BARROWFORD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN EXAMINATION

POLICY BDNP 10

Policy BNDP 10 Newbridge Character Area contains a list of non-designated heritage assets which merit special consideration to retain their conservation value. I consider the evidence relating to the identified non-designated heritage assets is rather fragmented and in some cases insufficient or missing.

The attributes of some of the heritage assets are referred to in the Background/Justification section and Newbridge Character Assessment but others are either not referred to or have insufficient explanation as to why they merit inclusion. For example, it is not entirely clear why some terrace blocks of buildings are included and not others.

I request that in the case of each specified non-designated heritage asset that the Parish Council produces a relatively short explanation as to why that particular item is special and should be included in the list. You should refer to the Historic England advice in this case. It is sufficient to relate to the age of the building or group and the main architectural or historical attributes. You may wish to present this information as a table listing the assets with the accompanying brief description of their attributes.

Furthermore, in relation to reference to inclusive house numbers does this relate to even, odd or both?

An example of the extent of supporting description I am seeking is that on page 67 relating to the Police Station. This is sufficient for the justification as anon-designated heritage asset.

It would also be beneficial if these items were identified on figure 12 or an alternative map.

I would ask that Pendle Council provide every assistance to the Parish in this and provide a comment on this extra supporting information.

POLICY BDNP 05

In this policy relating to Newbridge local shopping frontage in 1b) defines the local shopping frontage as 51-63c Gisburn Road and yet in paragraph 8.5.5 it refers to Table 9.5 of the Retail Survey as including 51-63e. I cannot locate the Retail Study on the internet and I wish to receive a copy and clarification regarding the above discrepancy.

Again please could the Pendle Council and Parish collaborate on a reply.

R J Bryan BA Hons., MRTPI

Examiner 17/6/19