



Scrutiny Review of Youth Engagement

March, 2017

MONITORING REPORT – MARCH, 2018

Councillors G. Adam, S. Cockburn-Price, L. Davy (Co-optee) N. McCollum (Leader), K. Turner and D. Whalley

	Actions	Accepted/ Rejected	HAS THE ACTION BEEN <u>FULLY</u> IMPLEMENTED? (If No, please state reasons/ report any progress to date in next column)	PROGRESS (Please indicate (1) the steps you have taken, (2) what remains to be done and (3) the anticipated date of full implementation)
5.1.	That the Council be requested to consider this report and its recommendations and to respond to the Scrutiny Management Team, within two months, indicating what action is proposed.	Accepted		
5.2.	That the Council endorses the conclusions of this review and agrees the following actions –	Accepted		Approved by Council.
(a)	That a Pendle Borough Council Youth Council be formed;		NO	See action 5.2(b). The Pendle Borough Council Youth Council is to be formed once the details have been determined by the Working Group.
(b)	That subject to agreement of (a) above, a Working Group be established to determine the details of the Pendle Borough Council Youth Council, taking into account the findings and conclusions of this Panel, in particular		YES	A Youth Engagement Working Group of seven members has been established. The first meeting was held in November 2017 at which Councillor N. McCollum was appointed Chairman and the Terms of

	issues around safeguarding.			Reference were agreed. The Group is seeking advice from the Local Government Association with regard to safeguarding issues, particularly with regard to individual mentoring of youth councillors as proposed by the Youth Engagement Scrutiny Panel.
5.3.				
5.4.				
5.5.	That consideration be given to adding a standing item on 'youth issues' to the area committee agendas or alternatively asking town and parish councils to consider this as a regular agenda item.	Accepted	NO	There has been very little response from the town and parish councils. Of those that have responded, those in rural locations feel it would not be appropriate due to the low number of youths in the area. Others feel that it would be better suited to the area committee.
5.6.	That progress on these actions be reported back to Scrutiny Management Team in six months' time.	Accepted		