



Historic England

Planning, Building Control and Regulatory Services
Pendle Borough Council
Town Hall,
Market Street,
Nelson
BB9 7LG

Our ref: PL00783083

Your ref:

Mobile

Date 19 November
2024

Sent by email to john.halton@pendle.gov.uk

Dear Mr Halton,

Re: Pre-Submission Draft of the Pendle Local Plan (Fourth Edition)

Thank you for consulting Historic England about the Pre-Submission Draft of the Pendle Local Plan (Fourth Edition). We have the following comments to make on this latest iteration of the document:-

General Comments

We are pleased to see that many of our comments suggested at Regulation 18 consultation stage in 2023 have been actioned. This leaves us with very few comments at Regulation 19 stage.

We strongly support the content of the Local Plan and believe it provides a sound basis in terms of our area of interest for conserving and enhancing the historic environment of Pendle.

Detailed Comments

The few detailed comments we have on the Local Plan are set out in Appendix A.

If you have any queries about any of the matters raised or consider that a meeting would be helpful, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours faithfully,

MR HENRY CUMBERS

Henry Cumbers

Historic Environment Planning Adviser

Historic England

e-mail: henry.cumbers@historicengland.org.uk



BESSIE SURTEES HOUSE 41-44 SANDHILL NEWCASTLE-UPON-TYNE NE1 3JF

HistoricEngland.org.uk



Appendix A: Table of Historic England's comments on the Pre-Submission Draft of the Pendle Local Plan Fourth Edition (Publication consultation November 2024)

[Historic England's comments on the proposed Allocations are set out in Appendix B]

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
48	Embodied Carbon 4.50-4.52	Sound	We support the changes in text here which emphasise that demolition adds to the assessment of embodied carbon.	No change
63	4.124	Sound	We support inclusion of text concerning the setting of a positive strategy for the historic environment which we understand is unlikely to change through revisions to the NPPF consulted on in autumn 2024.	No change
120	DM09: Open Countryside	Sound	Whilst we do not object to this policy specifically criteria point 3d. we suggest there may be a need to explain what substantially intact means, we also suggest cross referencing to policy DM18 Heritage assets.	Explain within the reasoned justification what substantially intact means for the purposes of heritage assets and cross refer to policy DM 18 Heritage Assets.
123	5.161	Sound	We support the inclusion of text on the Lancashire Historic Landscape Characterisation (2017).	No change
148	DM18: Heritage assets	Sound	We support the changes to this policy.	No change
164	DM21: Design and quality of housing	Sound	We support the changes to this policy.	No change
248	DM45: Tourist facilities and accommodation	Sound	We support the changes to this policy.	No change
270	Site PO64	Sound	In light of applications 22/0577/FUL and 24/0213/VAR where planning permission has been granted for demolition of existing buildings on site and approval of residential	No change

Page	Section	Sound/ Unsound	Comments	Suggested Change
			development we do not object to the allocation of this site within the local plan. However, should development come forward of a different nature it may be important to reassess the impact of development on Earby Conservaiton Area.	