OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY IMPROVEMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS PLAN 2015/16

Update as at October, 2015

| Objective | Action | Success Criteria | Progress |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. TO LEAD AND OWN THE SCRUTINY PROCESS | | | |
| ◆ Champions of value of scrutiny as vehicle for public accountability | ◆ Promote scrutiny role by publicising success via e.g. annual report, scrutiny bulletin, Pendle News, press and media including local government media | Annual report published, 3 Scrutiny bulletins published, 1 article in Pendle News, 6 positive Press articles. | Annual report published May, 15. Number of scrutiny bulletins reduced to 1 per year, to be published November. No Pendle News published as yet. Press coverage of Promoting Pendle surveys. |
| Active engagement in committee | ◆ Ensure that information is made available in advance to allow members sufficient time to prepare and be adequately briefed. | 95% of Panel briefing papers despatched at least 3 working days in advance of meeting. | 100% achieved. |
| | Improve attendance at pre- meeting question setting sessions in preparation for meetings where witness evidence is to be taken. | At least 66% Members attending pre- meeting question- setting. | 56% achieved. |
| Arrangements in place to ensure active engagement of members in scrutiny role | Promote value of scrutiny amongst all political groups and seek full involvement. | 95% cross party attendance at SMT briefings. | 75% achieved |
| | Ongoing training for team/panel leaders in chairing skills, e.g. accommodating differing views and reaching collective judgements | Inclusion of chairing skills training in scrutiny training programme/ discussion to be held with newly appointed panel leaders. | Training programme not delivered due to low numbers. Chairing discussed with panel leaders. |
| Adequate public accountability and community leadership | Encourage greater public input to annual Work Programme by increasing the opportunities to put forward suggestions. | Introduce 1 additional opportunity for public participation. | 81% of suggestions received were put forward by the public. |
| ◆ Independent work programme informed by interests and concerns of public | Work programme reflects issues raised directly by public. | Topics included in work programme | To carry out a review of the Council's policies for safeguarding vulnerable people. |

| Deliberative skills and consensus building | Training programme available for members. | Training delivered as planned. | Not delivered due to low numbers. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Appropriate induction and development | ◆ Continue to provide in-house scrutiny development programme and other training on scrutiny specific topics as required. | Members have access to appropriate training. | ditto |
| | ◆ Consider alternative approaches to induction. | Individual briefings, mentoring etc. made available. Potential for joint training explored. | Individual briefings offered. Potential for joint training being explored. |
| 2. TO REFLECT THE CONCERNS AND ENABLE THE VOICE OF THE PUBLIC | | | |
| Representing and engaging diverse communities | Build relationships with voluntary and community organisations. | Involvement of interest groups in appropriate work. | Involved P&TCs, businesses and public in Promoting Pendle Review. Pendle Seniors and Critical Friends involved in H&SC Panel. |
| Promoting public understanding of the scrutiny role | Ensure scrutiny's high profile on Council's website. | Regular highlights on website front page | Annual report, bulletins, public input into reviews highlighted. |
| | Disseminate scrutiny information as widely as possible e.g. leaflet, bulletin, annual report, review reports. | Literature available in public places and to all stakeholders. | Also include Housing Pendle and Pendle Leisure Trust. |
| | Consider use of other organisations' newsletters, focus groups to discuss scrutiny topics, use of Pendle News, Grapevine, internal staff meetings/briefings. | Evidence of a range of approaches. | Diminishing scope for this approach. |
| Open and transparent processes with public access to information | Develop different ways of ensuring openness. | Hold more meetings at venues other than the town hall Develop email distribution list. | No meetings held outside Town Hall this year. Distribution list now stands at 162. |
| | Establish process for dealing with Crime and Disorder matters and consider on a regular basis. | Crime and Disorder issues addressed on at least a six-monthly basis. | Community Safety lead reports to SMT six monthly - last reported to August meeting. Also receive regular updates on the work of the Police and Crime Panel. |

| Active listening and sympathetic questioning | Seek "witness" feedback to determine if experience is positive. | 80% positive feedback | No feedback received to date. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3. TO CHALLENGE EFFECTIVELY EXECUTIVE POLICY AND DECISION MAKERS | | | |
| ◆ Clarity of purpose | ◆ Agree scrutiny priorities and adopt mechanism to ensure adequate emphasis is placed on each. | SMT agree priorities and adopt mechanism. | Included in papers relating to development of work programme. |
| Constructive, robust and purposeful challenge | Ensure "Critical friend", evidence- based challenge to influence policy and decision making objectively. | 95% of recommendations adopted. | 100% of recommendation adopted. |
| Respect for scrutiny role as legitimate check on Executive | Greater scrutiny input into policy development. | More work commissioned by Executive. | No work commissioned by Executive. |
| ◆ Constructive working relationship with Executive colleagues and external bodies | Workshop(s) for scrutiny/exec. members to help develop mutual understanding of the role, increased joint working etc. | 2 Workshops held as planned. | Workshop held in March. Further workshop to be arranged. |
| | Integrate scrutiny function with rest of Council's work and help focus on its priorities. | Clear forward work plans for Exec. & Scrutiny which focus on priorities. | Executive forward plan still offers limited opportunities for scrutiny. |
| Work programmes fit existing corporate processes | Require Executive Forward Plan to be more meaningful and useful to scrutiny. | Service Managers ensure early submission of items into Forward Plan. | Ditto Members should consider how improvements can be achieved. |
| 4. TO DRIVE IMPROVEMENT IN PUBLIC SERVICES | | | |
| Strategic review of corporate policies, plans and budgets | ◆ Ensure involvement at appropriate stages during policy development, service planning, objective and budget setting. | Built into scrutiny work programme and protocol for relationship with Exec. | Doesn't work in practice. |
| ◆ Co-ordinate reviews of policy and service performance in line with strategic objectives | ◆ Ensure involvement in policy reviews. | Built into scrutiny work programme. | A scrutiny review of Promoting Pendle has been carried out and progress is being monitored. |
| ◆ Monitor recommendations | ◆ Require clear reasons where recommendations not accepted within statutory period | Sound reasons provided. | Response required within two months. Progress monitored six- monthly. |

| | capital spending. | Strategic Monitoring Report which appears regularly on the Executive agenda and is available to all Members. |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|