## Appendices

## **Appendix 2: Definitions of Significance**



	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
1. To meet the housing needs of all communities in the Pendle area and deliver	Will it provide a range of housing types and tenures to meet the current and emerging need for market and affordable housing?	<ul> <li>Affordable housing (no. of units)</li> <li>House prices; housing affordability</li> <li>Homelessness</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would provide a significant increase to housing supply and would provide access to decent, affordable housing for residents with different needs (e.g. housing sites with capacity for 100 or more units).
decent homes.	<ul> <li>Will it promote improvements to the Borough's existing housing stock, particularly the older terraced housing located in the most deprived areas?</li> <li>Will it help to ensure the provision of good quality, well designed homes?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Housing completions (type and size)</li> <li>Housing tenure</li> <li>LA stock declared non- decent</li> <li>Sheltered accommodation suitable for older</li> </ul>	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would provide an increase to housing supply and would provide access to decent, affordable housing for residents with different needs (e.g. housing sites of between 1 and 99 units).  The policy/proposal would make use of/improve existing buildings or unfit, empty homes.  The policy/proposal would promote high quality design.  The policy/proposal would deliver suitable pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.
	Will it help enable delivery of pitches for Gypsies and	people	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	Travellers and Travelling Showpeople if required?		-	Negative	The policy/proposal would reduce the amount of affordable, decent housing available (e.g. a net loss of between 1 and 99 dwellings).
				Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the amount of affordable, decent housing available (e.g. a net loss of 100+ dwellings).
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
2. To achieve a strong and stable economy which offers high quality and well located	Will it provide a supply of the right type and quality of employment land to meet the needs of existing businesses and attract inward investment?	<ul> <li>Benefit claimants</li> <li>VAT business         registration rate,         registrations, de-         registrations</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly encourage investment in businesses, people and infrastructure which would lead to a more diversified economy, maximising viability of the local economy and reducing out-commuting (e.g.it would deliver over 1 ha of employment land).  The policy/proposal would result in the creation of new educational institutions.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
employment opportunities for everyone.	<ul> <li>Will it maintain and enhance economic competitiveness?</li> <li>Will it support the high technology, aerospace and advanced manufacturing sectors?</li> <li>Will it help to diversify the local economy?</li> <li>Will it provide good quality, well paid employment opportunities that meet the needs of local people?</li> <li>Will it improve the physical accessibility of jobs?</li> </ul>	Businesses per 1000 population     Employment rate     Number of jobs     New floor space     Shops, vacant shops     Unemployment rate     Business start-ups in the rural areas     Numbers employed in tourism employment     Value of the visitor economy	0	Positive	The policy/proposal would encourage investment in businesses, people and infrastructure (e.g. delivering between 0.1 and 0.99 ha of employment land).  The policy/proposal would provide accessible employment opportunities. The policy/proposal would support diversification of the rural economy. The policy/proposal would deliver residential development in close proximity to a major employment site (i.e. within 2,000m walking distance or 30mins travel time by public transport).  The policy/proposal would support existing educational institutions.  The policy/proposal would support economic growth in the low carbon sector.  The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	<ul> <li>Will it promote a low carbon economy?</li> <li>Will it reduce out-</li> </ul>		-	Negative	The policy/proposal would have negative effects on businesses, the local economy and local employment (e.g. it would result in the loss of between 01 and 0.99 ha of employment land).
	<ul> <li>Will it reduce outcommuting?</li> <li>Will it improve access to training to raise employment potential?</li> </ul>		Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would have significant negative effects on business, the local economy and local employment (e.g. policy/proposal would lead to the closure or relocation of existing significant local businesses, loss of employment land of 1 ha or more, or would affect key sectors).	
	Will it promote investment in educational establishments?      Will support rural				The policy/proposal would result in the loss of existing educational establishments without suitable replacement provision elsewhere within the Borough.
Will support rural diversification?     Will it promote to:			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
	support the visitor economy?	?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.	

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
3. To promote urban regeneration, support the vitality of rural areas, tackle deprivation and promote sustainable living.	<ul> <li>Will it support and enhance the Borough by attracting new commercial investment?</li> <li>Will it enhance the public realm?</li> <li>Will it enhance the viability and vitality of the Borough's town centres, local shopping centres and villages?</li> <li>Will it tackle deprivation and reduce inequalities in access to education, employment and services?</li> <li>Will it ensure people are not disadvantaged with regard to ethnicity, gender, age, disability, faith, sexuality, background or location?</li> <li>Will it foster social cohesion?</li> <li>Will it maintain and enhance community facilities and services?</li> <li>Will it increase access to schools and colleges?</li> <li>Will it enhance accessibility to key community facilities and services?</li> <li>Will it align investment in services, facilities and infrastructure with growth?</li> <li>Will it contribute to regeneration initiatives?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>VAT business registration rate, registrations, deregistrations</li> <li>Businesses per 1000 population</li> <li>Accessibility to education sites, employment sites, health care, leisure centres, open space, shopping centres</li> <li>Employment rate</li> <li>Number of jobs</li> <li>New floor space</li> <li>Shops, vacant shops</li> <li>Unemployment rate</li> <li>15 year olds achieving 5 or more GCSEs at Grade A* - C</li> <li>19 year olds qualified to NVQ level 2 or equivalent</li> <li>21 year olds qualified to NVQ level 3 or equivalent</li> <li>Working age population qualifications</li> <li>Indices of multiple deprivation</li> <li>Benefit claimants</li> <li>Population estimates</li> </ul>	++	Positive  Positive  Neutral  Negative	The policy/proposal would significantly enhance the attractiveness of the Borough as a place to invest, live, work and visit.  The policy/proposal would create new, or significantly enhance existing, community facilities and services.  The policy/proposal would significantly improve social and environmental conditions within deprived areas and support regeneration.  The policy/proposal would ensure that new residential development is located in close proximity to a wide range of services and facilities (e.g. within 800 m of a wide range of services and/or the defined town centres and local shopping centres).  The policy/proposal would significantly enhance the vitality and viability of the town centres and/or local shopping centres.  The policy/proposal would enhance the attractiveness of Pendle as a place to invest, live, work and visit.  The policy/proposal would enhance existing community facilities and services.  The policy/proposal would improve social and environmental conditions within deprived areas.  The policy/proposal would ensure that new residential development is located in close proximity to some services and facilities (e.g. within 800 m of a key service).  The policy/proposal would enhance the vitality and viability of the town centres and/or local shopping centres.  The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.  The policy/proposal would undermine the attractiveness of the Borough as a place to invest, live, work and visit.  The policy/proposal would reduce the accessibility, availability and quality of existing community facilities and services.  The policy/proposal would result in new residential development being located away from existing services and facilities (e.g. in excess of 2,000 m from a wide range of services).  The policy/proposal would have an adverse effect on the vitality and viability of the town centres and/or local shopping centres.

	Guide	Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	Will it help tackle population decline in certain areas of the Borough?			Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would substantially undermine the attractiveness of the Borough as a place to invest, live, work and visit leading to an outflow of the population and disinvestment.  The policy/proposal would result in the loss of existing community facilities and services without their replacement elsewhere within the Borough.  The policy/proposal would have a significantly adverse effect on the vitality and viability of town centres and/or local shopping centres.  The policy/proposal would result in new residential development being inaccessible to existing services and facilities.	
				~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
				?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
4. To improve the health and wellbeing of those living	de en	II it avoid locating velopment where vironmental circumstances uld negatively impact on	<ul> <li>Life expectancy at birth</li> <li>New/enhanced health facilities</li> <li>Open spaces managed</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would have strong and sustained impacts on healthy lifestyles and improve well-being through physical activity, recreational activity, improved environmental quality, etc. Different groups within the society are taken into consideration.
and working in the Pendle area.	and working in the Pendle area.  • Will it protect and enhance the provision of open space, leisure and recreational facilities?  • Will it protect and enhance standard New and enhanced open space (ha)	to green flag award standard			The policy/proposal would ensure that new residential development is located in close proximity to a range of healthcare facilities (e.g. within 800 m of a GP surgery and open space).	
				The policy/proposal would deliver new healthcare facilities and/or open space.		
			<ul> <li>Crimes – by category and total</li> </ul>			The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the level of crime through design and other safety measures.

Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
Will it maintain and improve access to open space, leisure and recreational facilities?		+	Positive	The policy/proposal would promote healthy lifestyles and improve well-being through physical activity, recreational activity, improved environmental quality, etc. Different groups within the society are taken
Will it promote healthier lifestyles?				into consideration.  The policy/proposal would ensure that new residential development is
Will it meet the needs of an ageing population?				located in close proximity to a healthcare facility (e.g. within 800 m of a GP surgery or open space).
<ul> <li>Will it support those with disabilities?</li> </ul>				The policy/proposal would reduce crime through design and other safety measures.
Will it maintain and enhance healthcare facilities and		0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
services?  • Will it align investment in		-	Negative	The policy/proposal would reduce access to healthcare facilities and open space.
healthcare facilities and services with growth?				The policy/proposal would deliver residential development in excess of 800 m from a GP surgery and/or open space.
Will it improve access to healthcare facilities and services?				The policy/proposal would lead to an increase in reported crime and the fear of crime in the district.
Will it promote community safety?				The policy/proposal would have effects which could cause deterioration of health.
Will it reduce actual levels of crime and anti-social behaviour?		-	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in the loss of healthcare facilities and open space without their replacement in suitable locations elsewhere within the Borough.
Will it reduce the fear of crime?	0/2			The policy/proposal would lead to a significant increase in reported crime and the fear of crime.
Will it promote design that				The policy/proposal would have significant effects which would cause deterioration of health within the community (i.e. increase in pollution)
discourages crime?	))	~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
		?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
5. To reduce the need to travel, promote more sustainable modes of transport and align investment in	and the distance people travel for jobs, employment, leisure and services and facilities?  Will it reduce out- commuting?  Will it encourage a shift to	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the need for travel, road traffic and congestion (e.g. new development is within 400 m walking distance of all transport services).  The policy/proposal would create opportunities/incentives for the use of sustainable travel/transport of people/goods.  The policy/proposal would significantly reduce out-commuting.  The policy/proposal would support investment in transportation infrastructure and/or services.	
infrastructure with growth.	<ul> <li>more sustainable modes of transport?</li> <li>Will it encourage walking, cycling and the use of public transport?</li> </ul>	assists car use reduction  ublic  Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) data on	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce the need for travel (e.g. new development is within 400m of one or more transport service).  The policy/proposal would encourage the use of sustainable travel/transport of people/goods.	
	Will it help reduce traffic congestion?		0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	<ul> <li>Will it improve road safety and contribute towards a reduction in those killed and seriously injured (KSI)?</li> </ul>	(ORR) statistics on station usage	-	Negative	The policy/proposal would increase the need for travel by less sustainable forms of transport, increasing road traffic and congestion.  The policy/proposal would deliver new development in excess of 400 m from public transport services/cycle routes.
	<ul> <li>Will it deliver investment in transportation infrastructure that supports growth in the Pendle area?</li> </ul>			Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would significantly increase the need for travel by less sustainable forms of transport, substantially increasing road traffic and congestion.  The policy/proposal would result in the loss of transportation infrastructure and/or services.
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance					
6. To encourage the efficient use of land and conserve and enhance soils.	<ul> <li>Will it promote the use of previously developed (brownfield) land and minimise the loss of greenfield land?</li> <li>Will it avoid the loss of agricultural land including best and most versatile land?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No. and area of employment developments and housing developed on PDL per annum</li> <li>Annual area of development on</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive Positive	The policy/proposal would encourage significant development on brownfield land.  The policy/proposal would result in existing land / soil contamination being removed.  The policy/proposal would protect best and most versatile agricultural land.  The policy/proposal would encourage development on brownfield.					
	Will it reduce the amount of derelict, degraded and	greenfield land (ha  • Brownfield Land Register	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.					
	<ul> <li>underused land?</li> <li>Will it encourage the reuse of existing buildings and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Density of dwellings</li> <li>Amount of potentially contaminating land uses (ha) situated within SPZs</li> </ul>	Amount of potentially	Amount of potentially	Amount of potentially	Amount of potentially	<ul> <li>Amount of potentially</li> </ul>		Negative	The policy/proposal would result in development on greenfield or would create conflicts in land-use.  The policy/proposal would result in the loss of agricultural land.
	<ul> <li>infrastructure?</li> <li>Will it prevent land contamination and facilitate remediation of contaminated</li> </ul>			Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in the loss of best and most versatile agricultural land.  The policy/proposal would result in land contamination.					
	sites?		~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.					
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.					
7. To conserve and enhance water quality and resources.	<ul> <li>Will it reduce water pollution and improve ground and surface water quality?</li> <li>Will it reduce water</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Developments incorporating SUDS</li> <li>Planning applications granted contrary to</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would lead to a significant reduction of wastewater, surface water runoff and pollutant discharge so that the quality of groundwater and/or surface water would be significantly improved and all water targets (including those relevant to biological and chemical quality) would be met/exceeded.					
	consumption and encourage water efficiency?  • Will it ensure that new water/wastewater management infrastructure is	advice of EA  Biological/chemistry levels in rivers, canals and freshwater bodies	nals		The policy/proposal would lead to a significant reduction in the demand for water.  The policy/proposal would support investment in water resources infrastructure.					

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	delivered in a timely manner to support new development?  • Waterbodies achieving Good or High Ecological Status/Potential under the Water Framework Directive classification	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would lead to a reduction of wastewater, surface water runoff and/or pollutant discharge so that the quality of groundwater or surface water would be improved and some water targets (including those relevant to biological and chemical quality) would be met/exceeded.  The policy/proposal would lead to a reduction in the demand for water.	
		<ul><li>of water quality</li><li>Developments incorporating water</li></ul>	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
		efficiency measures/technologies	-	Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to an increase in the amount of waste water, surface water runoff and pollutant discharge so that the quality of groundwater or surface water would be reduced.  The policy/proposal would lead to an increase in the demand for water.
				Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to a significant increase in the amount of wastewater, surface water runoff and pollutant discharge so that the quality of groundwater or surface water would be decreased and water targets would not be met.  The policy/proposal would lead to deterioration of the current WFD classification.  The policy/proposal would lead to a significant increase in the demand for water placing the North West and/or Humber Water Resources Zone in deficit over the lifetime of the respective Water Resources Management Plans.  The policy/proposal would result in the capacity of existing wastewater
					management infrastructure being exceeded without appropriate mitigation.
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
8. To reduce the risk of flooding to	Will it help to minimise the risk of flooding to existing and	Developments     incorporating SUDS	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly reduce flood risk to new or existing infrastructure or communities (currently located within the 1 in 100 year floodplain).

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance				
people and property, taking into account the effects of	<ul> <li>new developments/infrastructure?</li> <li>Will it manage effectively, and reduce the likelihood of,</li> <li>Planning applications granted contrary to advice of EA</li> <li>Annual new and total</li> </ul>	granted contrary to advice of EA  Annual new and total	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce flood risk to new or existing infrastructure or communities (currently located 1 in 1000 year floodplain).				
climate change.	flash flooding, taking into account the capacity of sewerage systems?  • Will it discourage	developments located in flood zones 2 & 3  • Developments	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective. It is anticipated that the policy will neither cause nor exacerbate flooding in the catchment.				
	<ul><li>inappropriate development in areas at risk from flooding?</li><li>Will it ensure that new</li></ul>	incorporating water efficiency measures/technologies	-	Negative	The policy/proposal would result in an increased flood risk within the 1 to 1000 year floodplain.  The policy/proposal would result in development being located within Flood Zone 2.				
	<ul> <li>development does not give rise to flood risk elsewhere?</li> <li>Will it deliver sustainable urban drainage systems</li> </ul>	rise to flood risk elsewhere? Will it deliver sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDS) and promote investment in flood defences that reduce vulnerability to		Sign <mark>ific</mark> ant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in an increased flood risk within the 1 to 100 year floodplain.  The policy/proposal would result in development being located within Flood Zone 3.				
					~	No Relat <mark>io</mark> nship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.		
	nooungr		?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.				
9. To improve air quality.	·	Development of transport infrastructure that assists car use	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly improve air quality and result in air quality targets being met/exceeded and the Colne Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) being removed (or the area under the AQMA being reduced).				
	Management Area and	reduction	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would improve air quality.				
	prevent new designations of Air Quality Management Areas?	<ul> <li>AADTs on key routes in Borough</li> <li>Number of new</li> </ul>	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.				
	Will it avoid locating development in areas of existing poor air quality?	AQMAs					-	Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to a decrease in air quality.  The policy/proposal would result in new development being located within 500 m of the Colne AQMA.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	Will it minimise emissions to air from new development?	Level of NOx in AQMA and number of exceedances		Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to a decrease in air quality and would result in the area of the Colne AQMA having to be extended or new AQMAs being declared.  The policy/proposal would result in new development being located within the Colne AQMA.
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
10. To minimise greenhouse gas emissions and	greenhouse gas emissions? capita per annum)	emissions (tonnes per	++	Sig <mark>nificant</mark> Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the Borough.  The policy/proposal would significantly reduce energy consumption or increase the amount of renewable energy being used/generated.
adapt to the effects of climate change.	<ul> <li>adaptation measures for the likely effects of climate change?</li> <li>Will it support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy and reduce</li> </ul>	renewable sources (MW)  Energy use (gas/electricity) by end user  Renewable energy	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the Borough.  The policy/proposal would increase resilience/decrease vulnerability to climate change effects.  The policy/proposal would reduce energy consumption or increase the amount of renewable energy being used/generated.
	dependency on non- renewable sources?	capacity installed by type (MW)			The policy/proposal would support/encourage sustainable design.
	Will it promote sustainable design that minimises		0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	greenhouse emissions and is adaptable to the effects of climate change?		-	Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to an increase in greenhouse gas emissions from the Borough.  The policy/proposal would not increase resilience/decrease vulnerability to climate change effects.
				Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to a significant increase in greenhouse gas emissions from the Borough.  The policy/proposal would increase vulnerability to climate change effects.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
11. To promote the waste hierarchy	Will it minimise the demand for raw materials?	Total amount of waste produced (tonnes)	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce the amount of waste generated through prevention, minimisation and re-use.
(reduce, reuse, recycle,	<ul> <li>Will it promote the use of local resources?</li> </ul>	Amount of residual household waste			The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the amount of waste going to landfill through recycling and energy recovery.
recover) and ensure the	<ul> <li>Will it reduce minerals extracted and imported?</li> </ul>	<ul><li>produced</li><li>Capacity of new waste</li></ul>			The policy/proposal would support/encourage investment in waste management facilities.
sustainable use of natural	<ul> <li>Will it increase efficiency in the use of raw materials and</li> </ul>	management facilities as alternatives to	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce the amount of waste going to landfill through recycling and energy recovery.
resources.	promote recycling?	landfill			The policy/proposal would encourage the use of sustainable materials.
	<ul> <li>Will it avoid sterilising minerals?</li> </ul>	% household waste composted, land filled, recycled, used to	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	<ul><li>Will it reduce waste arising?</li><li>Will it increase the reuse and recycling of waste?</li></ul>	recover energy	-	Negative	The policy/proposal would result in an increased amount of waste going to landfill.
	Will it support investment in				The policy/proposal would increase the demand for local resources.
	waste management facilities to meet local needs?			Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in a significantly increased amount of waste going to landfill.
					The policy/proposal would significantly increase the demand for local resources.
					The policy/proposal would result in inappropriate development within a Minerals Safeguarding Area.
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
12. To conserve and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity and promote improvements to the green infrastructure network.	<ul> <li>Will it conserve and enhance the international and nationally designated South Pennine Moors (designated as a Special Area of Conservation, Special Protection Area, and Site of Special Scientific Interest)?</li> <li>Will it conserve and enhance Local Nature Reserves (LNR),</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Condition of designated SAC, SPA and SSSIs</li> <li>Local/National nature reserves (ha/1000 population)</li> <li>Local wildlife sites (BHS and LNI) with management plans</li> <li>Woodland areas/new</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would have a positive effect on European or national designated sites, habitats or species (e.g. enhancing habitats, creating additional habitat or increasing protected species populations).  The policy/proposal would create new habitat and link it with existing habitats or significantly improve existing habitats to support local biodiversity.  The policy/proposal would have major positive effects on protected geologically important sites.  The policy/proposal would significantly enhance Pendle's green infrastructure network.
	Biological Heritage Sites (BHS) and Sites of Local Natural Importance (LNI)?  • Will it avoid damage to, and protect, geologically important sites – Local Geodiversity Sites (LGS)?  • Will it conserve and enhance priority species and habitats?	woodland (ha)  Local/National nature reserves (ha/1000 population)  Local wildlife sites (BHS and LNI) with management plans	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would have a positive effect on sub-regional/local designated sites, habitats or species.  The policy/proposal would improve existing habitats to support local biodiversity.  The policy/proposal would have positive effects on protected geologically important sites.  The policy/proposal would enhance Pendle's green infrastructure network.
	<ul> <li>Will it provide opportunities for new habitat creation or restoration and link existing habitats as part of the</li> </ul>		-	Neutral Negative	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.  The policy/proposal would have negative effects on sub-regional or local designated sites, habitats or species (e.g. short term loss of habitats, loss
	<ul> <li>development process?</li> <li>Will it enhance ecological connectivity and maintain and improve the green infrastructure network?</li> </ul>				of species and temporary effects on the functioning of ecosystems).  The policy/proposal would lead to short-term disturbance of existing habitat but would not have long-term effects on local biodiversity.  The policy/proposal would have minor negative effects on protected geologically important sites.  The policy/proposal would adversely affect Pendle's green infrastructure network.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	Will it provide opportunities for people to access the natural environment?	mulcator		Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would have negative effects on European or national designated sites, habitats and/or protected species (i.e. on the interest features and integrity of the site, by preventing any of the conservation objectives from being achieved or resulting in a long term decrease in the population of a priority species). These effects could not be reasonably mitigated.  The policy/proposal would result in significant, long term negative effects on non-designated sites (e.g. through significant loss of habitat leading to a long term loss of ecosystem structure and function).  The policy/proposal would have significant negative effects on protected geologically important sites.  The policy/proposal would have a significant adverse effect on Pendle's green infrastructure network.
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting.  enhance the historic heritage assets setting?  Will it tac identified  Will it pro significan designate Will it pro	Will it help to conserve and enhance the significance of the historic environment,	Number of Listed     Buildings (all grades) /     number and	all ed er	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would protect and enhance the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with national designations (including their setting).
		percentage at risk (all grades)  • Number of Scheduled			The policy/proposal will make use of historic buildings, spaces and places through sensitive adaption and re-use allowing these distinctive assets to be accessed.
	<ul><li>identified as being 'at risk'?</li><li>Will it protect or enhance the</li></ul>	Monuments/number and percentage at risk  Number of conservation areas and percentage at risk  Percentage of conservation areas			The policy/proposal would result in an assets(s) being removed from the At Risk Register.
	significance of non- designated heritage assets?		+	Positive	The policy/proposal would protect and enhance the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with local designations (including their setting).  The policy/proposal will increase access to historical/cultural/archaeological/architectural buildings/spaces/places.

	Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	Will it help to sustain and enhance historic buildings,		0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	places and spaces that contribute to local distinctiveness, character and appearance through sensitive adaptation and re-use?  • Will it improve and promote		-	Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to deterioration of the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with local designations.  The policy/proposal would temporarily restrict access to historical/cultural/archaeological/architectural buildings/spaces/places.
	access to buildings and landscapes of historic/cultural value?			Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to deterioration of the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with national designation or result in the destruction of heritage assets (national or local).
					The policy/proposal would permanently restrict access to historical/cultural/archaeological/architectural buildings/spaces/places.
					The policy/proposal would result in an asset being placed on the At Risk Register.
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
14. To conserve and enhance	Will it conserve and enhance the distinctive landscape character and townscapes of the Borough?	<ul> <li>Percentage of area covered with a landscape designation</li> <li>Change in landscape character areas</li> <li>Land area defined as Green Belt</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would offer potential to significantly enhance landscape/townscape character.
landscape character and					The policy/proposal would ensure the long term protection of the Green Belt.
townscapes.	Will it promote high quality design in context with its				The policy/proposal would offer potential to significantly enhance the qualities of the AONB
	<ul> <li>will it avoid inappropriate development in the Green Belt and ensure the Green Belt endures?</li> </ul>		+	Positive	The policy/proposal would offer potential to enhance landscape/townscape character.
			0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
			-	Negative	The policy/proposal would have an adverse effect on landscape/townscape character.

Guide Questions	Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
<ul> <li>Will it protect and improve the distinctive local character of the Borough</li> <li>Will it help to conserve and enhance the Forest of Bowland Area of Outstanding</li> </ul>			Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would have a significant adverse effect on landscape/townscape character.  The policy/proposal would result in inappropriate development in the Green Belt or affect the permanence of the Green Belt boundary.  The policy/proposal would have a significant adverse impact on the qualities of the AONB
Natural Beauty?		~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
		?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.

