MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE HELD AT NELSON TOWN HALL ON 26TH JUNE, 2017

PRESENT-

Councillor K. Hartley - (Chairman – in the Chair)

Councillors

W. Blackburn R. E. Carroll L. M. Crossley – substitute for M. Arshad M. Goulthrop Y. Iqbal D. Lord - substitute for D. M. Whipp J. Starkie G. Waugh

Also in attendance

Councillor P. McCormick

Officers in attendance

Neil Watson Howard Culshaw Sarah Waterworth Planning, Building Control and Licensing Services Manager Legal Services Manager Committee Administrator

(Apologies were received from Councillors E. Ansar, M. Arshad and N. Younis)

The following people attended the meeting and spoke on the item indicated -

A. Kinder	17/0008/OUT – Outline: Major: Erection of	Minute No. 31(a)
D. Neal	70 dwelling houses with access off	
A. Neal	Moorside Avenue with ancillary works	
N. Summers	(Access and Layout only) at land to the	
Mr Heyhurst	East of Moorside Avenue	
E. Davies		

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Members were reminded of the legal requirements concerning the declaration of interests.

30.

29.

MINUTES

RESOLVED

That the Minutes of the meeting held on 24th May, 2017 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman subject to apologies being recorded from Councillor M. Goulthorp.

31. PLANNING APPLICATIONS REFERRED FROM AREA COMMITTEES

(a) 17/0008/OUTOutline: Major: Erection of 70 dwelling houses with access off Moorside Avenue with ancillary works (Access and Layout only) at land to the East of Moorside Avenue, Brierfield for Admergill Avalon

This application had been brought before the Committee as it was a housing development of more than 60 houses.

RESOLVED

That planning permission be refused for the following reasons

1. The proposed development would result in the unacceptable loss of a prominent greenfield site on the south of Brierfield which currently makes a significant contribution to the landscape character of the area. In visual terms, there would be unacceptable impacts on views looking towards the application site and for users of the plethora of footpaths passing through the site. The proposed development would be contrary to policy ENV 1 of the adopted Core Strategy and paragraph 109 of the National Planning Policy Framework.