

**REPORT FROM: CENTRAL SERVICES
AUDIT AND PERFORMANCE MANAGER**

TO: ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT COMMITTEE

DATES: 21th March 2017

Report Author: Kevin Stansfield
Tel. No: 01282 661879
E-mail: kevin.stansfield@pendle.gov.uk

INTERNAL AUDIT: RECOMMENDATION DATABASE

1. In accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) a follow up process to monitor and ensure that management actions have been effectively implemented must be established. This report provides Members with a summary of progress on the implementation of internal audit recommendations as at 06th March 2017.

RECOMMENDATIONS

2. That the Accounts and Audit Committee note the progress made on the implementation of Internal Audit recommendations up to the 06th March 2017.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATION

3. To demonstrate that the Accounts and Audit Committee is monitoring the implementation of recommendations.

ISSUE

4. A key role of the Accounts and Audit Committee, as set out in the Terms of Reference of the Committee, is to:-

“To act as a forum to ensure the rapid delivery and implementation of audit recommendations once agreed, ensuring that auditors and officers collaborate effectively”.

5. It was agreed that the Committee’s role in this respect should be discharged by considering a report on the status of implementation of recommendations at each of its meetings. This summary report has been produced to satisfy this requirement.
6. The status on implementation in respect of Priority 1 & 2 recommendations issued in each final report (in some cases superseded) since 1st April 2015 is shown below in **Appendix A**. Of 136 recommendations made by Internal Audit, 102 (87) have been implemented and 33 (28) are currently in the process of being implemented by Management.

7. It was previously reported that there were 11, Priority 2 recommendations outstanding covering 2 ICT audit reports - Asset Management (Dec 15) and Information Security Policy (Dec 15). Following discussions with Management we can confirm that these have now been implemented.
8. There is 1 recommendation which is not to be implemented and reasons have previously been provided to this Committee.

Appendix A

Priority 1 Recommendations						
Service Grouping	Total	Implemented	In progress	Outstanding	Not advised	Not to be Implemented
Corporate	0	0	0	0	0	0
Financial Services	1	1	0	0	0	0
Democratic and Legal	0	0	0	0	0	0
HH Services & Eco Regeneration	0	0	0	0	0	0
Neighbourhood Services	0	0	0	0	0	0
Planning, Building Control & Licensing	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental Services	0	0	0	0	0	0
-Human Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0
-ICT	0	0	0	0	0	0
-Property Services	1	1	0	0	0	0
-Treasury Services	5	5	0	0	0	0
-Leisure Trust	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	7	7	0	0	0	0
Percentage		100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Previous Report	7	85.71%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Priority 2 Recommendations						
Service Grouping	Total	Implemented	In progress	Outstanding	Not advised	Not to be Implemented
Corporate	16	6	10	0	0	0
- Financial Services	8	7	1	0	0	0
-Democratic and Legal	7	5	2	0	0	0
HH Services & Eco Regeneration	17	9	8	0	0	0
Neighbourhood Services	7	7	0	0	0	0
-Planning and Building Control	6	1	5	0	0	0
Environmental Services	9	8	0	0	0	1
-Human Resources	13	7	6	0	0	0
-ICT	15	15	0	0	0	0
-Property Services	7	7	0	0	0	0
-Treasury Services	11	10	1	0	0	0
-Leisure Trust	13	13	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	129	95	33	0	0	1
Percentage		73.64%	25.58%	0.00%	0.00%	0.78%
Previous Report	120	67.50%	22.50%	9.17%	0.00%	0.83%

KEY

	<i>Recommendation</i>	<i>Follow Up</i>
<i>Priority 1</i>	Major issues that we consider need to be brought to the attention of senior management.	Follow-up will be performed at specific dates agreed with senior management. The implementation of the recommendation will also be monitored quarterly in the IARD.
<i>Priority 2</i>	Important issues which should be addressed by management in their areas of responsibility.	Follow-up of the recommendations will be performed by the end of the next audit year. The implementation of the recommendation will also be monitored quarterly in the IARD.

Implemented Management has advised recommendation implemented. In some cases this may have been confirmed by IA.

In Progress Management has advised that implementation is in progress.

Outstanding Management has advised that implementation is in progress where the agreed deadline has passed i.e. overdue.

Not Advised Management has not indicated current position on the database.

Not to be Implemented Recommendations where Management has advised that an agreed recommendation is not now to be implemented. These will be advised to Committee within our Progress Reports.

IMPLICATIONS

Policy: There are no policy implications arising directly from the contents of this report.

Financial: The budget for Internal Audit for 2016/17 is £92,210. As it is expected that the Audit Plan for 2016/17 will be delivered as planned, it is anticipated that the budget will not be exceeded.

Legal: The Council has a statutory duty to carry out internal audit of its systems and services, however, there are no direct legal implications arising from this report.

Risk Management: The Audit Plan is based on the results of a risk analysis exercise carried out with Service Managers prior to the compilation of the Draft Plan early in the year. However, there are no risk management implications arising directly from the contents of this report.

Health and Safety: There are no health and safety implications arising directly from the contents of this report.

Climate Change: There are no climate change implications arising directly from the contents of this report.

Community Safety: There are no community safety implications arising directly from the contents of this report.

Equality and Diversity: There are no equality and diversity implications arising directly from the contents of this report.