

**MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE  
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE  
HELD AT ROLLS ROYCE LEISURE CLUB  
ON 22<sup>nd</sup> JANUARY, 2018**

*PRESENT –*

*Councillor K. Hartley - (Chairman – in the Chair)*

**Councillors**

*M. Goulthorp  
M. Iqbal (substitute for W. Blackburn)  
Y. Iqbal  
J. Starkie  
K. Turner (substitute for G. Waugh)  
D. Whipp  
N. Younis*

**Also in Attendance**

**Councillors**

*M. Adams  
M. Horsfield  
J. Purcell  
C. Teall*

**Officers**

<i>Neil Watson</i>	<i>Planning, Building Control and Licensing Services Manager</i>
<i>Barbara Kay</i>	<i>Solicitor</i>
<i>Sarah Waterworth</i>	<i>Committee Administrator</i>

*(Apologies for absence were received from Councillors E. Ansar, W. Blackburn and G. Waugh)*

*The following people attended the meeting and spoke on the items indicated –*

<i>Linda McCreddie</i>	<i>17/0465/OUT Outline: Major: Residential</i>	<i>Minute No. 52(c)</i>
<i>Adrian Coles</i>	<i>development of 5.44ha (Access only) at</i>	
<i>Michael Townson</i>	<i>land to the North East of Meadow Way,</i>	
<i>Peter Elgie</i>	<i>Skipton road, Barnoldswick</i>	
<i>Gareth Almond</i>		
<i>Louise Worth</i>		
<i>Mrs Rawlinson</i>		
<i>Nigel Harris</i>		
<i>Ian Lyons</i>		



**50. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

Members were reminded of the legal requirements concerning the declaration of interests.

51.

**MINUTES**

**RESOLVED**

That the Minutes of the meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

52.

**PLANNING APPLICATIONS  
REFERRED FROM AREA COMMITTEES**

*(Councillors K. Hartley and D. Whipp declared an interest in this item which was not a disclosable pecuniary interest as defined in the Relevant Authorities (Disclosable Pecuniary Interests) Regulations 2012)*

- (a) 17/0465/OUT Outline: Major: residential development of 5.44ha (Access only) at Land to the North East of Meadow Way, Skipton Road, Barnoldswick for Future Habitats Limited.**

**RESOLVED**

That planning permission be **refused** for the following reasons:-

1. The proposed development would cause harm to the significance of the heritage assets and their settings. Such harm would be contrary to the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as well as policies ENV1 and LIV1 of the Pendle Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy. For the purposes of the National Planning Policy Framework, whilst such harm would be less than substantial harm it would be sufficient to justify refusal of planning permission when weighed against the benefits of the proposed development under paragraph 134.
2. The proposed development would result in the unacceptable loss of a prominent greenfield site which makes a significant contribution to the landscape character and quality of the area and therefore would be contrary to policies ENV1 and LIV1 of the Pendle Local Plan Part 1: core Strategy.
3. The increase in traffic on the surrounding road network would be of significant and unacceptable detriment to highway safety contrary to policy ENV4 of the Pendle Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy and paragraph 32 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

CHAIRMAN \_\_\_\_\_