Kenilworth Drive	Kenilworth Drive	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.015	31	Medium
AG252	Bailey Street	Bailey Street	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.265	31	Medium
AG268	Aspen Lane	Aspen Lane	Earby	Amenity Greenspace	0.481	26	Medium
OS030	Ghyll Golf Course	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	12.59	45	Medium
OS037	Springfield Playing Field	Bailey Street	Earby	Outdoor Sports	0.618	38	Medium
OS038	Sough Bowling Green	Colne Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	0.216	45	Medium
OS039	Sough Park	Colne Road	Barnoldswick	Outdoor Sports	1.893	37	Medium
OS040	Earby Cricket Club	William Street	Earby	Outdoor Sports	1.328	45	Medium
OS041	Salterforth Playing Fields	Kelbrook Road	Salterforth	Outdoor Sports	0.762	39	Medium
OS042	Earby Recreation Ground / Hill Top	Skipton Road	Earby	Outdoor Sports	1.006	42	Medium
OS043	Croquet Lawn	Cemetery Road	Earby	Outdoor Sports	0.671	42	Medium
OS044	Salterforth Primary School	Cross Lane	Salterforth	Outdoor Sports	0.179	43	Medium
OS045	Kelbrook Primary School	School Street	Kelbrook	Outdoor Sports	0.409	35	High
OS046	Earby Springfield Primary	Bailey Street	Earby	Outdoor Sports	0.641	35	High
PA008	Northolme Playground	Warwick Drive	Earby	Play Areas	0.136	46	Medium
PA009	Rushton Avenue Playground	Rushton Avenue	Earby	Play Areas	0.126	56	Low
PA010	Park View Terrace Play Area	Park View Terrace	Salterforth	Play Areas	0.055	52	Medium
PA039	Sough Park Playground	Colne Road	Sough	Play Areas	0.194	56	Low
PA040	Birley Play Area	Birch Hall Lane	Earby	Play Areas	0.269	43	Medium
PA047a	Kenilworth Drive Playground	Kenilworth Drive	Earby	Play Areas	0.130	54	Medium
PA047b	Kenilworth Drive MUGA	Kenilworth Drive	Earby	Play Areas	0.095	48	Medium
PA048a	Cemetery Road Playground	Cemetery Road	Earby	Play Areas	0.074	60	Low
PA048b	Cemetery Road MUGA	Cemetery Road	Earby	Play Areas	0.147	56	Low
PA049	Colne Road Play Area	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Play Areas	0.058	52	Medium
PK019	Birley Playing Fields	Birch Hall Lane	Earby	Parks	1.326	39	High

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PK031	Sough Park	Colne Road	Sough	Parks	0.447	57	Low

A2.9.5 – Earby ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL004	Rostle Top	Rostle Top	Earby	Allotments	0.234
AL025	Rushton Avenue	Rushton Avenue	Earby	Allotments	0.087
AL026	Earby Road	Earby Road	Salterforth	Allotments	0.257
AL027	Canal Allotment	Salterforth Lane	Salterforth	Allotments	0.131
AL028	Rostle Top Road	Rostle Top Road	Earby	Allotments	0.049
AL038	School Lane	School Lane	Earby	Allotments	0.614
AL048	Springmount	Bailey Street	Earby	Allotments	0.200
CM009	Earby Cemetery	Cemetery Road	Earby	Cemeteries	0.810
GC004	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Kelbrook Road	Kelbrook	Green and Blue Corridors	2.577
GC007	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Colne Road	Earby	Green and Blue Corridors	6.598
GC027	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Kelbrook Road	Salterforth	Green and Blue Corridors	4.444
GC030	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Barnoldswick Road	Kelbrook	Green and Blue Corridors	2.952
GC038	Dismantled Railway	Earby Road	Salterforth	Green and Blue Corridors	0.945
NG035	Salterforth Railway Sections	Earby Road	Salterforth	Natural Greenspace	0.424
NG036	Sough Pasture	Colne Road	Sough	Natural Greenspace	2.032
NG038	Birch Hall Lane Pasture	Birch Hall Lane	Earby	Natural Greenspace	1.581
NG039	Stanridge and Three Acre Clough	Standridge Clough Lane	Earby	Natural Greenspace	1.884
NG041	Harden Clough	Heads Lane	Kelbrook	Natural Greenspace	7.294
NG046	Railway Embankment	Kelbrook Road	Barnoldswick	Natural Greenspace	1.662
WD165	Bashfield Beck	Salterforth Lane	Salterforth	Woodland	0.035
WD168	Sough Bridge	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Woodland	0.099

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
WD171	High Bank Farm	Stoney Bank Road	Earby	Woodland	0.553
WD180	Vicarge Road	Vicarge Road	Kelbrook	Woodland	0.146
WD181	Euravia House	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Woodland	0.135
WD182	Dotcliffe	Dotcliffe Road	Kelbrook	Woodland	0.916
WD192	Annes Wood	School Fields	Earby	Woodland	0.748
WD419	Old Stone Trough	Old Stone Trough Lane	Kelbrook	Woodland	0.341
WD422	Wentcliff Brook	Birch Hall Lane	Earby	Woodland	1.320
WD423	Hodge Syke	Birch Hall Lane	Earby	Woodland	0.453
WD425	Glen Farm	Red Lion Street	Earby	Woodland	0.217

A2.9.6 – Earby ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civic
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Foulridge ward



A2.10.1 – Foulridge ward: Open space sites



A2.10.2 – Foulridge ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.10.3 – Foulridge ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.10.4 – Foulridge ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG078	Town Top	Town Top	Foulridge	Amenity Greenspace	0.017	24	High
AG079	Towngate	Towngate	Foulridge	Amenity Greenspace	0.122	31	Medium
AG080	Breeze Close	Breeze Close	Foulridge	Amenity Greenspace	0.095	33	Medium
AG081	Highfield Avenue	Highfield Avenue	Foulridge	Amenity Greenspace	0.018	29	Medium
AG082	Towngate and Lowther Lane Junction	Towngate	Foulridge	Amenity Greenspace	0.025	30	Medium
CS008	Foulridge War Memorial	Lowther Lane	Foulridge	Civic Space	0.020	52	Medium
OS047	Foulridge Playing Fields	Noyna Road	Foulridge	Outdoor Sports	2.098	36	High
OS048	Foulridge Primary School	Skipton Road	Foulridge	Outdoor Sports	0.557	40	Medium
OS093	Towngate Bowling Green	Towngate	Foulridge	Outdoor Sports	0.092	45	Medium
PA011	Sycamore Rise Play Area	Alma Avenue	Foulridge	Play Areas	0.218	50	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.10.5 – Foulridge ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL033	Noyna Avenue	Noyna Avenue	Foulridge	Allotments	0.988
GC003	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Station Road	Foulridge	Green and Blue Corridors	3.447
GC005	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Station Road	Foulridge	Green and Blue Corridors	1.130
GC018	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Warehouse Lane	Foulridge	Green and Blue Corridors	2.825
GC020	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Whitemoor Road	Foulridge	Green and Blue Corridors	1.686
GC022	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Smithy Lane	Foulridge	Green and Blue Corridors	0.876
NG067	Foulridge Upper Reservoir	Brown Hill Lane	Foulridge	Natural Greenspace	11.860
NG080	Foulridge Reservoirs	Skipton Road	Colne	Natural Greenspace	25.28
WD200	Brown Hill Lane	Brown Hill Lane	Foulridge	Woodland	0.432
WD259	Manor Road	Manor Road	Colne	Woodland	0.210
WD433	Cragg Farm	Skipton Road	Foulridge	Woodland	0.413
WD436	Lake Burwain	Skipton Road	Foulridge	Woodland	0.151

A2.10.6 – Foulridge ward: Summary of future priorities

Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parks


* Currently no provision within this typology, but only a medium priority due to provision in adjacent/nearby wards

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Higham & Pendleside ward



A2.11.1 (a) –Higham & Pendleside ward: Open space sites in Higham




A2.11.2 – Higham & Pendleside ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.11.3 – Higham & Pendleside ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Higham & Pendleside ward



A2.11.1 (b) – Higham & Pendleside ward: Open space sites in SpenBrook, Newchurch-in-Pendle, Barley and Roughlee & Crow Trees

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.11.4 – Higham & Pendleside ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG085	Wellhead Road	Wellhead Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Amenity Greenspace	0.012	38	Medium
AG086	Higham Hall Road	Higham Hall Road	Higham	Amenity Greenspace	0.012	31	Medium
AG087	Barley Bridge	The Avenue	Barley	Amenity Greenspace	0.018	31	Medium
AG224	Spenbrook Road	Spenbrook Road	Spen Brook	Amenity Greenspace	0.009	33	Medium
OS049	Newchurch Recreation Ground	Wellhead Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Outdoor Sports	0.648	29	High
OS050	Higham CE Primary School	Higham Hall Road	Higham	Outdoor Sports	0.703	47	Medium
OS094	Higham Hall Road	Higham Hall Road	Higham	Outdoor Sports	0.679	31	High
PA023	Barley Lane Play Area	Barley Lane	Barley	Play Areas	0.036	41	High
PA041	Croft Lane Play Area	Croft Lane	Higham	Play Areas	0.698	47	Medium
PA045	Jinny Lane Playground	Jinny Lane	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Play Areas	0.315	39	High
PA046	Spen Brook Play Area	Spen Brook Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Play Areas	0.068	37	High
PK021	Barley Picnic Area	The Avenue	Burnley	Parks	0.566	61	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.11.5 – Higham & Pendleside ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
CM017	St Mary's Churchyard	Spen Brook Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Cemeteries	0.450
NG057	Barley Car Park Field	The Avenue	Barley	Natural Greenspace	0.521
NG058	Barley Road Pasture	The Avenue	Barley	Natural Greenspace	2.143
NG062	West Close Clough	Fir Trees Lane	Higham	Natural Greenspace	4.651
NG103	Lower Ogden Reservoir Grasslands	Cross Lane	Barley	Natural Greenspace	5.133
WD206	Ogden Clough	Cross Lane	Barley	Woodland	1.247
WD207	Black Moss Water	Barley Lane	Barley	Woodland	1.649
WD230	Crow Trees Wood	Blacko Bar Road	Roughlee	Woodland	2.648
WD232	Roughlee Wood	Blacko Bar Road	Roughlee	Woodland	2.316
WD233	Pasture Lane	Pasture Lane	Roughlee	Woodland	0.302
WD241	Acres Brook	Back Lane	Higham	Woodland	0.938
WD244	Clough Mill	Garden Street	Higham	Woodland	0.263
WD255	Barley Bank	Jinny Lane	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Woodland	5.124
WD380	Newchurch	Spen Brook Road	Newchurch	Woodland	1.175
WD381	Spenbrook	Osbourne Terrace	Spen Brook	Woodland	0.410
WD439	Yate House	Barley New Road	Roughlee	Woodland	0.673
WD440	Robin House	Judson Fold	Crow Trees	Woodland	0.257
WD442	Cross Lane	Spen Brook Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Woodland	0.524
WD445	West Close Barn	Barrowford Road	Higham	Woodland	0.449


Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.11.6 – Higham & Pendleside ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green and Blue Corridors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civic
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Sports
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Horsfield ward



A2.12.1 – Horsfield ward: Open space sites



A2.12.2 – Horsfield ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.12.3 – Horsfield ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.12.4 – Horsfield ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG083	Langroyd Road	Skipton Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.129	35	Medium
AG089	Windsor Street	Skipton Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.116	22	High
AG090	Snell Grove	Snell Grove	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.075	29	Medium
AG091	Favordale Home for the Aged	Byron Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.181	34	Medium
AG092	St. Stephens Way	St. Stephens Way	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.891	27	Medium
AG093	Byron Road	Byron Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.652	33	Medium
AG094	Byron Road	Byron Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.182	33	Medium
AG221	Venables Avenue	Venables Avenue	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.017	31	Medium
AG260	Allison Grove	Varley Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.147	31	Medium
OS051	Colne Cricket Club	Byron Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	1.802	47	Medium
OS052	Park High School	Venables Avenue	Colne	Outdoor Sports	2.989	53	Low
OS095	King George V Playing Fields	Skipton Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	1.274	35	High
OS097	Colne Bowling Club	Byron Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.131	48	Medium
PA042a	King George V Playing Fields Playground	Skipton Road	Colne	Play Areas	0.139	45	Medium
PA042b	King George V Playing Fields MUGA	Skipton Road	Colne	Play Areas	0.117	41	High
PK022	King George V Playing Fields	Skipton Road	Colne	Parks	1.886	41	High

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.12.5 – Horsfield ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL013	Oxford Street	Townley Street	Colne	Allotments	0.124
AL014	Montague Street	Montague Street	Colne	Allotments	0.081
AL015	The Green	Castle Road	Colne	Allotments	0.183
AL021	High Garth	Chatham Street	Colne	Allotments	0.063
AL023	Granville Road	Granville Road	Colne	Allotments	0.033
AL032	Temple Street	Temple Street	Colne	Allotments	0.107
AL035	Lowther Street	Russell Avenue	Colne	Allotments	0.098
CM019	St Bartholomew's Church Yard	Church Street	Colne	Cemeteries	0.215
NG102	Foulridge Reservoirs	Brown Hill Lane	Colne	Natural Greenspace	1.736
WD260	Castle Road	Castle Street	Colne	Woodland	0.135
WD261	Castle Road	Castle Road	Colne	Woodland	0.060
WD262	Skipton Old Road	Skipton Old Road	Colne	Woodland	0.146

A2.12.6 – Horsfield ward: Summary of future priorities

Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments Green and Blue Corridors Natural Greenspace Outdoor Sports Parks Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace Outdoor Sports Parks Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Marsden ward



Map A2.13.1 – Marsden ward: Open space sites




Figure A2.13.2 – Marsden ward: Number of sites by typology




Figure A2.13.3 – Marsden ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.13.4 – Marsden ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG095	Gib Hill Road	Gib Hill Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.137	27	Medium
AG096	Oxford Road	Oxford Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.177	30	Medium
AG097	Marsden Hall Road North	Marsden Hall Road North	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.212	27	Medium
AG098	Andrew Road	Andrew Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.085	32	Medium
AG099	Merclesden Avenue	Merclesden Avenue	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.187	29	Medium
AG101	Ringstone Crescent	Ringstone Crescent	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	3.206	26	Medium
AG193	Townhouse Road	Townhouse Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.101	30	Medium
AG204	Hollins Road	Hollins Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.033	32	Medium
AG205	Hollins Road	Hollins Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.007	31	Medium
AG207	Oxford Road	Oxford Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.023	33	Medium
AG261	Sansbury Crescent	Sansbury Crescent	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.403	32	Medium
AG266	Marsden Hall Road	Marsden Hall Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.262	37	Medium
AG284	Rimington Place	Rimington Place	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.014	32	Medium
AG285	Pinfold Place	Pinfold Place	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.032	33	Medium
AG286	Pinfold Place	Merclesden Avenue	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.023	32	Medium
AG287	Liddesdale Road	Liddesdale Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.007	33	Medium
OS053	Marsden Park	Walton Lane	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.889	36	High
OS055	Marsden Park Golf Club	Town House Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	44.700	54	Low
OS057	Pendle Vale College	Oxford Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.864	60	Low
OS099	Pendle Vale College	Oxford Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	1.662	58	Low
OS100	Pendle Vale College	Leeds Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	2.138	32	High
PA027	Marsden Park Playground	Walton Lane	Nelson	Play Areas	0.101	54	Medium
PA064	Marsden Hall Road North MUGA	Marsden Hall Road North	Nelson	Play Areas	0.080	41	High
PK024	Marsden Park	Marsden Hall Road	Nelson	Parks	9.740	56	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.13.5 – Marsden ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL050	Cemetery Allotments	Hollins Road	Nelson	Allotments	0.680
CM011	Nelson Cemetery	Walton Lane	Nelson	Cemeteries	4.627
GC036	Hendon Brook	Marsden Hall Road	Nelson	Green Corridors	1.125
NG069	Gib Hill BHS	Gib Hill Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.609
NG107	Valley Close	Valley Close	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.694
WD264	Nelson Cemetery	Walton Lane	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.545
WD265	Marsden Park	Walton Lane	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	2.222
WD266	Marsden Park	Hallam Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	3.073
WD267	Marsden Park Golf Course	Barkerhouse Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.179
WD268	Marsden Park Golf Course	Barkerhouse Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.790
WD269	Marsden Park Golf Course	Town House Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.410
WD270	Hendon Brook School	Town House Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.060
WD271	Marsden Park Golf Course	Southfield Lane	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.196
WD273	Southfield Lane	Southfield Lane	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.809
WD279	Gib Hill	Bott House Lane	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	3.576
WD281	Height Side Bungalows	Gibfield Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.535
WD284	Cliffeside	Gib Hill Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.131
WD285	Gib Hill House	Gib Hill Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	6.055
WD287	Marsden Park Golf Course	Gib Hill Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.398
WD291	Marsden Park Golf Course	Southfield Lane	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.429
WD292	Marsden Park Golf Course	Town House Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.090
WD293	Marsden Park Golf Course	Town House Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.105
WD295	Marsden Park Golf Course	Southfield Lane	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.625
WD296	Marsden Park Golf Course	Barkerhouse Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.122

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
WD297	Barkerhouse Road	Barkerhouse Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.229
WD446	Marsden Park Golf Course	Linkside Avenue	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.392
WD447	Dale Mill	Hallam Road	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.436

A2.13.6 – Marsden ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green and Blue Corridors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outdoor Sports 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas 	

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Old Laund Booth ward



A2.14.1 – Old Laund Booth ward: Open space sites



A2.14.2 – Old Laund Booth ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.14.2 – Old Laund Booth ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.14.4 – Old Laund Booth ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG102	Wheatley Close	Wheatley Close	Fence	Amenity Greenspace	0.113	30	Medium
AG225	Wheatley Lane Road	Wheatley Lane Road	Fence	Amenity Greenspace	0.013	32	Medium
AG226	Lane Top	Lane Top	Fence	Amenity Greenspace	0.023	40	Low
OS058	Pendle Forest Sports Club	Barrowford Road	Fence	Outdoor Sports	1.951	44	Medium
OS059	Wheatley Lane Methodist Primary School	Wheatley Lane Road	Fence	Outdoor Sports	0.389	41	Medium
PA022	Old Laund Street Play Area	Old Laund Street	Fence	Play Areas	0.101	57	Low

A2.14.5 – Old Laund Booth ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
NG071	High Old Laund Pastures	Barrowford Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	5.710
NG072	Old Laund Clough	Barrowford Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	3.869
NG073	Ravens Clough Wood	Cuckstool Lane	Fence	Natural Greenspace	7.304
WD001	Higgen Clough	Barrowford Road	Barrowford	Natural Greenspace	6.017
WD298	Hoarstones	Noggarth Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	0.254
WD299	Noggarth Road	Noggarth Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	0.110
WD300	Noggarth Road	Noggarth Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	0.059
WD301	Noggarth Road	Noggarth Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	0.685
WD305	Barrowford Road	Barrowford Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	1.215
WD306	Lomeshaye	Churchill Way	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	2.360
WD448	Brown Brinks Farm	Noggarth Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	0.347
WD449	Pendle Forest Sports Ground	Barrowford Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	3.387
WD450	Wheatley Laith	Barrowford Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	0.336
WD451	Laund Head Farm	Wheatley Lane Road	Fence	Natural Greenspace	0.205

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.14.6 – Old Laund Booth ward: Summary of future priorities

Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Green and Blue Corridors		<ul style="list-style-type: none">AllotmentsCivicParks

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Reedley ward



A2.15.1 (a) – Reedley Ward (East): Open space sites




A2.15.2 – Reedley Ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.15.3 – Reedley Ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Reedley ward



A2.1 (a) – Reedley ward (West): Open space sites

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.15.4 – Reedley ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG103	Higher Reedley Road	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.092	32	Medium
AG104	Broadhurst Way	Clements Drive	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.022	33	Medium
AG105	Coronation Road	Heyhead Street	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.007	33	Medium
AG106	Church Street	Burnley Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.029	38	Medium
AG107	High Street	Burnley Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.059	39	Low
AG108	Crabtree Street	Burnley Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.014	33	Medium
AG109	Ribchester Way	Ribchester Way	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.020	30	Medium
AG110	Stoneyhurst Heights	Stoneyhurst Heights	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.169	29	Medium
AG111	Hurstwood Gardens	Hurstwood Gardens	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.148	28	Medium
AG176	Borrowdale Drive	Borrowdale Drive	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.049	24	High
AG178	Higher Reedley Road	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.023	28	Medium
AG182	Walter Street	Walter Street	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.019	29	Medium
AG183	Wood Street	Wood Street	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.013	33	Medium
AG253	Higher Reedley Road	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	0.033	30	Medium
AG254	Parsons Clough	Pennine Way	Brierfield	Amenity Greenspace	1.745	30	Medium
OS060	Heyhead Park	Park Lane	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	0.748	43	Medium
OS061	John Bradley Playing Field	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	1.483	47	Medium
OS062	Belvedere and Calder Vale Sports Club	Holden Road	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	4.595	44	Medium
OS063	Lucas Sports Ground	Reedley Road	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	2.891	8	High
OS064	Nelson Golf Club	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	42.640	43	Medium
OS065	Prairie Playing Fields	Windermere Avenue	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	8.534	59	Low
OS066	Holy Trinity RC Primary School	Halifax Road	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	0.858	45	Medium
OS067	Reedley Primary School	Reedley Road	Brierfield	Outdoor Sports	0.553	46	Medium
PA043	Heyhead Playground	Park Lane	Brierfield	Play Areas	0.076	57	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PK030	Heyhead Park	Park Lane	Brierfield	Parks	1.280	58	Low

A2.15.5 – Reedley ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
CM018	Quaker Burial Ground	Halifax Road	Brierfield	Cemeteries	0.016
GC026	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Robinson Lane	Brierfield	Green and Blue Corridors	3.706
NG074	Roundwood Swamp	Barden Lane	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	10.820
NG075	Spurn Clough	Greenhead Lane	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	24.100
NG077	Heald Wood	Healdwood Drive	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.514
NG078	Barden Lane Fields	Barden Lane	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	2.116
NG111	Land at Borrowdale Drive	Borrowdale Drive	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	0.503
NG112	Land to the rear of Parsonage Drive	Parsonage Drive	Brierfield	Natural Greenspace	1.186
WD322	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Robinson Lane	Brierfield	Woodland	1.161
WD323	Roundwood Avenue	Colne Road	Brierfield	Woodland	1.751
WD324	Higher Reedley Farm	Reedley Drive	Brierfield	Woodland	0.129
WD325	Sycamore Rise	Sycamore Rise	Brierfield	Woodland	0.194
WD326	Former Quarry	Heather Close	Brierfield	Woodland	0.354
WD327	Nelson Golf Course	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Woodland	0.188
WD328	Nelson Golf Course	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Woodland	0.647
WD329	Nelson Golf Course	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Woodland	1.615
WD330	Nelson Golf Course	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Woodland	0.153
WD331	Nelson Golf Course	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Woodland	0.188
WD333	Nelson Golf Course	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Woodland	0.157
WD334	Parsons Clough	Pennine Way	Brierfield	Woodland	0.781
WD452	Nelson Golf Course	King Causeway	Brierfield	Woodland	1.084

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
WD453	Nelson Golf Course	Kings Causeway	Nelson	Woodland	0.482
WD454	Sycamore Rise	Sycamore Rise	Brierfield	Woodland	0.211
WD456	Waterside Farm Cottage	Montford Road	Brierfield	Woodland	0.642
WD457	Pendle Bridge	Wood End	Brierfield	Woodland	0.579
WD459	New in Pendle Bridge	Greenhead Lane	Brierfield	Woodland	0.292

A2.15.6 – Reedley ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments Cemeteries Parks Play Areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace Outdoor Sports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments Play Areas

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Southfield ward



A2.16.1 – Southfield ward: Open space sites



A2.16.2 – Southfield ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.16.3 – Southfield ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.16.4 – Southfield ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG112	Chaffers Sidings	Bacon Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.216	20	High
AG113	Bacon Street	Bacon Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.008	24	High
AG114	Williams Hall	Barkerhouse Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.009	31	Medium
AG194	Sycamore Avenue	Sycamore Avenue	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.018	34	Medium
AG196	Ash Tree Grove	Ash Tree Grove	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.020	28	Medium
AG257	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.599	25	Medium
AG258	Priory Chase	Priory Chase	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.156	31	Medium
AG259	Priory Chase	Priory Chase	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.049	31	Medium
AG271	Marsden Hall Road South	Marsden Hall Road South	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.041	24	High
AG272	Fern Close	Marsden Hall Road South	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.019	35	Medium
AG274	Sagar Street	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.106	31	Medium
AG275	Netherfield Road	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.261	31	Medium
AG289	Barkerhouse Road	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.007	31	Medium
PA013	Netherfield Road Play Area	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Play Areas	0.150	55	Low
PA021a	Marsden Hall Road South Playground	Marsden Place	Nelson	Play Areas	0.342	54	Medium
PA021b	Marsden Hall Road South MUGA	Marsden Place	Nelson	Play Areas	0.404	52	Low
PA063	Netherfield Road MUGA	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Play Areas	0.154	44	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.16.5 – Southfield ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL039	Manor Street	Manor Street	Nelson	Allotments	0.322
AL042	Windsor Street	Windsor Street	Nelson	Allotments	0.564
AL043	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Allotments	0.440
AL044	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Allotments	0.421
AL045	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Allotments	1.037
AL046	Marsden Hall Road South	Marsden Hall Road South	Nelson	Allotments	0.727
AL047	Clough Head Beck	Marsden Hall Road South	Nelson	Allotments	0.493
CM015	St John's Churchyard	Barkerhouse Road	Nelson	Cemeteries	1.488
NG120	Marsden Place	Marsden Place	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.192
NG121	Clough Road	Marsden Hall Road South	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.258
WD335	Marsden Crescent	Marsden Crescent	Nelson	Woodland	0.115
WD461	Clough Head Beck	Messenger Street	Nelson	Woodland	2.273

A2.16.6 – Southfield ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity Greenspace • Green and Blue Corridors • Natural Greenspace • Outdoor Sports • Parks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity Greenspace 	

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Vivary Bridge ward



A2.17.1 – Vivary Bridge ward: Open space sites



A2.17.2 – Vivary Bridge ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.17.3 – Vivary Bridge ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.17.4 – Vivary Bridge ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG115	Albert Road	Albert Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.033	32	Medium
AG116	Vivary Way	Vivary Way	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.268	36	Medium
AG117	Vivary Way	Vivary Way	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.287	33	Medium
AG118	Leach Street	Leach Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.864	38	Medium
AG119	Birtwistle Avenue	Birtwistle Avenue	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.021	33	Medium
AG120	Birtwistle Avenue	Birtwistle Avenue	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.088	31	Medium
AG121	Burrell Avenue	Burrell Avenue	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.062	32	Medium
AG122	Birtwistle Avenue	Birtwistle Avenue	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.037	30	Medium
AG123	Judge Fields	Judge Fields	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.168	40	Low
AG124	Browning Close	Browning Close	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.099	26	Medium
AG125	Robinson Street	Robinson Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.069	30	Medium
AG126	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.043	32	Medium
AG127	Haverholt Close	Haverholt Close	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.107	36	Medium
AG129	Harrison Drive	Harrison Drive	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	2.765	24	High
AG130	Red Lane	Red Lane	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.253	25	Medium
AG131	Red Lane	Red Lane	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.288	24	High
AG222	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.045	31	Medium
AG223	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.015	35	Medium
AG238	Belgrave Road	Belgrave Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.025	36	Medium
AG264	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.091	37	Medium
AG265	The Crescent	The Crescent	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.050	36	Medium
CS009	War Memorial	Albert Road	Colne	Civic Space	0.106	51	Medium
CS012	Municipal Hall	Albert Road	Colne	Civic Space	0.033	49	Medium
OS070	Colne Sports Centre	Crown Way	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.704	51	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS071	Holt House Playing Fields	Harrison Drive	Colne	Outdoor Sports	11.98	48	Medium
OS072	Alkincoats Park	Alkincoats Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	2.326	50	Medium
OS073	Colne Football Club	Off Harrison Drive	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.937	54	Low
OS074	Lord Street Primary	Barrowford Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.617	35	High
OS075	Sacred Heart RC Primary	Red Lane	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.657	45	Medium
OS076	Barrowford Road Playing Pitch	Barrowford Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	3.770	39	Medium
PA044	Alkincoats Play Area	Alkincoats Road	Colne	Play Areas	0.313	48	Medium
PA061	Vivary Way MUGA	Vivary Way	Colne	Play Areas	0.047	44	Medium
PK027	Alkincoats Park	Alkincoats Road	Colne	Parks	11.900	49	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.17.5 – Vivary Bridge ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL008	Cookhouse	Cook House Road	Colne	Allotments	0.055
AL011	White Grove	White Grove	Colne	Allotments	0.066
AL057	Alkincoats	Priestfield Avenue	Colne	Allotments	1.029
AL062	Devon Street Allotment	Devon Street	Colne	Allotments	0.026
GC016	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Colne Road	Colne	Green and Blue Corridors	0.527
GC019	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Barrowford Road	Colne	Green and Blue Corridors	3.007
GC021	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Red Lane	Colne	Green and Blue Corridors	3.723
GC023	Colne to Skipton disused railway	Red Lane	Colne	Green and Blue Corridors	1.482
NG086	Barrowford Locks Hills and Hollows	Colne Road	Colne	Natural Greenspace	0.341
NG087	Alkincoats Woodland	Red Lane	Colne	Natural Greenspace	8.095
NG101	Foulridge Reservoirs	Skipton Road	Colne	Natural Greenspace	9.734
WD351	Blakey Bridge	Red Lane	Colne	Woodland	3.519
WD352	West Lynn	Barrowford Road	Colne	Woodland	0.462
WD353	Heirs House Farm	Heirs House Lane	Colne	Woodland	3.342
WD354	Priestfield Avenue	Priestfield Avenue	Colne	Woodland	0.158
WD358	Lake Burwain	Skipton Road	Colne	Woodland	4.339
WD362	Wanless Water	Colne Road	Colne	Woodland	0.253
WD390	Barrowford Reservoir	Colne Road	Colne	Woodland	0.185
WD464	Vivary Way	Vivary Way	Colne	Woodland	0.453

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.17.6 – Vivary Bridge ward: Summary of future priorities

Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Allotments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Amenity Greenspace	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Play Areas
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cemeteries	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Outdoor Sports	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Play Areas		

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Walverden ward



A2.18.1 – Walverden ward: Open space sites



A2.18.2 – Walverden ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.18.3 – Walverden ward: – Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.18.4 – Walverden ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG133	Railway Street	Railway Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.040	35	Medium
AG134	Netherfield Road	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.230	33	Medium
AG135	Thomas Street	Thomas Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.027	30	Medium
AG136	Carradice Close	Bradshaw Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.055	37	Medium
AG188	Chapel House Road	Chapel House Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.181	30	Medium
OS077	Thomas Street Bowling Club	Thomas Street	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.490	48	Medium
OS078	St. John Southworth CE Primary School	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.872	38	Medium
OS079	Edge End Playing Fields	Hibson Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	3.688	43	Medium
OS080	Hibson Road Playing Fields	Hibson Road	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.160	40	Medium
PA028	Vernon Street Play Area	Thomas Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.135	43	Medium
PA053	Duerden Street MUGA	Bradshaw Street	Nelson	Play Areas	0.067	45	Medium
PK028	Hard Platts	Manchester Road	Nelson	Parks	9.251	47	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.18.5 – Walverden ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
CM013	St Paul's Church	St Paul's Road	Nelson	Cemeteries	0.569
GC032	Railway Embankment at Hard Platts	Manchester Road	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	0.540
NG108	Highgate	Highgate	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	0.551
NG109	Land to rear of Lancaster Gate	Lancaster Gate	Nelson	Natural Greenspace	1.808
WD364	Hard Platts	Manchester Road	Nelson	Woodland	1.585
WD365	Hard Platts	Manchester Road	Nelson	Woodland	0.805

A2.18.6 – Walverden ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Allotments Amenity Greenspace Green and Blue Corridors Natural Greenspace Parks 		

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Waterside ward



A2.19.1 (a) – Waterside ward (East): Open space sites




A2.19.2 – Waterside ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.19.3 – Waterside ward: Site area by typology (ha)

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Waterside Ward



A2.19.1 (b) – Waterside ward (West): Open space sites

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.19.4 – Waterside ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG137	Great George Street	Great George Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.035	39	Low
AG138	Lenches Road	Lenches Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.132	27	Medium
AG139	Waterside Road	Waterside Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.321	27	Medium
AG140	Patten Street	Exchange Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.548	25	Medium
AG141	Primet Hill	Primet Hill	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.062	32	Medium
AG142	Bolton Street	Bolton Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.037	23	High
AG143	Collingwood Street	Collingwood Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.099	30	Medium
AG144	Curzon Street	Curzon Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.239	30	Medium
AG145	Burnley Road	Burnley Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.041	32	Medium
AG146	Primet Bridge	Primet Bridge	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.054	31	Medium
AG147	Primet Bridge	Primet Bridge	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.119	36	Medium
AG150	Burnley Road	Burnley Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.076	34	Medium
AG151	Briercliffe Avenue	Briercliffe Avenue	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.342	30	Medium
AG152	Collingwood Street	Collingwood Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.038	33	Medium
AG153	Collingwood Street	Collingwood Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.034	30	Medium
AG154	Bankfield Street	Bankfield Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.185	27	Medium
AG155	Bankfield Street	Bankfield Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.026	32	Medium
AG156	James Street	James Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.016	35	Medium
AG158	Community Garden	Chapel Fold	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.008	30	Medium
AG159	Great George Street	Great George Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.031	28	Medium
AG160	Bank House Garden	Zion Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.091	27	Medium
AG161	Atkinson Street	Atkinson Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.179	27	Medium
AG162	The Hagg	Hagg Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.612	26	Medium
AG163	Nicholas Street	Nicholas Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.085	24	High

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG164	Knotts Lane	Knotts Lane	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.039	33	Medium
AG165	Back Laithe Street	Back Laithe Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.146	27	Medium
AG166	Khyber Street	Khyber Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.059	27	Medium
AG167	Burnley Road	Burnley Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.073	37	Medium
AG168	Whitewalls Common	Whitewalls Drive	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.739	37	Medium
AG169	Clayton Street	Bold Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.131	29	Medium
AG171	Khyber Street	Khyber Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.135	35	Medium
AG174	Primet Bridge	Knotts Lane	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.069	38	Medium
AG175	Green Road	Green Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.047	30	Medium
AG213	St Andrews Close	St Andrews Close	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.161	29	Medium
AG214	Atkinson Street Community Garden	Atkinson Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.097	36	Medium
AG217	Primet Bridge	Primet Bridge	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.402	30	Medium
AG218	Grovesnor Street	Grovesnor Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.072	40	Low
AG242	Midgley Street	Midgley Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.013	35	Medium
AG244	Crabtree Street	Crabtree Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.021	29	Medium
AG262	Waterside Road	Bold Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.248	27	Medium
AG263	West Street	West Street	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.014	31	Medium
AG276	St John's Church	Albert Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.036	37	Medium
AG277	St John's Church	Albert Road	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.034	37	Medium
AG279	The Sidings	The Sidings	Colne	Amenity Greenspace	0.077	38	Medium
CS010	Land at Market Street	Market Street	Colne	Civic Space	0.183	48	Medium
OS081	Colne Legion Bowling Club	Keighley Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.140	56	Low
OS082	Colne Primet Academy	Dent Street	Colne	Outdoor Sports	3.254	46	Medium
OS083	SS John Fisher and Thomas More RC High School	Gibfield Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	2.431	54	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS088	Pendle View Playing Fields	Gibfield Road	Colne	Outdoor Sports	0.875	46	Medium
PA014	Dam Side MUGA	Dam Side	Colne	Play Areas	0.191	49	Medium
PA015	Dam Side Play Area	Dam Side	Colne	Play Areas	0.280	52	Medium
PA024	West Street Playground	West Street	Colne	Play Areas	0.069	49	Medium
PA026	Atkinson Street Play Area	Atkinson Street	Colne	Play Areas	0.166	47	Medium
PA057	Hawley Street Play Area	Hawley Street	Colne	Play Areas	0.059	53	Medium
PA058	Waterside Play Area	Shaw Street	Colne	Play Areas	0.053	47	Medium
PA059	Patten Street Play Area	Patten Street	Colne	Play Areas	0.136	54	Medium
PA060	Millenium Green Play Area	Shaw Street	Colne	Play Areas	0.105	48	Medium
PK029	Millenium Green	Shaw Street	Colne	Parks	1.983	47	Medium

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.19.5 – Waterside ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL053	Carry Lane	Carry Lane	Colne	Allotments	0.359
AL054	Dewhurst Street	Knotts Lane	Colne	Allotments	0.162
AL058	Knotts Lane	Knotts Lane	Colne	Allotments	1.001
AL059	River Street	River Street	Colne	Allotments	0.307
AL060	Basil Street Community Allotments	Basil Street	Colne	Allotments	0.069
CM020	West Street Burial Ground	West Street	Colne	Cemeteries	0.029
GC033	Railway Embankment behind Red Scar Works	Primet Street	Colne	Green and Blue Corridors	1.639
GC037	Colne Water	Spring Gardens Road	Colne	Green and Blue Corridors	3.026
NG089	Greenfield	Greenfield Road	Colne	Natural Greenspace	2.138
NG090	Greenfield	Greenfield Road	Colne	Natural Greenspace	0.986
NG118	Waterside	Waterside	Colne	Natural Greenspace	0.365
WD039	Church Clough Wood	Lenches Road	Colne	Woodland	2.854
WD366	Walshaw House	Regent Street	Colne	Woodland	1.449
WD367	Knotts Lane	Knotts Lane	Colne	Woodland	0.534
WD370	Broken Banks	Broken Banks	Colne	Woodland	1.025
WD374	Fountain Street	Fountain Street	Colne	Woodland	0.285
WD377	Shaw Street	Shaw Street	Colne	Woodland	0.663
WD378	Land at Bunkers Hill	Bankfield Street	Colne	Woodland	0.923
WD385	Gibfield School Woodland	Bothouse Lane	Nelson	Woodland	0.803
WD465	Cement Works	Knotts Lane	Colne	Woodland	1.035
WD466	Higher Knotts	Knotts Lane	Colne	Woodland	1.165
WD467	Spring Gardens Farm	Daisy Street	Colne	Woodland	0.808
WD469	Red Scar Works	Primet Street	Colne	Woodland	0.303

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
WD470	Caravan Store	Phillips Lane	Colne	Woodland	0.235
WD471	Greenfield	Greenfield Road	Colne	Woodland	0.713
WD472	The Hagg	Green Road	Colne	Woodland	0.873

A2.19.6 – Waterside ward: Summary of future priorities


Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cemeteries Outdoor Sports Parks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amenity Greenspace 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Play Areas

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles


Whitefield ward



A2.20.1 – Whitefield ward: Open space sites



A2.20.2 – Whitefield ward: Number of sites by typology



A2.20.3 – Whitefield ward: Site area by typology

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.20.4 – Whitefield ward: Quality scores for open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG132	Land adjacent to railway	Manchester Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.159	28	Medium
AG172	Carr Road	Milton Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.013	26	Medium
AG173	Gordon Road	Gordon Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.031	22	High
AG192	Lomeshaye Road	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.021	39	Low
AG197	Former Garage Site	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.101	32	Medium
AG236	Carr Road	Carr Road	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.054	29	Medium
AG291	Every Street	Every Street	Nelson	Amenity Greenspace	0.051	40	Low
CS001a	Scotland Road	Market Street	Nelson	Civic Space	0.665	54	Low
OS084 ¹	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.277	48	Medium
OS085	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	0.539	28	High
OS086	Nelson Football Club	Lomeshaye Way	Nelson	Outdoor Sports	1.615	43	Medium
PA018a	Trodgers Field Playground	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Play Areas	0.155	56	Low
PA018b	Trodgers Field MUGA	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Play Areas	0.146	52	Low
PA052	Victoria Park Play Area	Lomeshaye Way	Nelson	Play Areas	0.093	57	Low
PA068	Victoria Park MUGA	Lomeshaye Way	Nelson	Play Areas	0.201	50	Medium
PK003c	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Barrowford	Parks	1.796	56	Medium

¹ OS084 – The former bowling greens at Victoria Park are no longer used and will be reclassified within the Parks typology in the next audit.

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

A2.20.5 – Whitefield ward: Other open space sites by typology

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Typology	Gross Area (ha)
AL002	Spring Bank	Spring Bank	Nelson	Allotments	1.307
AL052	Lomeshaye Way	Lomeshaye Way	Nelson	Allotments	0.843
GC008	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Churchill Way	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	1.958
GC009	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	0.690
GC010	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Lowther Street	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	0.589
GC011	Leeds and Liverpool Canal	Carr Road	Nelson	Green and Blue Corridors	0.492
WD371	Edge End Brook	Every Street	Nelson	Woodland	2.218
WD372	Whitefield Mill	Lothar Street	Nelson	Woodland	0.921
WD386	Woodland opposite Spring Bank Mill	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Woodland	0.761
WD473	Alder House	Churchull Way	Nelson	Woodland	0.648

A2.20.6 – Whitefield ward: Summary of future priorities

Quantity Increased provision required	Quality Physical improvement required	Accessibility Improved accessibility needed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity Greenspace 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amenity Greenspace 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural Greenspace 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outdoor Sports 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outdoor Sports 		

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology

This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology

This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG219	Bank Fold	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Blacko & Higherford	0.013	Private	18	High
AG112	Chaffers Sidings	Bacon Street	Nelson	Southfield	0.216	Private	20	High
AG249	Barnfield Close	Barnfield Close	Colne	Boulsworth	0.559	Council	20	High
AG269	Clifford Street	Clifford Street	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.401	Council	20	High
AG003	Garnett Street	Garnett Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.012	Council	22	High
AG089	Windsor Street	Skipton Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.116	Private	22	High
AG173	Gordon Road	Gordon Road	Nelson	Whitefield	0.031	Council	22	High
AG036	Fletcher Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.055	Council	23	High
AG142	Bolton Street	Bolton Street	Colne	Waterside	0.037	Private	23	High
AG011	Land at Harambee Surgery	Skipton Road	Trawden	Boulsworth	0.119	Private	24	High
AG078	Town Top	Town Top	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.017	Council	24	High
AG113	Bacon Street	Bacon Street	Nelson	Southfield	0.008	Council	24	High
AG129	Birtwistle Avenue	Birtwistle Avenue	Colne	Vivary Bridge	2.765	Council	24	High
AG131	Red Lane	Red Lane	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.288	Council	24	High
AG163	Nicholas Street	Nicholas Street	Colne	Waterside	0.085	Council	24	High
AG176	Borrowdale Drive	Borrowdale Drive	Brierfield	Reedley	0.049	Private	24	High
AG271	Marsden Hall Road South	Marsden Hall Road South	Nelson	Southfield	0.041	Council	24	High
AG031	Clover Hill Road	Clover Hill Road	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.083	Private	25	Medium
AG033	Wenning Street	Wenning Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.025	Council	25	Medium
AG053	Clough Mill Site	Walmsgate	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.371	Council	25	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG069	Vicarage Road	Vicarage Road	Kelbrook	Earby	0.012	Private	25	Medium
AG130	Red Lane	Red Lane	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.253	Council	25	Medium
AG140	Patten Street	Exchange Street	Colne	Waterside	0.548	Council	25	Medium
AG257	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Southfield	0.599	Council	25	Medium
AG002	Pasture Lane	Pasture Lane	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.047	Council	26	Medium
AG032	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.183	Private	26	Medium
AG050	Rainhall Road	Rainhall Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.092	Council	26	Medium
AG063	Bawhead Road	Bawhead Road	Earby	Earby	0.255	Private	26	Medium
AG075	Rushton Avenue	Rushton Avenue	Earby	Earby	0.079	Private	26	Medium
AG101	Ringstone Crescent	Ringstone Crescent	Nelson	Marsden	3.206	Council	26	Medium
AG124	Browning Close	Browning Close	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.099	Private	26	Medium
AG162	The Hagg	Hagg Street	Colne	Waterside	0.612	Council	26	Medium
AG172	Carr Road	Milton Street	Nelson	Whitefield	0.013	Council	26	Medium
AG268	Aspen Lane	Aspen Lane	Earby	Earby	0.481	Council	26	Medium
AG058	Colne Road	Colne Road	Sough	Earby	0.180	Council	27	Medium
AG070	Colne Road opposite 398	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Earby	0.008	Private	27	Medium
AG071	Colne Road	Colne Road	Sough	Earby	0.363	Private	27	Medium
AG092	St. Stephens Way	St. Stephens Way	Colne	Horsfield	0.891	Council	27	Medium
AG095	Gib Hill Road	Gib Hill Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.137	Council	27	Medium
AG097	Marsden Hall Road North	Marsden Hall Road North	Nelson	Marsden	0.212	Council	27	Medium
AG138	Lenches Road	Lenches Road	Colne	Waterside	0.132	Council	27	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG139	Waterside Road	Waterside Road	Colne	Waterside	0.321	Council	27	Medium
AG154	Bankfield Street	Bankfield Street	Colne	Waterside	0.185	Council	27	Medium
AG160	Bank House Garden	Zion Street	Colne	Waterside	0.091	Council	27	Medium
AG161	Atkinson Street	Atkinson Street	Colne	Waterside	0.179	Private	27	Medium
AG165	Back Laithe Street	Back Laithe Street	Colne	Waterside	0.146	Council	27	Medium
AG166	Khyber Street	Khyber Street	Colne	Waterside	0.059	Private	27	Medium
AG198	Linden Close	Linden Close	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.097	Private	27	Medium
AG227	Moseley Avenue	Moseley Avenue	Earby	Earby	0.011	Private	27	Medium
AG240	Colne Road	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Earby	0.049	Private	27	Medium
AG262	Waterside Road	Bold Street	Colne	Waterside	0.248	Council	27	Medium
AG283	Skipton Road	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.210	Private	27	Medium
AG005	Land off Mill Street	Mill Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.326	Council	28	Medium
AG010	Trawden Bus Terminus	Lane House	Trawden	Boulsworth	0.041	Council	28	Medium
AG068	Colne Road	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Earby	0.009	Private	28	Medium
AG111	Hurstwood Gardens	Hurstwood Gardens	Brierfield	Reedley	0.148	Council	28	Medium
AG132	Land adjacent to railway	Manchester Road	Nelson	Whitefield	0.159	Private	28	Medium
AG159	Great George Street	Great George Street	Colne	Waterside	0.031	Council	28	Medium
AG178	Higher Reedley Road	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.023	Private	28	Medium
AG196	Ash Tree Grove	Ash Tree Grove	Nelson	Southfield	0.020	Council	28	Medium
AG211	Highfield Crescent	Highfield Crescent	Nelson	Bradley	0.019	Private	28	Medium
AG006	Grove Street	Grove Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.461	Private	29	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG059	Moor View	Moor View	Salterforth	Earby	0.034	Council	29	Medium
AG081	Highfield Avenue	Highfield Avenue	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.018	Private	29	Medium
AG090	Snell Grove	Snell Grove	Colne	Horsfield	0.075	Private	29	Medium
AG099	Merclesden Avenue	Merclesden Avenue	Nelson	Marsden	0.187	Private	29	Medium
AG110	Stoneyhurst Heights	Stoneyhurst Heights	Brierfield	Reedley	0.169	Council	29	Medium
AG169	Clayton Street	Bold Street	Colne	Waterside	0.131	Council	29	Medium
AG182	Walter Street	Walter Street	Brierfield	Reedley	0.019	Council	29	Medium
AG213	St Andrews Close	St Andrews Close	Colne	Waterside	0.161	Council	29	Medium
AG234	Park View Close	Park View Close	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.078	Council	29	Medium
AG236	Carr Road	Carr Road	Nelson	Whitefield	0.054	Private	29	Medium
AG244	Crabtree Street	Crabtree Street	Colne	Waterside	0.021	Private	29	Medium
AG255	North Park Avenue	Barrowford Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.344	Private	29	Medium
AG016	Land at junction of Keighley Road and Cotton Tree Lane	Keighley Road	Colne	Boulsworth	0.042	Council	30	Medium
AG017	Land facing 233-257 Keighley Road	Keighley Road	Colne	Boulsworth	0.028	Council	30	Medium
AG043	Westfield Close	Westfield Close	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.051	Council	30	Medium
AG054	Harrison Street	Harrison Street	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.221	Council	30	Medium
AG064	Dotcliffe Road	Dotcliffe Road	Kelbrook	Earby	0.076	Private	30	Medium
AG066	Green End Road	Riley Street	Earby	Earby	0.009	Private	30	Medium
AG076	Sandhills Close	Sandhills Close	Salterforth	Earby	0.143	Private	30	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG082	Towngate and Lowther Lane Junction	Towngate	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.025	Private	30	Medium
AG096	Oxford Road	Oxford Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.177	Council	30	Medium
AG102	Wheatley Close	Wheatley Close	Fence	Old Laund Booth	0.113	Private	30	Medium
AG109	Ribchester Way	Ribchester Way	Brierfield	Reedley	0.020	Council	30	Medium
AG122	Birtwistle Avenue	Birtwistle Avenue	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.037	Private	30	Medium
AG125	Robinson Street	Robinson Street	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.069	Council	30	Medium
AG135	Thomas Street	Thomas Street	Nelson	Walverden	0.027	Council	30	Medium
AG143	Collingwood Street	Collingwood Street	Colne	Waterside	0.099	Council	30	Medium
AG144	Curzon Street	Curzon Street	Colne	Waterside	0.239	Council	30	Medium
AG151	Briercliffe Avenue	Briercliffe Avenue	Colne	Waterside	0.342	Council	30	Medium
AG153	Collingwood Street	Collingwood Street	Colne	Waterside	0.034	Council	30	Medium
AG158	Community Garden	Chapel Fold	Colne	Waterside	0.008	Council	30	Medium
AG175	Green Road	Green Road	Colne	Waterside	0.047	Council	30	Medium
AG188	Chapel House Road	Chapel House Road	Nelson	Walverden	0.181	Council	30	Medium
AG193	Townhouse Road	Townhouse Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.101	Council	30	Medium
AG210	Anne Street	Anne Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.032	Council	30	Medium
AG217	Primet Bridge	Primet Bridge	Colne	Waterside	0.402	Council	30	Medium
AG253	Higher Reedley Road	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.033	Private	30	Medium
AG254	Parsons Clough	Pennine Way	Brierfield	Reedley	1.745	Council	30	Medium
AG256	Westclose	Westfield Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.254	Council	30	Medium
AG004	Pendle Street	Pendle Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.049	Council	31	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG008	Gisburn Road / Barnoldswick Road	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Blacko & Higherford	0.185	Council	31	Medium
AG013	School Lane	School Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Boulsworth	0.009	Private	31	Medium
AG065	Barnwood Road	Barnwood Road	Earby	Earby	0.042	Private	31	Medium
AG074	Water Street	Water Street	Earby	Earby	0.045	Council	31	Medium
AG079	Towngate	Towngate	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.122	Private	31	Medium
AG086	Higham Hall Road	Higham Hall Road	Higham	Higham & Pendleside	0.012	Council	31	Medium
AG087	Barley Bridge	The Avenue	Barley	Higham & Pendleside	0.018	Private	31	Medium
AG114	Williams Hall	Barkerhouse Road	Nelson	Southfield	0.009	Council	31	Medium
AG120	Birtwistle Avenue	Birtwistle Avenue	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.088	Council	31	Medium
AG146	Primet Bridge	Primet Bridge	Colne	Waterside	0.054	Council	31	Medium
AG189	Mansfield Crescent	Mansfield Crescent	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.019	Private	31	Medium
AG190	Limefield Avenue	Limefield Avenue	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.024	Private	31	Medium
AG205	Hollins Road	Hollins Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.007	Private	31	Medium
AG221	Venables Avenue	Venables Avenue	Colne	Horsfield	0.017	Council	31	Medium
AG222	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.045	Private	31	Medium
AG241	Kenilworth Drive	Kenilworth Drive	Earby	Earby	0.015	Private	31	Medium
AG252	Bailey Street	Bailey Street	Earby	Earby	0.265	Council	31	Medium
AG258	Priory Chase	Priory Chase	Nelson	Southfield	0.156	Private	31	Medium
AG259	Priory Chase	Priory Chase	Nelson	Southfield	0.049	Private	31	Medium
AG260	Allison Grove	Varley Street	Colne	Horsfield	0.147	Council	31	Medium
AG263	West Street	West Street	Colne	Waterside	0.014	Private	31	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG267	Bank Street	Valley Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.523	Council	31	Medium
AG274	Sagar Street	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Southfield	0.106	Council	31	Medium
AG275	Netherfield Road	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Southfield	0.261	Council	31	Medium
AG281	Coates Avenue	Rainhall Crescent	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.057	Private	31	Medium
AG289	Barkerhouse Road	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Southfield	0.007	Private	31	Medium
AG009	Whittycroft Drive	Whittycroft Drive	Barrowford	Blacko & Higherford	0.014	Private	32	Medium
AG012	Bannister Close	Holme Crescent	Trawden	Boulsworth	0.024	Private	32	Medium
AG037	Brunswick Street	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.161	Council	32	Medium
AG055	Colne Road	Colne Road	Earby	Earby	0.011	Private	32	Medium
AG062	Chesford Street	Chesford Street	Earby	Earby	0.056	Private	32	Medium
AG098	Andrew Road	Andrew Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.085	Private	32	Medium
AG103	Higher Reedley Road	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.092	Private	32	Medium
AG115	Albert Road	Albert Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.033	Council	32	Medium
AG121	Burrell Avenue	Burrell Avenue	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.062	Private	32	Medium
AG126	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.043	Private	32	Medium
AG141	Primet Hill	Primet Hill	Colne	Waterside	0.062	Council	32	Medium
AG145	Burnley Road	Burnley Road	Colne	Waterside	0.041	Council	32	Medium
AG155	Bankfield Street	Bankfield Street	Colne	Waterside	0.026	Council	32	Medium
AG197	Former Garage Site	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Whitefield	0.101	Council	32	Medium
AG200	Park Avenue	Park Avenue	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.057	Private	32	Medium
AG204	Hollins Road	Hollins Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.033	Private	32	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG225	Wheatley Lane Road	Wheatley Lane Road	Fence	Old Laund Booth	0.013	Private	32	Medium
AG228	Elm Close	Elm Close	Salterforth	Earby	0.025	Private	32	Medium
AG261	Sansbury Crescent	Sansbury Crescent	Nelson	Marsden	0.403	Private	32	Medium
AG273	Southfield Street	Southfield Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.459	Council	32	Medium
AG284	Rimington Place	Rimington Place	Nelson	Marsden	0.014	Private	32	Medium
AG286	Pinfold Place	Merclesden Avenue	Nelson	Marsden	0.023	Private	32	Medium
AG290	Hardy Avenue	Hardy Avenue	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.120	Private	32	Medium
AG018	Land to front of Craven Cottage	Keighley Road	Colne	Boulsworth	0.124	Council	33	Medium
AG057	Colne Road	Colne Road	Earby	Earby	0.119	Council	33	Medium
AG060	Tyseley Grove	Tyseley Grove	Earby	Earby	0.118	Council	33	Medium
AG072	Holme Close	Holme Close	Sough	Earby	0.027	Private	33	Medium
AG080	Breeze Close	Breeze Close	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.095	Private	33	Medium
AG093	Byron Road	Byron Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.652	Council	33	Medium
AG094	Byron Road	Byron Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.182	Private	33	Medium
AG104	Broadhurst Way	Clements Drive	Brierfield	Reedley	0.022	Council	33	Medium
AG105	Coronation Road	Heyhead Street	Brierfield	Reedley	0.007	Private	33	Medium
AG108	Crabtree Street	Burnley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.014	Private	33	Medium
AG117	Vivary Way	Vivary Way	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.287	Council	33	Medium
AG119	Birtwistle Avenue	Birtwistle Avenue	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.021	Private	33	Medium
AG134	Netherfield Road	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Walverden	0.230	Council	33	Medium
AG152	Collingwood Street	Collingwood Street	Colne	Waterside	0.038	Council	33	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG164	Knotts Lane	Knotts Lane	Colne	Waterside	0.039	Council	33	Medium
AG183	Wood Street	Wood Street	Brierfield	Reedley	0.013	Private	33	Medium
AG207	Oxford Road	Oxford Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.023	Private	33	Medium
AG212	Cravendale Avenue	Cravendale Avenue	Nelson	Bradley	0.025	Private	33	Medium
AG224	Spenbrook Road	Spenbrook Road	Spen Brook	Higham & Pendleside	0.009	Private	33	Medium
AG229	Conway Crescent	Conway Crescent	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.021	Private	33	Medium
AG285	Pinfold Place	Pinfold Place	Nelson	Marsden	0.032	Private	33	Medium
AG287	Liddesdale Road	Liddesdale Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.007	Private	33	Medium
AG014	Land at Public Toilets	Keighley Road	Laneshaw Bridge	Boulsworth	0.036	Council	34	Medium
AG024	Land to front of 150-168 Reedyford Road	Reedyford Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.147	Council	34	Medium
AG027	Leeds Road	Leeds Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.020	Private	34	Medium
AG044	Gledstone View	Gledstone View	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.011	Private	34	Medium
AG051	Westgate	Westgate	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.237	Council	34	Medium
AG073	Earlham Street	Earlham Street	Earby	Earby	0.150	Council	34	Medium
AG091	Favordale Home for the Aged	Byron Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.181	Council	34	Medium
AG150	Burnley Road	Burnley Road	Colne	Waterside	0.076	Council	34	Medium
AG187	Waidhouse Road	Waidhouse Road	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.096	Private	34	Medium
AG194	Sycamore Avenue	Sycamore Avenue	Nelson	Southfield	0.018	Private	34	Medium
AG199	Parrock Road	Parrock Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.135	Private	34	Medium
AG231	Skipton Road	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.032	Private	34	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG280	Conway Crescent	Conway Crescent	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.021	Private	34	Medium
AG007	Broadway Place	Broadway Place	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.106	Council	35	Medium
AG019	Land to front of Swanfield House	Byron Road	Colne	Boulsworth	0.339	Council	35	Medium
AG083	Langroyd Road	Skipton Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.129	Council	35	Medium
AG133	Railway Street	Railway Street	Nelson	Walverden	0.040	Council	35	Medium
AG156	James Street	James Street	Colne	Waterside	0.016	Private	35	Medium
AG171	Khyber Street	Khyber Street	Colne	Waterside	0.135	Council	35	Medium
AG223	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.015	Private	35	Medium
AG242	Midgley Street	Midgley Street	Colne	Waterside	0.013	Private	35	Medium
AG272	Fern Close	Marsden Hall Road South	Nelson	Southfield	0.019	Council	35	Medium
AG001	Gisburn Road	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.021	Council	36	Medium
AG023	Hodge House	Regent Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.079	Private	36	Medium
AG026	Leeds Road	Leeds Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.006	Council	36	Medium
AG035	Howgill Close	Howgill Close	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.006	Private	36	Medium
AG041	Cravenside	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.864	Council	36	Medium
AG116	Vivary Way	Vivary Way	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.268	Council	36	Medium
AG127	Haverholt Close	Haverholt Close	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.107	Council	36	Medium
AG147	Primet Bridge	Primet Bridge	Colne	Waterside	0.119	Council	36	Medium
AG214	Atkinson Street Community Garden	Atkinson Street	Colne	Waterside	0.097	Council	36	Medium
AG230	Coates Avenue	Coates Avenue	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.045	Private	36	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG238	Belgrave Road	Belgrave Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.025	Private	36	Medium
AG265	The Crescent	The Crescent	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.050	Private	36	Medium
AG278	Holy Trinity Church	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.075	Private	36	Medium
AG282	Coates Avenue	Coates Avenue	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.018	Private	36	Medium
AG022	Bradley Road	Bradley Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.065	Council	37	Medium
AG025	Turning circle	Swinden Hall Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.084	Private	37	Medium
AG067	Stoneybank Road	Stoneybank Road	Earby	Earby	0.015	Council	37	Medium
AG136	Carradice Close	Bradshaw Street	Nelson	Walverden	0.055	Council	37	Medium
AG167	Burnley Road	Burnley Road	Colne	Waterside	0.073	Council	37	Medium
AG168	Whitewalls Common	Whitewalls Drive	Colne	Waterside	0.739	Council	37	Medium
AG186	Beaufort Street	Beaufort Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.134	Private	37	Medium
AG264	Talbot Street	Talbot Street	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.091	Private	37	Medium
AG266	Marsden Hall Road	Marsden Hall Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.262	Council	37	Medium
AG276	St John's Church	Albert Road	Colne	Waterside	0.036	Council	37	Medium
AG277	St John's Church	Albert Road	Colne	Waterside	0.034	Council	37	Medium
AG030	Brierfield Community Centre	Colne Road	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.055	Council	38	Medium
AG085	Wellhead Road	Wellhead Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Higham & Pendleside	0.012	Private	38	Medium
AG106	Church Street	Burnley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.029	Council	38	Medium
AG118	Leach Street	Leach Street	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.864	Council	38	Medium
AG174	Primet Bridge	Knotts Lane	Colne	Waterside	0.069	Council	38	Medium
AG279	The Sidings	The Sidings	Colne	Waterside	0.077	Private	38	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Amenity Greenspace

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
AG021	Hey Street	Leeds Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.016	Council	39	Low
AG028	Sackville Street Gardens	Sackville Street	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.065	Council	39	Low
AG056	Colne Road	Colne Road	Earby	Earby	0.028	Council	39	Low
AG107	High Street	Burnley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.059	Council	39	Low
AG137	Great George Street	Great George Street	Colne	Waterside	0.035	Council	39	Low
AG192	Lomeshaye Road	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Whitefield	0.021	Council	39	Low
AG209	Holmefield Court	Holmefield Court	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.052	Private	39	Low
AG123	Judge Fields	Judge Fields	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.168	Private	40	Low
AG218	Grovesnor Street	Grovesnor Street	Colne	Waterside	0.072	Private	40	Low
AG226	Lane Top	Lane Top	Fence	Old Laund Booth	0.023	Council	40	Low
AG291	Every Street	Every Street	Nelson	Whitefield	0.051	Council	40	Low
AG045	Skipton Road	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.043	Council	41	Low
AG288	Fountains Square	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.041	Private	41	Low
AG015	Land facing 1-25 Trawden Road	Trawden Road	Colne	Boulsworth	0.059	Council	42	Low
AG042	Foresters	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.019	Council	42	Low
AG270	Coates Lane	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.017	Private	42	Low
AG039	Fernlea Avenue	Fernlea Avenue	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.059	Council	44	Low
AG029	Brierfield Library	Colne Road	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.078	Council	45	Low

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Civic Space

Civic Space

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
CS003	Sagar Street	Sagar Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.027	Council	38	High
CS015	Bradley Hub	Leeds Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.053	Council	46	Medium
CS010	Land at Market Street	Market Street	Colne	Waterside	0.183	Private	48	Medium
CS014	Gisburn Road	Gisburn Road	Blacko	Blacko & Higherford	0.024	Private	48	Medium
CS004	Barnoldswick Town Square	Albert Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.079	Council	49	Medium
CS012	Municipal Hall	Albert Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.033	Council	49	Medium
CS013	Brierfield Peace Gardens	Colne Road	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.006	Council	49	Medium
CS009	War Memorial	Albert Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.106	Council	51	Medium
CS008	Foulridge War Memorial	Lowther Lane	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.020	Private	52	Medium
CS001b	Leeds Road	Leeds Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.335	Council	53	Medium
CS001a	Scotland Road	Market Street	Nelson	Whitefield	0.665	Council	54	Low
CS005	Mosley Street War Memorial	Mosely Street	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.124	Council	55	Low
CS006	War Memorial	Wellhouse Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.053	Council	55	Low
CS007	Fernlea Avenue	Fernlea Avenue	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.064	Council	59	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Outdoor Sports

Outdoor Sports

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS063*	Lucas Sports Ground	Reedley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	2.891	Private	8	High
OS006	Craven Tennis Club	Bent Lane	Colne	Boulsworth	0.123	Private	28	High
OS085	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Nelson	Whitefield	0.539	Council	28	High
OS049	Newchurch Recreation Ground	Well Head Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Higham & Pendleside	0.648	Private	29	High
OS094	Higham Hall Road	Higham Hall Road	Higham	Higham & Pendleside	0.679	Private	31	High
OS100	Pendle Vale College	Leeds Road	Nelson	Marsden	2.138	Council	32	High
OS002	Barrowford Cricket Club	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	1.241	Private	35	High
OS045	Kelbrook Primary School	School Street	Kelbrook	Earby	0.409	Council	35	High
OS046	Earby Springfield Primary	Bailey Street	Earby	Earby	0.641	Council	35	High
OS074	Lord Street Primary	Barrowford Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.617	Council	35	High
OS095	King George V Playing Fields	Skipton Road	Colne	Horsfield	1.274	Council	35	High
OS096	Emmott Lane Playing Fields	Emmott Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Boulsworth	0.268	Council	35	High
OS003	Beverley Playing Fields	Beverley Road	Blacko	Blacko & Higherford	0.734	Private	36	High
OS005	Trawden Forest Bowling Club	Lane House	Trawden	Boulsworth	0.128	Private	36	High
OS047	Foulridge Playing Fields	Noyna Road	Foulridge	Foulridge	2.098	Private	36	High
OS053	Marsden Park	Walton Lane	Nelson	Marsden	0.889	Council	36	High
OS039	Sough Park	Colne Road	Barnoldswick	Earby	1.893	Council	37	Medium
OS037	Springfield Playing Field	Bailey Street	Earby	Earby	0.618	Council	38	Medium
OS078	St. John Southworth CE Primary School	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Walverden	0.872	Council	38	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Outdoor Sports

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS091	Greenberfield Lane Playing Pitch	Greenberfield Lane	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.498	Private	38	Medium
OS092	Nelson Cricket Club Bowling Club	Gill Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.164	Private	38	Medium
OS008	Laneshaw Bridge Primary School	Emmott Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Boulsworth	0.321	Council	39	Medium
OS041	Salterforth Playing Fields	Kelbrook Road	Salterforth	Earby	0.762	Private	39	Medium
OS076	Nelson and Colne College	Barrowford Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	3.770	Private	39	Medium
OS048	Foulridge Primary School	Skipton Road	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.557	Council	40	Medium
OS080	Hibson Road Playing Fields	Hibson Road	Nelson	Walverden	0.160	Council	40	Medium
OS010	Hodge House Playing Fields	Regent Street	Nelson	Bradley	3.001	Council	41	Medium
OS033	Coates Lane County Primary	Kirkstall Drive	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.342	Council	41	Medium
OS059	Wheatley Lane Methodist Primary School	Wheatley Lane Road	Fence	Old Laund Booth	0.389	Council	41	Medium
OS034	St Joseph's RC Primary School	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.682	Council	42	Medium
OS042	Earby Playing Fields	Skipton Road	Earby	Earby	1.006	Council	42	Medium
OS043	Croquet Lawn	Cemetery Road	Earby	Earby	0.671	Private	42	Medium
OS090	Barrowford Bowling Green	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.146	Council	42	Medium
OS004	Trawden Recreation Ground	Keighley Road	Trawden	Boulsworth	4.359	Council	43	Medium
OS028	Barnoldswick Town Football Ground (2)	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	1.352	Private	43	Medium
OS044	Salterforth Primary School	Cross Lane	Salterforth	Earby	0.179	Council	43	Medium
OS060	Heyhead Park	Park Lane	Brierfield	Reedley	0.748	Council	43	Medium
OS064	Nelson Golf Club	Kings Causeway	Brierfield	Reedley	42.64	Private	43	Medium
OS079	Edge End Playing Fields	Hibson Road	Nelson	Walverden	3.688	Council	43	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Outdoor Sports

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS086	Nelson Football Club	Lomeshaye Way	Nelson	Whitefield	1.615	Private	43	Medium
OS058	Pendle Forest Sports Club	Barrowford Road	Fence	Old Laund Booth	1.951	Private	44	Medium
OS062	Belvedere and Calder Vale Sports Club	Holden Road	Brierfield	Reedley	4.595	Private	44	Medium
OS014	Holy Saviour RC Primary School	Holland Place	Nelson	Bradley	0.767	Council	45	Medium
OS021	Victory Park	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	2.143	Council	45	Medium
OS027	Victory Park	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.596	Council	45	Medium
OS030	Ghyll Golf Course	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Earby	12.590	Private	45	Medium
OS038	Sough Bowling Green	Colne Road	Barnoldswick	Earby	0.216	Council	45	Medium
OS040	Earby Cricket Club	William Street	Earby	Earby	1.328	Private	45	Medium
OS066	Holy Trinity RC Primary School	Halifax Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.858	Council	45	Medium
OS075	Sacred Heart RC Primary	Red Lane	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.657	Council	45	Medium
OS093	Towngate Bowling Green	Towngate	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.092	Private	45	Medium
OS018	Marsden Heights Sport Pitches (2)	Mansfield Crescent	Brierfield	Brierfield	3.588	Council	46	Medium
OS067	Reedley Primary School	Reedley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	0.553	Council	46	Medium
OS082	Colne Primet Academy	Dent Street	Colne	Waterside	3.254	Council	46	Medium
OS088	Pendle View Playing Fields	Gibfield Road	Colne	Waterside	0.875	Council	46	Medium
OS024	Barnoldswick Town Football Ground (1)	Westfield Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	1.126	Private	47	Medium
OS050	Higham CE Primary School	Higham Hall Road	Higham	Higham & Pendleside	0.703	Council	47	Medium
OS051	Colne Cricket Club	Byron Road	Colne	Horsfield	1.802	Private	47	Medium
OS061	John Bradley Playing Field	Higher Reedley Road	Brierfield	Reedley	1.483	Council	47	Medium
OS071	Holt House Playing Fields	Harrison Drive	Colne	Vivary Bridge	11.980	Council	48	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Outdoor Sports

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS077	Thomas Street Bowling Club	Thomas Street	Nelson	Walverden	0.490	Council	48	Medium
OS084	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Nelson	Whitefield	0.277	Council	48	Medium
OS097	Colne Bowling Club	Byron Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.131	Private	48	Medium
OS009	Swinden Playing Fields	Cravendale Avenue	Nelson	Bradley	6.949	Council	49	Medium
OS022	Victory Park	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	2.799	Council	49	Medium
OS023	Barnoldswick Cricket Club	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	1.364	Council	49	Medium
OS089	St Thomas Primary School	Wheatley Lane Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.569	Council	49	Medium
OS098	Barnoldswick CE Primary School	Kelbrook Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.958	Council	49	Medium
OS001	Bullholme Playing Fields	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	2.293	Council	50	Medium
OS013	Westfield Bowling Club	Scott Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.268	Private	50	Medium
OS072	Alkincoats Park	Alkincoats Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	2.326	Council	50	Medium
OS019	Marsden Heights Sports Pitches (1)	Edge End Lane	Brierfield	Brierfield	2.188	Council	51	Medium
OS070	Colne Sports Centre	Crown Way	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.704	Council	51	Medium
OS011	Seedhill Athletics and Fitness Centre	Surrey Road	Nelson	Bradley	2.677	Council	52	Low
OS026	Rolls Royce Sports Club	Skipton Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	5.890	Private	52	Low
OS012	Nelson Cricket Club	Surrey Road	Nelson	Bradley	2.016	Council	53	Low
OS052	Park High School	Venables Avenue	Colne	Horsfield	2.989	Council	53	Low
OS055	Marsden Park Golf Club	Town House Road	Nelson	Marsden	44.700	Council	54	Low
OS073	Colne Football Club	Off Harrison Drive	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.937	Council	54	Low
OS083	SS John Fisher and Thomas Moore RC High School	Gibfield Road	Colne	Waterside	2.431	Council	54	Low

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Outdoor Sports

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
OS035	West Craven High School	Kelbrook Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	4.286	Council	56	Low
OS081	Colne Legion Bowling Club	Keighley Road	Colne	Waterside	0.140	Private	56	Low
OS029	Rolls Royce Bowling Green	Coates Lane	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.241	Private	57	Low
OS099	Pendle Vale College	Oxford Road	Nelson	Marsden	1.662	Council	58	Low
OS065	Prairie Playing Fields	Windermere Avenue	Brierfield	Reedley	8.534	Council	59	Low
OS015	Nelson and Colne College	Scotland Road	Nelson	Bradley	1.872	Private	60	Low
OS057	Pendle Vale College	Oxford Road	Nelson	Marsden	0.864	Council	60	Low

* Site OS063 Lucas Sports Ground is currently undergoing redevelopment and is not in active use. The score reflects its current condition / status.

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Parks

Parks

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PK019	Birley Playing Fields	Birch Hall Lane	Earby	Earby	1.326	Council	39	High
PK022	Skipton Road Park	Skipton Road	Colne	Horsfield	1.886	Council	41	High
PK028	Hard Platts	Manchester Road	Nelson	Walverden	9.251	Council	47	Medium
PK029	Millenium Green	Shaw Street	Colne	Waterside	1.983	Council	47	Medium
PK027	Alkincoats Park	Alkincoats Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	11.900	Council	49	Medium
PK016	Letcliffe Park	Manchester Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	4.511	Council	54	Medium
PK003c	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Barrowford	Whitefield	1.796	Council	56	Medium
PK006	Ballgrove Park	Winewall Road	Colne	Boulsworth	4.711	Council	56	Medium
PK024	Marsden Park	Marsden Hall Road	Nelson	Marsden	9.740	Council	56	Medium
PK001	Barrowford Park	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	4.014	Council	57	Low
PK003b	Victoria Park	Carr Road	Nelson	Bradley	0.748	Council	57	Low
PK031	Sough Park	Colne Road	Sough	Earby	0.447	Council	57	Low
PK011	Walverden Park	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	4.701	Council	58	Low
PK030	Heyhead Park	Park Lane	Brierfield	Reedley	1.280	Council	58	Low
PK003a	Victoria Park	Park Avenue	Barrowford	Barrowford	3.952	Council	59	Low
PK021	Barley Picnic Area	The Avenue	Burnley	Higham & Pendleside	0.566	Council	61	Low
PK014	Valley Gardens	Pennine Way	Barnoldswick	Craven	1.770	Council	62	Low

Appendix 2: Ward Profiles

This page has been left blank intentionally

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Play Areas

Play Areas – Equipped Play Areas

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PA046	Spenn Brook Play Area	Spenn Brook Road	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Higham & Pendleside	0.068	Private	37	High
PA038a	Walmsgate Play Area	Walmsgate	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.053	Council	38	High
PA045	Jinny Lane Playground	Jinny Lane	Newchurch-in-Pendle	Higham & Pendleside	0.315	Private	39	High
PA023	Barley Lane Play Area	Barley Lane	Barley	Higham & Pendleside	0.036	Council	41	High
PA028	Vernon Street Play Area	Thomas Street	Nelson	Walverden	0.135	Council	43	Medium
PA040	Birley Play Area	Birch Hall Lane	Earby	Earby	0.269	Council	43	Medium
PA042a	Skipton Road Playground	Skipton Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.139	Council	45	Medium
PA004a	Sackville Street Playground	Sackville Street	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.066	Council	46	Medium
PA008	Northholme Playground	Warwick Drive	Earby	Earby	0.136	Private	46	Medium
PA030	Ball Grove Playground	Winewall Road	Colne	Boulsworth	0.218	Council	46	Medium
PA005	Massey Street Playground	Massey Street	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.137	Council	47	Medium
PA026	Atkinson Street Play Area	Atkinson Street	Colne	Waterside	0.166	Council	47	Medium
PA041	Croft Lane Play Area	Croft Lane	Higham	Higham & Pendleside	0.698	Private	47	Medium
PA058	Waterside Play Area	Shaw Street	Colne	Waterside	0.053	Council	47	Medium
PA006	Avon Drive Play Area	Avon Drive	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.209	Council	48	Medium
PA044	Alkincoats Play Area	Alkincoats Road	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.313	Council	48	Medium
PA060	Millenium Green Play Area	Shaw Street	Colne	Waterside	0.105	Council	48	Medium
PA002	Emmott Lane Play Area	Emmott Lane	Laneshaw Bridge	Boulsworth	0.123	Council	49	Medium
PA024	West Street Playground	West Street	Colne	Waterside	0.069	Council	49	Medium
PA034	Victory Park Play Area	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.147	Council	49	Medium

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Play Areas

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PA001	Lanehouse Playground	Lanehouse	Trawden	Boulsworth	0.080	Private	50	Medium
PA011	Sycamore Rise Play Area	Alma Avenue	Foulridge	Foulridge	0.218	Private	50	Medium
PA029	Beverley Road Play Area	Beverley Road	Blacko	Blacko & Higherford	0.095	Private	51	Medium
PA051	Valley Gardens Play Area	Butts	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.061	Council	51	Medium
PA010	Park View Terrace Play Area	Park View Terrace	Salterforth	Earby	0.055	Private	52	Medium
PA015	Dam Side Play Area	Dam Side	Colne	Waterside	0.280	Council	52	Medium
PA035	Harrison Street Play Area	Harrison Street	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.028	Council	52	Medium
PA049	Colne Road Play Area	Colne Road	Kelbrook	Earby	0.058	Council	52	Medium
PA056a	Hey Street Playground	Hey Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.057	Council	52	Medium
PA057	Hawley Street Play Area	Hawley Street	Colne	Waterside	0.059	Council	53	Medium
PA021a	Marsden Place Playground	Marsden Place	Nelson	Southfield	0.342	Council	54	Medium
PA027	Marsden Park Playground	Walton Lane	Nelson	Marsden	0.101	Council	54	Medium
PA031	Hodge House Play Area	Regent Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.069	Council	54	Medium
PA036	Bank Street Play Area	Bank Street	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.039	Council	54	Medium
PA047a	Kenilworth Drive Playground	Kenilworth Drive	Earby	Earby	0.130	Council	54	Medium
PA059	Patten Street Play Area	Patten Street	Colne	Waterside	0.136	Private	54	Medium
PA013	Netherfield Road Play Area	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Southfield	0.150	Council	55	Low
PA050	Barrowford Park Play Area	Gisburn Road	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.120	Council	55	Low
PA054a	Fleet Street Play Area	Fleet Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.067	Council	55	Low
PA055	Cliffe Street Play Area	Cliffe Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.082	Council	55	Low
PA009	Rushton Avenue Playground	Rushton Avenue	Earby	Earby	0.126	Council	56	Low

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Play Areas

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PA018a	Trodgers Field Playground	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Whitefield	0.155	Council	56	Low
PA037	Letcliffe Park Play Area	Manchester Road	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.090	Council	56	Low
PA039	Sough Park Playground	Colne Road	Sough	Earby	0.194	Council	56	Low
PA022	Old Laund Street Play Area	Old Laund Street	Fence	Old Laund Booth	0.101	Private	57	Low
PA033a	Walverden Park Play Area	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.078	Council	57	Low
PA043	Heyhead Playground	Park Lane	Brierfield	Reedley	0.076	Council	57	Low
PA052	Victoria Park Play Area	Lomeshaye Way	Nelson	Whitefield	0.093	Council	57	Low
PA032a	Chatburn Park Drive Playground	Veevers Street	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.583	Council	59	Low
PA033b	Walverden Park Play Area 2	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.104	Council	60	Low
PA048a	Cemetery Road Playground	Cemetery Road	Earby	Earby	0.074	Council	60	Low

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Play Areas

Play Areas – Multi-Use Games Areas (MUGAs)

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PA038b	Walmsgate MUGA	Walmsgate	Barnoldswick	Craven	0.044	Council	37	High
PA042b	Skipton Road MUGA	Skipton Road	Colne	Horsfield	0.117	Council	41	High
PA064	Marsden Hall Road North MUGA	Marsden Hall Road North	Nelson	Marsden	0.080	Council	41	High
PA007	Coates Avenue MUGA	Coates Avenue	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.363	Council	44	Medium
PA019	Colne Road MUGA	Colne Road	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.032	Council	44	Medium
PA061	Vivary Way MUGA	Vivary Way	Colne	Vivary Bridge	0.047	Council	44	Medium
PA063	Netherfield Road MUGA	Netherfield Road	Nelson	Southfield	0.154	Council	44	Medium
PA067	Taylor Street MUGA	Taylor Street	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.623	Council	44	Medium
PA004b	Sackville Street MUGA	Sackville Street	Brierfield	Brierfield	0.092	Council	45	Medium
PA020	Regent Street MUGA	Regent Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.083	Council	45	Medium
PA053	Duerden Street MUGA	Bradshaw Street	Nelson	Walverden	0.067	Council	45	Medium
PA066	Bullholme MUGA	Wilton Street	Barrowford	Barrowford	0.058	Council	47	Medium
PA047b	Kenilworth Drive MUGA	Kenilworth Drive	Earby	Earby	0.095	Council	48	Medium
PA056b	Hey Street MUGA	Hey Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.130	Council	48	Medium
PA014	Dam Side MUGA	Dam Side	Colne	Waterside	0.191	Council	49	Medium
PA062	Southfield Street MUGA	Southfield Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.135	Council	49	Medium
PA068	Victoria Park MUGA	Lomeshaye Way	Nelson	Whitefield	0.201	Council	50	Medium
PA018b	Trodgers Field MUGA	Lomeshaye Road	Nelson	Whitefield	0.146	Council	52	Low
PA021b	Marsden Place MUGA	Marsden Place	Nelson	Southfield	0.404	Council	52	Low

Appendix 3: Quality Scores by Typology – Play Areas

Ref	Name	Street	Settlement	Ward	Gross Area (ha)	Ownership	Total Score	Priority for Enhancement
PA054b	Fleet Street MUGA	Fleet Street	Nelson	Bradley	0.085	Council	52	Low
PA065	Victory Park MUGA	West Close Road	Barnoldswick	Coates	0.348	Council	52	Low
PA033c	Walverden Park MUGA	Brunswick Street	Nelson	Clover Hill	0.131	Council	53	Low
PA048b	Cemetery Road MUGA	Cemetery Road	Earby	Earby	0.147	Council	56	Low

Pendle Council
Strategic Services
Planning, Economic Development and Regulatory Services
Town Hall
Market Street
Nelson
Lancashire
BB9 7LG

Tel: 01282 661330
Email ldf@pendle.gov.uk
Website: www.pendle.gov.uk/planning



If you would like this information in a way which is better for you, please telephone us.

اگر آپ یہ معلومات کسی ایسی شکل میں چاہتے ہیں، جو کہ
آپ کے لئے زیادہ مفید ہو تو براۓ مہربانی ہمیں ٹیلیفون کریں۔