Local Development Framework for Pendle



Design Principles SPD





Equalities Impact Assessment

December 2009









# Equality Impact Assessment Form

# Service Area – Planning and Building Control

1.	What Is the name of the proposed policy, procedure, project, etc. to be assessed?				
	Design Principles Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) (Adopted)				
2.	What are the aims	or intended outc	omes of the policy to be assessed?		
	The intended outcomes of the SPD are to improve the appearance of the Borough by requiring high standards of design in the extension of dwellings, alterations to shop-fronts and the erection of outdoor advertising and signage.				
3.	Is there any potenti	al public or staff	concern that this function, policy or		
	proposal may have a discriminatory impact?				
	Public – No		Staff – No		
4.	I. Is there any other evidence (results of consultations, monitoring data, etc.) to suggest that the proposals could have an adverse/differential impact on the grounds of :				
a.	Race	No			
b.	Religion	No			
c.	Gender	No			

d.	Disability	Yes - Paragraphs 12.25 to 12.28 seek to ensure compliance with the Disability Discrimination Act, with regard to shop fronts, in terms of access dimensions and the provision of ramps.	
e.	Sexual Orientation	No	
f.	Age	No	
5.		oposal require, or have planned, any formal consultation? Iltation with the ETG's <sup>1</sup> be incorporated into the process?	
	<ul> <li>Public consultation on the SPD and its associated documents was carried out over a six week period between 27<sup>th</sup> February and 14<sup>th</sup> April 2009. The following groups / organisations were consulted.</li> <li>1. Statutory consultees (as defined in the Council's Statement of Community Involvement)</li> <li>2. Regular service users (professional agents, developers etc.)</li> <li>3. Town and Parish Councils</li> <li>4. Elected Members of the Council</li> <li>5. Members of the public</li> </ul>		
6.	If formal consultation is not planned and there is no evidence, are there any experts/relevant groups who you can approach to explore their views on the issues?		
		on the SPD and its associated documents was carried out over otween 27 <sup>th</sup> February and 14 <sup>th</sup> April 2009.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ETG = Equality Target Group

#### 7. How will the views of these groups be obtained?

A widespread public consultation exercise on the SPD and its associated documents was carried out over a six week period between 27<sup>th</sup> February and 14<sup>th</sup> April 2009.

In accordance with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement (SCI), this included direct contact via letter or email, publicity in local media and placing documents on display in key locations throughout Pendle.

## 8. Please detail the outcomes of these consultations?

The outcomes of the consultation are detailed in the SPD consultation statement.

Three representations were received which concerned disability access.

## **Representation:**

GRIP Architecture Ltd (Ref1340): Representation highlighted that there was no reference to the construction of ramps in relation to house extensions.

#### **Response:**

It was considered that the General Permitted Development Order provided guidance on the need for planning permission involving the construction of ramps. It was also considered that the general approach to design as detailed in the General Principles section of the SPD is sufficient to cover the design of ramps.

# **Representation:**

CPRE (Ref 1355): Representation concerned that the SPD could allow for disability access to be compromised.

#### **Response:**

The SPD makes it clear that efforts should be made to achieve access in an equitable manner. Only in the case of Listed Buildings or buildings in a Conservation Area may compromises be sought – these are considered to be exceptional circumstances.

#### **Representation:**

HMR Team, Pendle Council (Ref 1363): Representation indicated that where reference is made to 'disabled access' this should be changed to 'disabled persons access'.

#### **Response:**

The representation was agreed. The SPD has been amended.

9.	What are the risks (if any) associated with the policy in relation to the adverse/differential impact on the ETG's?		
	None anticipated.		
10.	If, in your judgment, the proposed service/policy, etc does have an adverse impact, can that impact be justified?		
	Planning guidance promotes a positive, pro-active approach to managing development in the public interest, as required under the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.		
11.	What steps will you take to address any unjustified impact?		
	Judgements will be made based on individual merit.		
12.	Are there any additional resource implications for the steps that need to		
	be taken, both in terms of time and finances? Please outline.		
	None		

13. How will you monitor th target groups?	he effect of this function, policy, etc on the equality
ETG impact to be monitor - EIA to be reviewed for c	red as per the Council's agreed user surveys hanges if necessary.
14. Where will this Assess	mant ha Dubliahad?
This Equality Impact Ass	essment will be published on the Council's web-site tions throughout Pendle, where documents are
Name	Service
Signed	Dated
Equalities Monitoring Gro	oup
Review/approval	Date

Planning Policy & Conservation Planning & Building Control Pendle Council Town Hall Market Street Nelson Lancashire BB9 7LG

Tel: 01282 661330

Fax: 01282 661390

Email: Idf@pendle.gov.uk

Website: www.pendle.gov.uk/planning

اردو 🤈 🕲

If you would like this document in large print, on audio cassette or in Urdu, please let us know and we will be happy to arrange it.

> اگرآپ اس دستادیز کو بڑے پرنٹ، ہریلی، آڈیو کیسٹ پریا سمی دوسری زبان میں لینا چاہیں تو براہ مہر بانی ہم ےرابطہ قائم کریں، ادر جہاں بھی ممکن ہوا ہم آپ کے لئے ایساا نتظام کرتے ہوئے خوشی محسوس کریں گئے۔



