

Traffic Liaison Meeting
Pendle
Heasandford Depot, Burnley
Thursday 28 February 2019

Present

Janet Simpson – Lancashire County Council
Matthew Hargreaves – Lancashire County Council
Nick Hughes - Lancashire County Council
Chris Nolan- Lancashire County Council
Kelly Holt – Lancashire County Council
Peter Bell - Lancashire County Council
Gary Makin – Lancs Constabulary
Scott Whalley – Pendle BC
Sandra Farnell – Pendle BC

Apologies

Simon Bucknell - Lancashire County Council

	Actions
1. Minutes of the last meeting - agreed	
2. TRO proposals	
i) Brook Street Nelson – request to remove/reduce single yellow A request has been received to remove the single yellow. TLM agreed to refuse this request. As the area is now busier, we need to keep it open for vehicular movement at peak times	SF
ii) Nelson Town Centre – Restricted Parking Zone (RPZ) LCC legal are currently working on the order. SW asked if back Ellen Street could be included. MH advised that there was already a TRO in place. The lining would be repainted poor parking etc. PB asked if it will be signed as a RPZ, MH confirmed that it will.	
iii) Norfolk Street, Colne – request for NW jcn Windy Bank There are issues with people nipping into Sainsbury’s and causing obstruction around the junction. The double parking is an issues and jcn protection will stop this. TLM approved the junction protection.	

<p>iv) North Street Nelson – Alter residents parking only to LW LCC have received a request for a proposed shared use of Limited Waiting and Residents only parking. TLM refused as there is already availability for short stay parking in the vicinity, on two nearby car parks. This would also potentially open up applications for shared use in other areas</p>	<p>SF</p>
<p>v) Stanley Street Colne – residents only parking request A request has been made to amend the TRO to include one additional property. TLM agreed to the amendment.</p>	<p>SF</p>
<p>vi) Victory Close, Nelson – request for No Waiting LCC advised that this scheme would not meet the criteria for no waiting restrictions. The only option would be an h- bar marking on the dropped kerbs. TLM refused, referred back to Council.</p>	<p>SF SF</p>
<p>3. Moving Orders - None</p>	
<p>4. Speed Issues</p>	
<p>i) Gibfield Road Colne – Traffic speed near bridge Recently introduced football pitch has increased the traffic in this area and complaints from residents have arisen. There are no speed surveys or casualties in the area currently recorded. SW advised of previous conflicts in the area especially on the bridge where it narrows. JS asked if any traffic management issues had been taken into account with the planning application for the pitch TLM agreed that Pendle would put a speed counter down to check if there were issues and take it forward from there. NH would also refer back to the speed team.</p>	
<p>ii) Peter Birtwistle Close, Colne – Traffic speeds The Close is currently recorded as a 30mph, it was asked why it was never made a 20mph. The TLM advised that the road was too short with a steep gradient to be able to create any issues with speed and would therefore not meet the criteria to reduce the speed. TLM agreed that no further action to be taken</p>	<p>SW/NH</p>

<p>iii) Higher Lane , Salterforth – request to reduce speed limit to 30mph No speed or casualty figures to justify reducing the speed in the area. The pedestrian traffic is minimum and traffic has to naturally reduce speed in order to pass each other TLM agreed no further action to be taken.</p> <p>iv) Salterforth Road, Salterforth – request for 20mph nr Anchor Inn No justification to reduce the speed in this area as the bridge and narrowness of the road acts as a speed reducer. TLM agreed no further action to be taken.</p> <p>v) Manchester Road, Barnoldswick – request to increase speed from 20mph to 30mph Although it is signed on site as a 20mph generally this road is used as 30mph, due to the width of the road. There are no collisions recorded. TLM agreed to refer to speed team for softer measures to be considered.</p> <p>vi) Every Street, Nelson – request for traffic calming nr Rupert/Hargreaves St One collision recorded which did not support the scheme, so there is no justification from a road safety perspective to add traffic calming. Whilst LCC would have no objection if this was a district led scheme, SW advised that there was no local funding available at this time. TLM agreed no further action at this time.</p> <p>vii) Walter Street, Brierfield – request for traffic calming Only one collision recorded on Walter Street. It was agreed that this was more of an anti-social issue. There is no justification for traffic calming measures. GM said he would refer this back to the local Police team.</p> <p>viii) Halifax Road, Brierfield – request for traffic calming Traffic calming would be very difficult to do on this main bus route. There are also not enough collisions to justify any action. Generally vehicles adhere to the 30mph. The speed camera is situated where the road widens</p>	<p>NH</p> <p>GM</p>
---	---------------------

(where you would expect an increase in speed).
TLM agreed no further action to be taken.

5. Parking Issues

- i) St Pauls RC Primary School – car park access
Pendle BC have had a report that the school has insufficient space within the school grounds to accommodate the pick up/ drop off traffic. The suggestion was for a separate egress onto Hibson Road. The school would fund the works. This would have to be made via a planning application to LCC.
TLM agreed to refer back to the school with regard to the planning application.

6. Road Layout Amendments/Requests

- i) Red Lane, Colne – Highway improvements o/s school
SW advised of some minor changes to the existing TRO. Including relining the existing markings and introducing some h bar markings. Currently vehicles double park on both side. Pendle are looking to formalise parking with some limited waiting.
LCC advised that this formalisation of the waiting bays would not be required and would add to r&m costs.
TLM agreed that lining refresh and h bar markings would be sufficient. SF
- ii) Chapel House Road, Nelson – Traffic Calming Scheme
Nelson AC have committed £10k to improvements. The scheme would cost £25k. LCC have proposed full width humps. Cllr Ali has asked for possible funding from the Priority Neighbourhood funding.
LCC are still awaiting an outcome from their commissioning team. SW asked that it be noted that Pendle would be able to carry out this work at a competitive rate.
TLM agreed to await the results of the commissioning team. SW
- iii) Colne Road, Sough – request to remove bollards
The bollards were installed to stop parking on the pavement.
TLM did not support the removal of the bollards as this would increase the issue of parking on the kerbs

7. Public Transport - None

8. AOB

SW advised that the CPO had been approved on the extension of the Lomeshaye Industrial Estate. He asked if we could have some feedback from LCC legal with regard to the TRO's on the extension road.

KH advised that she had noted the high number of collisions (14) on Railway Street at the mini roundabout junction with Netherfield Road.

TLM agree to pass this through to the LCC road safety team for further investigation. .

9 Date of Next Meeting

11th July 2019

MH

MH

MH